

10-2. Controllability



State Transfer

Consider

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad \text{or} \quad x(k+1) = Fx(k) + Gu(k)$$

Over time interval $[t_i, t_f]$

(We say) input $u: [t_i, t_f] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ steer, or transfers state from $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$

Questions :

- where can $x(t_i)$ be transferred to at $t = t_f$
 - how quickly can $x(t_i)$ be transferred to some x_{target}
 - how do we find a u that transfers $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$?
 - how do we find a 'small' or 'efficient' u that transfers $x(t_i)$ to $x(t_f)$?
-

Controllability and Reachability

Definition :Controllability (Cont. Time Case)

A System described by

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = Ax(t) + Bu(t)$$

is said to be controllable if any initial state

$x(t_0)$ can be transferred to any final state

$x(t_f)$ in a finite time by some control $\{u(\tau); t_0 \leq t \leq t_f\}$

Definition :Controllability (Disc. Time Case)

A System described by

$$x(k+1) = Fx(k) + Gu(k)$$

is said to be controllable if any initial state can be transferred to any

final state $x(0)$ in a finite time N by some control sequence $x(N)$

$$\{u(k); k = 0, \dots, N\}$$

Another definitions : Controllability and Reachability

Controllability : A control system is defined to be state controllable if, given an arbitrary initial state $x(0)$, it is possible to bring the state to the origin of the state space in a finite time interval, provided the control vector is unconstrained (unbounded)

Reachability : A system is defined to be state reachable if, starting from the origin of the state space, the state can be brought to an arbitrary point in the state space in a finite time period, provided the control vector is unconstrained.

Reachable set

Define $R_t \subseteq R^n$ as the set of points reachable in t seconds for $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

$$R_t = \left\{ \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau \mid u : [0, t] \rightarrow R^m \right\}$$

and in k steps for DT system $x(k+1) = Gx(k) + Hu(k)$

$$R_k = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \begin{matrix} A \\ G \end{matrix}^{k-1-i} \begin{matrix} B \\ H \end{matrix} u(i) \mid u(i) \in R^m \right\}$$

Differential Equations

d.e. $\dot{x} = P(x, t)$

$$x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0, P : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

i.c. $x(t_0) = x_0$

Two Conditions.

(a) $P(x, t)$: finite number of discontinuities.

$t \rightarrow P(x, t)$: continuous and, at $t_i \in D$ (set of possible discontinuity points) has finite left- and right- hand limits at t_i

(b) Lipschitz conditions

$$\| P(\xi, t) - P(\xi', t) \| \leq \| \xi - \xi' \|$$

$K(t)$: piecewise continuous function

Fundamental Theorem

If the function $P(x, t)$ satisfies assumption (a) and (b), then

- (1) For each $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and each $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there is a continuous function such that
 - (2) Is unique and is called the solution of the d.e.
-

Differential Equations

Fundamental Theorem

If the function $P(x, t)$ satisfies assumption (a) and (b), then

(1) For each $x_0 \in R^n$ and each $t_0 \in R_+$ there is a continuous function such that

$$\Phi(t_0) = x_0 \text{ and}$$

$$\dot{\Phi}(t) = P(\Phi(t), t) \quad \forall t \in R_+ \text{ and } t \notin D$$

(2) Φ is unique and is called the solution of the d.e.

Differential Equations

2. Calculation of e^{At} by Laplace Transforms and Caley Hamilton Theorem.

$$\text{Let } \hat{\Phi}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\Phi(t_0)]$$

$$\text{since, } \frac{d\Phi(t_0)}{dt} = A\Phi(t, 0)$$

$$s\hat{\Phi}(s) - \Phi(0, 0) = A\hat{\Phi}(s)$$

$$(sI - A)\hat{\Phi}(s) = I$$

$$\text{hence } \hat{\Phi}(s) = (sI - A)^{-1}$$

$$\text{cramer's rule applies, } A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{Adj}(A)$$

