Tokamak Transport

- Resistive plasma diffusion
- Pfirsch-Schluter current
- Pfirsch-Schluter diffusion
- Banana regime transport
- Plateau transport
- Ware pinch effect
- Bootstrap current
- Neoclassical resistivity
- Ripple transport

Resistive Plasma Diffusion

Resistive diffusion of plasma across a magnetic field

$$\vec{j} \times \vec{B} = \nabla p$$

$$\eta \nabla p = \eta \vec{j} \times \vec{B} = (\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}) \times \vec{B} = \vec{E} \times \vec{B} - B^2 \vec{v}_\perp$$

$$\eta \vec{j} = \vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B}$$

$$\vec{v}_\perp = \frac{\vec{E} \times \vec{B}}{B^2} - \eta_\perp \frac{\nabla p}{B^2}$$

$$\nabla p$$

 $n\vec{v}_{\perp} = -\eta_{\perp}n\frac{\vec{r}}{R^2}$ Diffusion due to resistivity only, i.e. E=0the rate of density change from the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (n\vec{v}_{\perp}) = \nabla \cdot \left(\eta_{\perp} n \frac{\nabla p}{B^2}\right) = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\eta_{\perp} \nabla n}{2\mu_o} \frac{2\mu_o p}{B^2}\right) = \nabla \cdot \frac{\eta_{\perp} \beta}{2\mu_o} \nabla n = \nabla \cdot D\nabla n$$
$$\beta = \frac{p}{B^2 / 2\mu_o} \qquad D = \frac{\eta_{\perp} \beta}{2\mu_o}$$

Using collisional model,

$$\beta \sim nm_e v_{Te}^2 / (B^2 / 2\mu_o)$$

$$\eta_{\perp} \sim m_e / ne^2 \tau_e$$

$$\rho_e \sim \sqrt{2}m_e v_{Te} / eB$$

$$D \sim \frac{\rho_e^2}{\tau_e}$$
Same as with step

Same as random walk model with step length ρ_e and time τ_e .

Diffusion in a Cylinder

Diffusion velocity in a circular cylinder

$$v_r = \frac{1}{B^2} \left(E_\theta B_z - E_z B_\theta - \eta_\perp \frac{dp}{dr} \right)$$

in a steady-state, $v_r = 0$ $E_{\theta} = 0$ $E_z = const.$ $\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{E_z B_{\theta}}{\eta_{\perp}}$ Using parallel component of Ohm's law, $E_z = \eta_{//} j_z$

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} j_z B_{\theta}$$
from poloidal beta, $\beta_p = \frac{2\int_0^a prdr}{(B_{\theta a}^2/2\mu_o)a^2}$ $2\int_0^a prdr = -\int_0^a \frac{dp}{dr}r^2 dr$
Using Ampere's law, $j_z = \frac{1}{\mu_o r} \frac{d}{dr}(rB_{\theta})$
 $2\int_0^a prdr = \int_0^a \frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} j_z B_{\theta} r^2 dr = \int_0^a \frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} \frac{B_{\theta} r}{\mu_o} \frac{d}{dr}(rB_{\theta}) dr = \frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} \frac{B_{\theta}^2}{2\mu_o} a^2$

$$\longrightarrow \qquad \beta_p = \frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} \qquad \beta_p = \frac{\eta_{//}}{\eta_{\perp}} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \text{paramagnetic effect, "deflected"}$$

