(b) Concentration Profile

• Inversion of the Laplace transformation:

$$C_{\rm O}(r, t) = C_{\rm O}^* \left[1 - \frac{r_0}{r} \operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{r - r_0}{2(D_{\rm O} t)^{1/2}} \right) \right]$$

• The error function complement approaches unity for $(r - r_0) << 2(D_0 t)^{1/2}$

$$C_{O}(r, t) = C_{O}^{*}(1 - r_{0}/r)$$

$$\stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} D_{O}\left[\frac{\partial C_{O}(r, t)}{\partial r}\right]_{r=r_{0}} = D_{O}C_{O}^{*}/r_{0} \quad \text{: the steady-state current}$$

$$\frac{dC_{O}(r, t)}{dr} = C_{O}^{*}r_{0}\frac{1}{r^{2}} \qquad \qquad \lim_{t \to \infty} i_{d} = \frac{nFAD_{O}C_{O}^{*}}{r_{0}}$$

$$\frac{dC_{O}(r, t)}{dr}\Big|_{r=r_{0}} = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{r_{0}}$$

(a) A Step to an Arbitrary Potential

- But this time let us treat potential steps of any magnitude (not sufficiently negative)
- Begin each experiment at a potential at which no current flows
- At t = 0, we change E instantaneously to a value anywhere on the reduction wave
- Assume that charge-transfer kinetics are very rapid, so that

$$E = E^{0'} + \frac{RT}{nF} \ln \frac{C_0(0, t)}{C_R(0, t)}$$

$$\theta = \frac{C_{\rm O}(0, t)}{C_{\rm R}(0, t)} = \exp\left[\frac{nF}{RT} \left(E - E^{0'}\right)\right]$$

• The governing equations are

$$\frac{\partial C_{O}(x,t)}{\partial t} = D_{O} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{O}(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial C_{R}(x,t)}{\partial t} = D_{R} \frac{\partial^{2} C_{R}(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}}$$
$$C_{O}(x,0) = C_{O}^{*} \qquad \qquad C_{R}(x,0) = 0$$
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} C_{O}(x,t) = C_{O}^{*} \qquad \qquad \lim_{x \to \infty} C_{R}(x,t) = 0$$

• When we solve using the Laplace transform,

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x, s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} + A(s) e^{-\sqrt{s/D_{O}}x}$$
$$\overline{C}_{R}(x, s) = B(s) e^{-\sqrt{s/D_{R}}x}$$

• The flux balance at the surface is

$$D_{\rm O}\left(\frac{\partial C_{\rm O}(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} + D_{\rm R}\left(\frac{\partial C_{\rm R}(x,t)}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} = 0$$

• Using the Laplace transform,

$$D_{O}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{C}_{O}(x,s)}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} + D_{R}\left(\frac{\partial \overline{C}_{R}(x,s)}{\partial x}\right)_{x=0} = 0$$

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x,s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} + A(s) e^{-\sqrt{s/D_{O}}x}$$

$$\overline{C}_{R}(x,s) = B(s) e^{-\sqrt{s/D_{R}}x}$$

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x,s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} + A(s) e^{-(s/D_{O})^{1/2}x}$$

$$\overline{C}_{R}(x,s) = -A(s)\xi e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x}$$

$$\zeta_{R}(x,s) = -A(s)\xi e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x}$$

• Introduce the assumption of reversibility to evaluate A(s).

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x,s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} + A(s) e^{-(s/D_{O})^{1/2}x} \qquad \overline{C}_{O}(x,s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} - \frac{C_{O}^{*}e^{-(s/D_{O})^{1/2}x}}{s(1+\xi\theta)}$$
$$\overline{C}_{R}(x,s) = -A(s)\xi e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x} \qquad \overline{C}_{R}(x,s) = \frac{\xi C_{O}^{*}e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x}}{s(1+\xi\theta)}$$

5.4.1 Voltammetry Based on Linear Diffusion at a Planar Electrode

- Two equations differ only by the factor $1/(1 + \xi \theta)$ in the second term.
- Since $(1 + \xi \theta)$ is independent of x and t

 \rightarrow the current can be obtained exactly as in the treatment of the Cottrell experiment by evaluating i(s) and then inverting:

Cottrell equation

$$i(t) = i_{\rm d}(t) = \frac{nFAD_{\rm O}^{1/2}C_{\rm O}^{*}}{\pi^{1/2}t^{1/2}}$$

$$i(t) = \frac{nFAD_{\rm O}^{1/2}C_{\rm O}^{*}}{\pi^{1/2}t^{1/2}(1+\xi\theta)}$$

• This relation is the general response function for a step experiment in a reversible system.

→ The Cottrell equation is a special case for the diffusion-limited region, which requires a very negative E - E^{0'} so that $\theta \rightarrow 0$.

5.4.1 Voltammetry Based on Linear Diffusion at a Planar Electrode



• Every current-time curve has the same shape

 \rightarrow but its magnitude is scaled by 1/(1 + $\xi \theta$) according to the potential to which the step is made.