$$\rightarrow \hat{\Phi}(s) = \frac{B(s)}{d(s)} = \frac{s^{n-1}B_0 + s^{n-2}B_1 + \cdots + sB_{n-2} + B_{n-1}}{s^n + d_1s^{n-1} + d_2s^{n-2} + \cdots + d_n}$$

where $d(s) = \det(sI - A)$,

$B_i = n \times n$ real matrices

Differential Equations

Theorem*

Assuming that $d(s)$ is known, B_k can be successively calculated by the formulas

$$B_0 = I$$

$$B_1 = B_0 A + d_1 I$$

$$B_2 = B_1 A + d_2 I$$

$$\vdots$$

$$B_k = B_{k-1} A + d_k I$$

$$\vdots$$

$$B_{n-1} = B_{n-2} A + d_{n-1} I$$

$$0 = B_{n-1} A + d_n I$$

Differential Equations

Proof:
$$\Phi(s) = \frac{B(s)}{d(s)} = \frac{s^{n-1}B_0 + s^{n-2}B_1 + \cdots + sB_{n-2} + B_{n-1}}{s^n + d_1s^{n-1} + d_2s^{n-2} + \cdots + d_n}$$
$$= (sI - A)^{-1}$$

post multiply $(sI - A)d(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow d(s)I &= (s^{n-1}B_0 + s^{n-2}B_1 + \cdots + sB_{n-2} + B_{n-1})(sI - A) \\ &= s^n B_0 + (B_1 - B_0 A)s^{n-1} + (B_2 - B_1 A)s^{n-2} + \cdots \\ &\quad + (B_{n-1} - B_{n-2} A)s + (-B_{n-1} A) \end{aligned}$$

Compare both side (End of Proof)

Differential Equations

premultiply $(sI - A)d(s)$

$$\begin{aligned}\rightarrow Id(s) &= (sI - A)(s^{n-1}B_0 + s^{n-2}B_1 + \cdots + sB_{n-2} + B_{n-1}) \\ &= s^n B_0 + (B_1 - B_0 A)s^{n-1} + (B_2 - B_1 A)s^{n-2} + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

\vdots

$$B_0 = I$$

$$B_1 = AB_0 + d_1 I$$

$$B_0 = AB_1 + d_2 I$$

\vdots

$$B_k = AB_{k-1} + d_k I$$

\vdots

$$B_{n-1} = AB_{n-2} + d_{n-1} I$$

$$0 = AB_{n-1} + d_n I$$

Cayley Hamilton Theorem

For any square matrix A,

$$\Delta(A) = 0$$

Proof: It is equivalent to show $d(A)=0$ use Theorem*

$$0 = B_{n-1}A + d_n I$$

$$= (B_{n-2}A + d_{n-1}I)A + d_n I = B_{n-2}A^2 + d_{n-1}A + d_n I$$

$$= (B_{n-3}A + d_{n-2}I)A^2 + d_{n-1}A + d_n I = B_{n-3}A^3 + d_{n-1}A^2 + d_{n-1}A + d_n I$$

⋮

$$= d(A)$$

$$= A^n + d_1 A^{n-1} + d_2 A^{n-2} + \cdots + d_{n-1}A + d_n I$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta(s) = \det(A - sI) \\ = (-1)^n d(s) \\ = (-1)^n \det(A - sI) \\ \text{characteristic eq. of } A \\ \Delta(\lambda) = 0; \lambda : \text{zero of } \Delta(s) \\ \text{eigenvalues of } A \end{array} \right.$$

Remark: Cayley–Hamilton Theorem implies that for any nxn matrix with elements in a field F, A^n is a linear combination of I, A, A^2 , ..., A^{n-1}

Cayley Hamilton Theorem

The concept of field

Let F be a set of elements $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$.