Pfirsch-Schluter Current : heuristic approach



Pfirsch-Schluter Current : formal calculation

Poloidal current density
$$j_{p} = \frac{B_{p}}{B} j_{\parallel} - \frac{B_{\phi}}{B} j_{\perp}$$
 $\vec{j}_{\perp} = -(\vec{j} \times \vec{B}) \times \vec{B} / B^{2}$
 $= -\nabla p \times \vec{B} / B^{2}$
 $\vec{j}_{p} = \nabla f \times \nabla \phi$
 $\vec{B}_{p} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi$
 $j_{\parallel} = \frac{df}{d\psi} B_{p}$ $j_{\perp} = \frac{1}{B} |\nabla p| = -\frac{1}{B} \frac{dp}{d\psi} |\nabla \psi| = -\frac{RB_{p}}{B} \frac{dp}{d\psi}$
 $\mu_{o} f(\psi) = RB_{\phi}$
In steady state, $\oint E_{ps} ds = 0$ $\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial \vec{B} / \partial t = 0$
Ohm's law
 $\eta_{\parallel} j_{\parallel} = \frac{B_{p}}{B} E_{ps} + \frac{B_{\phi}}{B} E_{\phi}$ $f' = \mu_{o} fp' \frac{\langle 1/B_{p} \rangle}{\langle B^{2} / B_{p} \rangle} + \frac{\langle E_{\phi} B_{\phi} / B_{p} \rangle}{\eta_{\parallel} \langle B^{2} / B_{p} \rangle}$
 $j_{\parallel} = -\mu_{o} fp' \left(\frac{1}{B} - \frac{\langle 1/B_{p} \rangle}{\langle B^{2} / B_{p} \rangle}B\right) + \frac{\langle E_{\phi} B_{\phi} / B_{p} \rangle}{\eta_{\parallel} \langle B^{2} / B_{p} \rangle}B$ Pfirsch-Schluter Current

Pfirsch-Schluter Current :

for circular cross section/large aspect-ratio case

Pfirsch-Schluter
$$j_{ps} = -\mu_o fp' \left(\frac{1}{B} - \frac{\langle 1/B_p \rangle}{\langle B^2/B_p \rangle} B \right)$$

For circular cross section and large aspect-ratio case, taking $B_p / B_{\phi} \sim \varepsilon = r / R_o$

$$B_{\phi} = \frac{B_{o}}{1 + \varepsilon \cos\theta} \qquad \qquad B_{p} = B_{\theta}(1 + \varepsilon \Lambda(r) \cos\theta)$$



Pfirsch-Schluter Diffusion

Diffusion in a torus for a low temperature, collisional plasma

$$v_{\perp} = \frac{E_{ps}B_{\phi} - E_{\phi}B_{p}}{B^{2}} - \eta_{\perp} \frac{\nabla_{\perp}p}{B^{2}}$$
With parallel component of Ohm's law
$$\eta_{\parallel}j_{\parallel} = \frac{B_{p}}{B}E_{ps} + \frac{B_{\phi}}{B}E_{\phi}$$

$$v_{\perp} = \frac{B_{\phi}(\eta_{\parallel}j_{\parallel}B - E_{\phi}B_{\phi})/B_{p} - E_{\phi}B_{p}}{B^{2}} - \eta_{\perp} \frac{\nabla_{\perp}p}{B^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{B_{\phi}}{BB_{p}}\eta_{\parallel}j_{\parallel} - \eta_{\perp} \frac{\nabla_{\perp}p}{B^{2}} - \frac{E_{\phi}}{B_{p}} = \frac{B_{\phi}}{BB_{p}}\eta_{\parallel}j_{ps} - \eta_{\perp} \frac{\nabla_{\perp}p}{B^{2}} + \frac{1}{B_{p}}(\frac{\langle E_{\phi}B_{\phi}/B_{p} \rangle}{\langle B^{2}/B_{p} \rangle}B_{\phi} - E_{\phi})$$
Pfirsch-Schluter Diffusion
Diffusion in a cylinder
Total plasma flux across a magnetic surface
$$\Gamma = 2\pi n \oint v_{\perp}Rds = 2\pi n \langle v_{\perp}R \rangle \oint ds$$