(c) Concentration Profiles

• Taking the inverse transforms of the below equations yields the concentration profiles:

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x,s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} - \frac{C_{O}^{*}e^{-(s/D_{O})^{1/2}x}}{s(1+\xi\theta)} \qquad C_{O}(x,t) = C_{O}^{*} - \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{1+\xi\theta}\operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{x}{2(D_{O}t)^{1/2}}\right]$$

$$\overline{C}_{R}(x,s) = \frac{\xi C_{O}^{*}e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x}}{s(1+\xi\theta)} \qquad C_{R}(x,t) = \frac{\xi C_{O}^{*}}{1+\xi\theta}\operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{x}{2(D_{R}t)^{1/2}}\right]$$

Cottrell condition

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x, s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} - \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} e^{-\sqrt{s/D_{O}x}} \qquad C_{O}(x, t) = C_{O}^{*} \left\{ 1 - \operatorname{erfc}\left[\frac{x}{2(D_{O}t)^{1/2}}\right] \right\}$$

- In contrast with the previous reversible cases, we will treat the one-step, one-electron reaction $O + ne \rightleftharpoons R$ using the general (quasireversible) i-E characteristic.
- The interfacial electron-transfer kinetics in the quasireversible systems are not fast enough to use the Nernst equation (Not Nernstian)
- Thus kinetic parameters such as $k_{f\!\prime}~k_{b\prime}~k^0$ and α influence the responses to potential steps
- \rightarrow can often be evaluated from those responses.
- The focus in this section is to determine such kinetic information from step experiments

Responses Based on Linear Diffusion at a Planar Electrode

(a) Current-Time Behavior

- The current is governed by both mass transfer and charge-transfer kinetics
- The initial conditions ($C_R=0$ at t=0), the semi-infinite conditions, and the flux balance

$$\overline{C}_{O}(x, s) = \frac{C_{O}^{*}}{s} + A(s)e^{-(s/D_{O})^{1/2}x} \qquad \xi = (D_{O}/D_{R})^{1/2}$$
$$\overline{C}_{R}(x, s) = -\xi A(s)e^{-(s/D_{R})^{1/2}x}$$

• For the quasireversible one-step, one-electron case, we can evaluate A(s) by applying the condition (Ch. 3):

 $\alpha = \pi 0'$

• Using the Laplace transform,

• Taking the inverse transform

- For the case when R is initially present at C_R^*

$$i(t) = FA(k_{\rm f}C_{\rm O}^* - k_{\rm b}C_{\rm R}^*)\exp(H^2t)\operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2})$$

- \rightarrow At a given step potential, k_{fr} k_{br} and H are constants
- The product $exp(x^2)erfc(x)$ is unity for x = 0, but falls monotonically toward zero as
 - x becomes large





- Note that the kinetics limit the current at t = 0 to a finite value proportional to k_f (with R initially absent).
- In principle, k_f can be evaluated from the faradaic current at t = 0.
- \rightarrow Since a charging current exists in the moments after the step is applied, the faradaic component at t = 0 typically would be determined by extrapolation from data taken after the charging current has decayed

(b) Alternate Expression in Terms of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$

- If both O and R are present in the bulk, so that an equilibrium potential exists,
- \rightarrow one can describe the effect of potential on the current-time curve in terms of the overpotential, η .

$$i(t) = FA(k_{f}C_{O}^{*} - k_{b}C_{R}^{*}) \exp(H^{2}t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2})$$

$$k_{f}C_{O}^{*} - k_{b}C_{R}^{*} = k^{0} [C_{O}^{*}e^{-\alpha f(E-E^{0'})} - C_{R}^{*}e^{(1-\alpha)f(E-E^{0'})}]$$

$$k_{f}C_{O}^{*} - k_{b}C_{R}^{*} = \frac{i_{0}}{FA} [e^{-\alpha f\eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f\eta}]$$

$$k_{f}C_{O}^{*} - k_{b}C_{R}^{*} = \frac{i_{0}}{FA} [e^{-\alpha f\eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f\eta}]$$

$$i = i_{0} [e^{-\alpha f\eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f\eta}] \exp(H^{2}t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2})$$

$$H = \frac{k_{f}}{D_{O}^{1/2}} + \frac{k_{b}}{D_{R}^{1/2}} \implies H = \frac{i_{0}}{FA} \Big[\frac{e^{-\alpha f\eta}}{C_{O}^{*}D_{O}^{1/2}} - \frac{e^{(1-\alpha)f\eta}}{C_{R}^{*}D_{R}^{1/2}}\Big]$$
(Ch. 3)
by substituting for k_{0}
in terms of i_{0} by
$$i_{0} = FAk^{0}C_{O}^{*(1-\alpha)}C_{R}^{*\alpha}$$

$$H = \frac{k_{f}}{D_{O}^{1/2}} + \frac{k_{b}}{D_{R}^{1/2}} \implies H = \frac{i_{0}}{FA} \Big[\frac{e^{-\alpha f\eta}}{C_{O}^{*}D_{O}^{1/2}} - \frac{e^{(1-\alpha)f\eta}}{C_{R}^{*}D_{R}^{1/2}}\Big]$$

$$i = i_0 [e^{-\alpha f \eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f \eta}] \exp(H^2 t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2})$$