The set of F will be called a field iff

$$(A) \quad \alpha, \beta \in F, \quad (\alpha + \beta) \in F$$

$$(A1) \quad \alpha + \beta = \beta + \alpha \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in F \quad (\text{commutivity})$$

$$(A2) \quad \alpha + (\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha + \beta) + \gamma \quad \forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in F \quad (\text{associativity})$$

$$(A3) \quad \exists 0, \quad \alpha + 0 = \alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in F \quad (\text{additive identity})$$

$$(A4) \quad \exists(-\alpha), \alpha + (-\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in F \quad (\text{additive inverse})$$

$$(M) \quad \alpha, \beta \in F, \quad \alpha \cdot \beta \in F$$

$$\alpha \cdot \beta = \beta \cdot \alpha$$

$$\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot \gamma) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot \gamma$$

$$\alpha \cdot 1 = \alpha$$

$$\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} = 1$$

$$(D) \quad \alpha \cdot (\beta + \gamma) = \alpha \cdot \beta + \alpha \cdot \gamma$$

Ex. Field of \mathbb{R} , field of \mathbb{C} , field of rational functions field of binary numbers.

Controllability

Now consider a continuous system

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$$

$$x(t) = \Phi(t, t_0)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(t, \tau)B(\tau)u(\tau)d\tau$$

Let $t_0=0$, time-invariant,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t, t_0) = \Phi(t, 0) = \Phi(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} A^k t^k \\ &= I + At + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 t^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} A^n t^n + \dots\end{aligned}$$

A

Cayley Hamilton Theorem

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= \Phi(t, t_0)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \left(I + At + \frac{1}{2!} A^2 t^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} A^n t^n + \cdots \right) B(\tau)u(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \Phi(t, t_0)x_0 + \int_{t_0}^t B(\tau)u(\tau) d\tau + \int_{t_0}^t A(t - \tau)B(\tau)u(\tau) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{2!} A^2 B u(\tau)(t - \tau)^2 d\tau + \cdots + \int_{t_0}^t \frac{1}{n!} A^n B u(\tau)(t - \tau)^n d\tau + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

(By the C-H Theorem),

Since A^k for $k > n$ can be represented as a linear combination of

$$A^{n-1}, A^{n-2}, \dots, A, I (A^0)$$

$$\text{i.e. } A^n = -d_1 A^{n-1} - d_2 A^{n-2} - \cdots - d_{n-1} A - d_n I$$

$$d(A) = 0$$

By C-H theorem

$$e^{At} = \alpha_0(t)I + \alpha_1(t)A + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}(t)A^{n-1}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= \Phi(t)x_0 + \int_0^t e^{A(t-\tau)} Bu(\tau) d\tau \\ &= \Phi(t)x_0 + \int_0^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i(\tau) A^i \right) Bu(t-\tau) d\tau \\ &= \Phi(t)x_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} A^i B \int_0^t \alpha_i(\tau) u(t-\tau) d\tau \\ &= \Phi(t)x_0 + C \cdot \begin{bmatrix} z_1(t) \\ z_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ z_n(t) \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$z_i(t) = \int_0^t \alpha_i(\tau) u(t-\tau) d\tau$$

$x(t)$ is in range(C)

thus,

$$x(t) = \Phi(t)x_0 + \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B & \cdots & A^{n-1}B \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1(u) \\ \xi_2(u) \\ \vdots \\ \xi_n(u) \end{bmatrix}$$

If $\text{R}(\underline{C}) = \text{range}(\underline{C}) = \mathbb{R}^n$

i.e, $\text{rank } \underline{C} = n$

then

$x(t)$ can be transferred from x_0 to any state in \mathbb{R}^n by some control inputs

range : a subspace

$\text{range}(C)$: a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n

$$y = Cx, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

defined by a function or transformation that maps

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ into } y \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$m \leq n$$

$$\text{range}(C) = \left\{ y \mid y = Cx, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

Theorem (Controllability)

The continuous system

is controllable if and only if $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

$$\text{rank } W_c = n \quad (\text{rank } \underline{C} = n)$$

Where n : the order of the system

$\underline{C} = W_c$: the controllability matrix

$$\underline{C} = W_c = [B \quad AB \quad \cdots \quad A^{n-1}B]$$

End of 10-2