$$\langle v_{\perp}R \rangle_{ps} = -\eta_{\parallel}\mu_{o}fp' \left\langle \frac{RB_{\phi}}{B_{p}} \left(\frac{1}{B^{2}} - \frac{\langle 1/B_{p} \rangle}{\langle B^{2}/B_{p} \rangle} \right) \right\rangle$$

$$\frac{\langle v_{\perp}R \rangle_{ps}}{R_{o}} = -2\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2}\eta_{\parallel}\frac{dp/dr}{B_{\theta}^{2}} \qquad \frac{\langle v_{\perp}R \rangle}{B_{\theta}^{2}} = -\frac{dp/dr}{B^{2}}(\eta_{\perp} + 2q^{2}\eta_{\parallel}) - \frac{E_{\phi}B_{\theta}}{B^{2}}$$

Banana Regime Transport : heuristic approach

In the absence of collisions, those particles with $v_{//} \le \varepsilon^{1/2} v_{\perp}$ are trapped and these trapped particles dominate the transport. --> banana regime

Collisions cause scattering out of the trapped region of velocity space with collisional diffusion in velocity space through an angle $\Lambda \theta \sim \varepsilon^{1/2}$

Effective collision frequency for detrapping $v_{eff} = v/(\Delta \theta)^2 \sim v/\varepsilon$

Requirement for banana regime: effective collision frequency<bounce frequency

$$v < \frac{\varepsilon^{3/2} v_T}{qR}$$
 $\sim v_{eff} \sim v / \varepsilon < \omega_b \sim \frac{\varepsilon^{1/2} v_T}{qR}$

1/2

From the random walk model, banana width as a step length with the effective collision frequency for trapped particles alone gives diffusion coefficient D

$$w_b = \frac{q}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \rho_e \longrightarrow D = \varepsilon^{1/2} w_b^2 v_{eff} \sim \varepsilon^{1/2} (\frac{q}{\varepsilon^{1/2}} \rho_e)^2 \frac{v_e}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{q^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} v_e \rho_e^2 \right)^2$$

Electron and ion thermal diffusivities

$$\chi_e \sim D \sim \frac{q^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} v_e \rho_e^2 \qquad \chi_i \sim \frac{q^2}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} v_i \rho_i^2 \qquad \chi_i \sim \left(\frac{m_i}{m_e}\right) \quad \chi_e$$

Banana Regime Transport : kinetic approach

Fundamental kinetic equation in a steady state

$$\vec{v} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{Ze(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})}{m} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = C(f) \qquad \qquad \vec{v}_d = \frac{v_{ll}^2 + v_{\perp}^2/2}{\omega_c} \frac{\vec{B} \times \nabla \vec{B}}{B^2}$$

Drift kinetic equation $\vec{v}_{//} \cdot \nabla f + \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f + \frac{ZeE_{//}}{m} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_{//}} = C(f)$ Fokker-Planck collision operator

Particle flux across a magnetic surface

$$\Gamma = \iint f \vec{v}_d \cdot d\vec{S} d^3 v$$

To solve DKE, two expansions are used

 $O(\rho/a) = O(ZeER/T)$ - small Larmor radius and inductive electric field - small ratio of collision frequency to trapped particle bounce frequency O(v)

$$f = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)} = f^{(0)} + f^{(1)^{0}} + f^{(1)^{1}} \qquad W = Ze \phi + mv^{2}/2$$

$$\vec{v}_{/\!/} \cdot \nabla f^{(0)} = C(f^{(0)}) \longrightarrow f^{(0)} = f_{M}(W) = N(m/2\pi T)^{3/2} \exp(-W/T)$$

$$\vec{v}_{/\!/} \cdot \nabla f^{(1)} + \vec{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla f_{M} + \frac{ZeE_{/\!/}v_{/\!/}}{m} \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial W} = C(f^{(1)}) \qquad (*)$$