- → i = [i in the absence of mass-transfer effects] X [f(H, t)]
 where f(H, t) accounts for the effects of mass transfer.
- For small values of Ht^{1/2},

$$e^{x^2}\operatorname{erfc}(x) \simeq 1 - \frac{2x}{\pi^{1/2}}$$

In a system for which R is initially absent,

$$i(t) = FAk_{\rm f} C_{\rm O}^* \exp(H^2 t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2}) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad i = FAk_{\rm f} C_{\rm O}^* \left(1 - \frac{2Ht^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}}\right)$$

- → One can apply a step to the potential region at the foot of the wave (where k_f, hence H, is still small)
- → Then plot i vs. $t^{1/2}$, and extrapolate the linear plot to t = 0 to obtain k_f from the intercept.

• In a system containing both O and R initially where E_{eq} is defined.

$$i = i_0 \left[e^{-\alpha f \eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f \eta} \right] \exp(H^2 t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2}) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad i = i_0 \left[e^{-\alpha f \eta} - e^{(1-\alpha)f \eta} \right] \left(1 - \frac{2Ht^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \right)$$

For small values of $\operatorname{Ht}^{1/2}$

- Stepping from E_{eq} to another potential involves a step of magnitude η
- Thus a plot of i vs. t^{1/2} has as its intercept the kinetically controlled current free of mass-transfer effects.
- \rightarrow A plot of $i_{t=0}$ vs. η can then be used to obtain i_0

• For small values of η (Ch. 3),

- Then for small η and small $Ht^{1/2}$

$$i = -\frac{Fi_0 \eta}{RT} \exp(H^2 t) \operatorname{erfc}(Ht^{1/2}) \qquad \qquad i = -\frac{Fi_0 \eta}{RT} \left(1 - \frac{2Ht^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}}\right)$$
$$e^{x^2} \operatorname{erfc}(x) \approx 1 - \frac{2x}{\pi^{1/2}}$$

5.8 CHRONOCOULOMETRY

- To this point, this chapter has concerned either current-time transients stimulated by potential steps.
- An alternative mode for recording the electrochemical response is to integrate the current
 - \rightarrow obtains the charge passed as a function of time, Q(t)
 - → Chronocoulometry
- Important experimental advantages:
 - (a) The measured signal is the integration of currents over time
 - \rightarrow hence, offer better signal-to-noise ratios
 - (b) Contributions to Q(t) from double layer charging and from electrode reactions of adsorbed species can be distinguished from those due to diffusing electroreactants.
 - → An analogous separation of the components of a current transient is not generally feasible.
 - → This advantage of chronocoulometry is especially valuable for the study of surface processes.

- The simplest chronocoulometric experiment is the Cottrell case with large amplitude of potential step
- One begins with a quiescent, homogeneous solution of species O, in which a planar working electrode is held at some potential, E_i where insignificant electrolysis takes place.
- At t = 0, the potential is shifted to $E_{f_{f}}$ which is sufficiently negative to enforce a diffusion-limited current.
 - \rightarrow Cottrell equation
- The Cottrell equation describes the chronoamperometric response, and its integral from
 t = 0 gives the cumulative charge passed in reducing the diffusing reactant:

$$i(t) = i_{\rm d}(t) = \frac{nFAD_{\rm O}^{1/2}C_{\rm O}^{*}}{\pi^{1/2}t^{1/2}} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad Q_{\rm d} = \frac{2nFAD_{\rm O}^{1/2}C_{\rm O}^{*}t^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}}$$

integration

5.8.1 Large-Amplitude Potential Step



- Q_d rises with time, and a plot of its value vs. $t^{1/2}$ is linear
- The slope of this plot is useful for evaluating any one of the variables n, A, D_{O} , or C_{O}^{*} , given knowledge of the others.
- The equation shows that the diffusional component to the charge is zero at t = 0
 → But, a plot of the total charge Q vs. t^{1/2} generally does not pass through the origin
 → Additional components of Q arise i) from double-layer charging and ii) from the electroreduction of any O molecules that might be adsorbed at E_i

- The charges devoted to these processes are passed very quickly compared to the slow accumulation of the diffusional component
 - \rightarrow hence they may be included by adding two time-independent terms:

$$Q = \frac{2nFAD_{\rm O}^{1/2}C_{\rm O}^{*}t^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} + Q_{\rm d1} + nFA\Gamma_{\rm O}$$

→ where Q_{dl} is the capacitive charge and nFA Γ_O quantifies the faradaic component given to the reduction of the surface excess, Γ_O (mol/cm²), of adsorbed O.

• The intercept of Q vs. $t^{1/2}$ is therefore Q_{dl} + nFA Γ_{O} .