Banana Regime Transport : flux

To obtain an equation for the flux in terms of
$$f^{(1)0}$$
, $\Gamma = -\iint f\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi \frac{dS}{|\nabla \psi|} d^3 v$
 $\vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla \psi = \frac{v_{//}^2 + \mu B / m}{\omega_c} \nabla \vec{B} \cdot \frac{\nabla \psi \times \vec{B}}{B^2}$

From energy conservation, $0 = \nabla (v_{//}^2 + \mu B / m) = 2v_{//} \nabla v_{//} + \mu \nabla B / m$

$$(v_{//}^{2} + \mu B / m)\nabla B = v_{//}^{2}\nabla B - 2v_{//}\nabla v_{//} = -v_{//}B^{2}\nabla(v_{//} / B)$$

$$\vec{v}_{d} \cdot \nabla \psi = \frac{v_{//}}{\omega_{c}} (\vec{B} \times \nabla \psi) \cdot \nabla (v_{//} / B) \qquad \vec{B} = \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi + RB_{\phi} \nabla \phi$$
$$= \frac{v_{//}}{\omega_{c}} (\frac{(\nabla \psi)^{2}}{R^{2}} \nabla \phi - RB_{\phi} \nabla \psi \times \nabla \phi) \cdot \nabla (v_{//} / B) = -\frac{v_{//}}{\omega_{c}} I(\psi) \vec{B} \cdot \nabla (v_{//} / B)$$

$$\frac{mv_{//}}{B} \text{ moment of eq}(*)$$

$$\iint \frac{mv_{//}}{B} \vec{v}_{//} \cdot \nabla f^{(1)} \frac{dS}{|\nabla \psi|} d^{3}v = \iint \frac{mv_{//}}{B} \vec{v}_{//} \cdot \nabla f^{(1)} \frac{dS}{|\nabla \psi|} 2\pi \sum_{\sigma=\pm 1} Bd\mu dW / |v_{//}|$$
flux
$$\Gamma = -\int \left(\frac{m}{ZeB} \int v_{//} C(f^{(1)}) d^{3}v + n \frac{E_{//}}{B}\right) I \frac{dS}{|\nabla \psi|} \text{ collisional diffusion}$$

Banana Regime Transport : F-P solution

 $\vec{v}_{//} \cdot \nabla f^{(1)} + \vec{v}_d \cdot \nabla f_M + \frac{ZeE_{//}v_{//}}{m} \frac{\partial f_M}{\partial W} = C(f^{(1)})$ low collisionality expansion $f^{(1)} = f^{(1)^0} + f^{(1)^1}$ $\frac{v_{\prime\prime}}{B}\vec{B}\cdot\nabla f^{(1)} - \frac{m}{Ze}\frac{v_{\prime\prime}}{B}\vec{B}\cdot\nabla(\frac{v_{\prime\prime}}{B})\frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial \psi} = 0 \qquad \vec{v}_{d}\cdot\nabla\psi = -\frac{v_{\prime\prime}}{\omega_{c}}I(\psi)\vec{B}\cdot\nabla(v_{\prime\prime}/B)$ B integrating $f^{(1)^{0}} = \frac{m}{Ze} \frac{v_{//}}{B} I \frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial \psi} + g(\psi, W, \mu, \sigma)$ diamagnetic drift of Maxwellian distribution $\frac{v_{//}}{B}\vec{B}\cdot\nabla f^{(1)^{1}} + ZeE_{//}v_{//}\frac{\partial f_{M}}{\partial W} = C(f^{(1)^{0}})$ $\begin{cases}
\text{ integration for passing particles}} & \oint \left\{ C(\frac{mv_{//}}{ZeB}I\frac{\partial f_M}{\partial \psi} + g) - ZeE_{//}v_{//}\frac{\partial f_M}{\partial W} \right\} \frac{ds}{v_{//}} = 0 \\
\text{ integration for trapped particles}} & \int_{s(\theta_1)}^{s(\theta_2)} C(g)\frac{ds}{v_{//}} = 0
\end{cases}$ $\int_{s(\theta_1)}^{s(\theta_2)} C(g) \frac{ds}{ds} = 0$

Provide collisional constraint necessary to determine g and hence $f^{(1)0}$

Plateau Transport



Ware Pinch Effect





An interesting new effect is predicted for plasma in banana regime. Radial neoclassical diffusion can induce a toroidal current, called **Bootstrap current** which is very important for future steady-state tokamaks.



Fig. 3 Typical poloidal trajectories of a barely trapped electron (curve a+b) and of barely free electrons with parallel velocities in the direction of <u>E</u> (curve a) and in the direction opposite to <u>E</u> (curve b). The curve c is the trace of the magnetic surface. The axis of symmetry is at the left.

Total bootstrap currents

 $\frac{I_b}{I} = c \varepsilon^{1/2} \beta_p$

Neoclassical Resistivity

In a cylindrical plasma, the resistivity along the field line is the Spitzer resistivity

$$\eta_{Sp} = \sigma_{Sp}^{-1} = \frac{1}{1.96ne^2\tau_e/m_e}$$

In a tokamak, the trapped electrons are unable to move freely along the magnetic field in response to an applied electric field. In the banana regime, the conductivity becomes

$$\sigma = \sigma_{Sv} f(\varepsilon)$$

In a large aspect-ratio approximation, the current density parallel to the magnetic field

$$j_{\prime\prime} = \sigma_{sn} (1 - 1.95 \varepsilon^{1/2}) E_{\prime\prime} + j_{h}$$

A more accurate form of aspect-ratio dependence from the extended calculation is

$$\sigma = \sigma_{Sp} (1 - \varepsilon^{1/2})^2$$



Experimental evidence of bootstrap current on TFTR.

Ripple and Ripple Well Region

The finite number of toroidal field coils produce a short wavelength 'ripple' in the magnetic field strength as a field line is followed around the torus

$$B = B_o (1 - \varepsilon \cos \theta) (1 - \delta(r, \theta) \cos N\phi)$$

$$\phi = \phi_o + q\,\theta$$

The well vanish for angles θ such that

$$\delta = \left(\frac{R}{R_{outer}}\right)^{N} + \left(\frac{R_{inner}}{R}\right)^{N}$$

 $\alpha |\sin \theta| > 1$ $\alpha = \varepsilon / (Nq\delta)$





ripple well region

Ripple Transport

• **Ripple well transport :** in the ripple well region, banana trapped particles with tip positions at the edge of the ripple well region becoming trapped in the local toroidal wells and subsequently being lost via grad-B drifts vertically

• **Ripple banana transport :**ripple modifies the orbits of the banana trapped particles, leading to transport

• **for thermal particles :** collisional ripple well transport and collisional ripple diffusion

• for fast particles :

collisionless ripple well transport and collisionless stochastic diffusion

for a reactor

• loss of fast α - particles and the associated heat losses to the first wall

• loss of neutral beam injected fast particles, in particular near perpendicular beamlines

• the ripple amplitude at the plasma edge typically less than 1 to 2 percents in order to avoid excessive ripple well losses, while at the plasma center less than 0.01 percent to avoid stochastic diffusion --> need larger number of TF coils --> limit the accessibility of the tokamak

Ripple Transport

• **Collisional ripple well trapping transport :** particles are trapped into and de-trapped out of ripple well trapping by collisional processes, in particular by pitch-angle scattering

Residence time of ripple well trapped particles

Drift velocity : $v_d \sim \frac{\rho v}{R}$

Fraction of ripple well trapped particles

Valid only for $v > v_d \delta / a$

• **Collisionless ripple trapping** : for fast particles the ripple well trapping processes represents a loss cone since trapped particles do not suffer a collision before being lost, i.e. $v < v_d \delta / a$

 $D \sim \delta^{1/2} \left(\frac{\rho v \delta}{R v}\right)^2 \left(\frac{v}{s}\right)^2 \frac{\delta^{3/2} \rho^2 v^2}{R^2 v}$

Different ripple value on approaching the turning point cause collisionless trapping

$$\Delta w (\partial \delta / \partial z) \sim \frac{v_d R}{N v \delta^{1/2}} (\partial \delta / \partial z)$$

The rate of collisionless trapping in terms of trapping probability *p* per bounce

$$p \sim \frac{\rho}{R} (Nq\varepsilon)^{1/2}$$



For large Δ , the step itself leads to de-correlation of the orbits when the change in toroidal bounce angle becomes $\Delta \phi = (\partial \phi / \partial z) \Delta \approx 2\pi / N$ Chirikov parameter $\gamma = N\Delta(\partial \phi / \partial z)$

For large gamma, full de-correlation leads to stochastic motion and to fast transport Monte carlo codes gives the diffusion coefficient of $D = \frac{\Delta^2}{\tau_h} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(6.9 - 5.5\gamma)}}$

Tokamak Energy Confinement

Tokamaks do not behave as predicted by neoclassical theory. The energy confinement, as measured by the confinement time, is found to be much shorter than the neoclassical value. As a result, an empirical representation of the confinement time has been widely used.

- Global energy confinement time
 - Definition and significance
 - Various operation modes
 - Confinement scaling laws
- Transport and Energy loss mechanisms
 - Fluctuations and turbulence
 - Radiation losses

Global Energy Confinement Time

Definition $\tau_E = E_{total} / (P_{in} - dE_{total} / dt) \approx E_{total} / P_{in}$

- To predict the performance of future devices, the energy confinement time is one of the most important parameter
- Since tokamak transport is anomalous, empirical scaling laws for energy confinement are necessary
- *Empirical scaling laws* : regression analysis from available experimental database.

$$\tau_E = n^{\alpha} a^{\omega} R^{\varepsilon} B^{\rho} I_p^{\theta} q_a^{\psi} T^{\beta} P_{in}^{\iota}$$

Tokamak Operation Modes

- Ohmically heated plasmas
 - Ohmic energy confinement scaling
- Auxilliary heated plasma operation modes
 - L-mode
 - H-, VH-, CH-, CDH-modes
 - Super-shot, high-li mode, hot ion mode, PEP
 - ERS, NCS

Ohmic Energy Confinement Scaling

- Linear dependency on density
 - Alcator (or INTOR) scaling :

 $\tau_{E}[sec]=0.5 a^{2} (n/10^{20})$

- neo-Alcator (or Goldston) $\tau_{\rm F}[\text{sec}]=0.071 \, a^{1.04} \, R^{2.04} \, (n/10^{20}) q^{0.5}$
- very promising, but conflict with neoclassical theory
- Saturation at higher density range
 - $n_{sat} = 0.06 \times 10^{20} I_p R A^{0.5} a^{-2.5} \kappa^{-1}$
 - partly due to increased radiative losses.
 - Improved confinement w/o density saturation observed at ASDEX (peaked density profile)

Ohmic Energy Confinement Scaling

- Improved confinement w/o density saturation observed at ASDEX (peaked density profile)
- New scaling law from C-Mod
 - Similar scaling to L-mode : $\tau_E \propto M^{0.3} I_p P_{tot}^{-0.5}$
 - neo-Alcator scaling at low density

 $(n < 1.5x \ 10^{20}, k < 1.35)$

 $\tau_E\!=\!0.07\;aqR^2\,(n/10^{20}\,)k^{0.5}$



Auxilliary-heated Plasmas : L-mode

- During the early phase of tokamak heating experiments, the plasma confinement was found to degrade very rapidly from the ohmic value with application of auxiliary heating. The typical observation is that the τ_E increases with the plasma current(note that it is opposite to the ohmic), decreases with the applied power, increases with R and a little dependence with density and minor radius.
- Goldston scaling : $\tau_{G}[sec]=0.037 I R^{1.75} a^{-0.37} P^{-0.5}$
- ITER89-P scaling:

 $\tau_{E}[sec]=0.048 \ I^{0.85} R^{1.2} a^{0.3} \kappa^{0.5} (n/10^{20})^{0.1} B^{0.2} A^{0.5} / P^{0.5}$

- Confinement scaling valid from ohmic to strongly additionally heated plasmas
 - Goldston : $\tau_{E,G}^{-2} = \tau_{OH}^{-2} + \tau_{AUX,G}^{-2}$
 - linear offset: $E=E_{OH} + E_{AUX}$ or $\tau_{E,} = E_{OH} / P_{tot} + \tau_{inc}$
 - transport model for anomalous electron (Rebut, Lallia and Watkins)

L-mode Confinement



ITER89-P scaling: $\tau_{\rm E}[\text{sec}]=0.048 \ I^{0.85} \ R^{1.2} \ a^{0.3} \ \kappa^{0.5} \ (n/10^{20})^{0.1} \ B^{0.2} \ A^{0.5} / P^{0.5}$

Auxilliary-heated Plasmas : H-mode

• In 1982 IAEA meeting, a new improved confinement regime in diverted ASDEX plasmas was reported (F. Wagner et al.) which was termed H-mode(high mode). Compared to the L-mode, the energy confinement similar to that of ohmic plasma was recovered. The reported H-mode confinement time typically had the twice that of the L-mode.

The improvement in the energy confinement comes about mainly due to the increased density while keeping the central temperature relatively unchanged (note that since the L-mode has little density dependence, this is considered to be a breakthrough). The density and electron temperature profile during Hmode are broader and are characterized of having "pedestal" at the plasma edge.



FIG.4. Thermally ($\tau_{\rm E}$, plotted as crosses) and magnetically ($\tau_{\rm E}^{\dagger}$, plotted as circles) measured confinement times for two plasma currents and for L-type and H-type discharges.

H-mode Characteristics

- H-mode has a similar scaling law, but with 1-2 times improvement over L-mode : $\tau_{\rm H} = H \tau_{\rm E}^{\rm TTER 89-P}$ or $\tau_{\rm Th}^{\rm TTER H93-P}$ [sec]=0.053 I^{1.06} R^{1.9} a^{-0.11} $\kappa^{0.66}$ (n/10²⁰)^{0.17} B^{0.32} A^{0.41}/P^{0.67}
- Reduced edge recycling and often with ELM (edge localized mode) activities.
- Broader density and temperature profiles give a larger stored energy and high beta discharges.
- However, not much central temperature and density increase shows less favorable for producing neutrons.
- H-mode transition seems to be strongly related to the formation of radial electric field (or poloidal rotation).
- Power threshold for H-mode increases with the product of density^(0.5-1.0), magnetic field, and surface area^(0.5-1.0).
- Various H-modes : VH (DIIID), CH(PBX-M), CDH(ASDEX-U)



Other Improved Confinement Modes

- **Super-Shot(TFTR):** neutral beam injection into a low density plasma, two oppositely injected balanced beams, low edge recycling, peaked density profile, H=3
- Hot Ion Mode(JET) : similar mode to TFTR super shot, high power NBI in low density target plasmas, beryllium-conditioned wall, centrally peaked density and temperature profiles, highest fusion triple product in JET, Q_{DT} ~1
- High-li Mode : peaked current density profile $\tau_E \propto l_i^{\alpha} = 0.67 < \alpha < 0.8$
- PEP(Pellet Enhanced Performance) or 'High β_p ' H-mode :
- H=3.8, peaked pressure profiles by the injection of hydrogen pellets(JET, JT60-U)
- **VH Mode :** H=3.6, boronized wall(DIII-D), beryllium surfaces(JET), edge temperature pedestal and a high edge bootstrap current
- Enhanced Reversed Shear or Negative Central Shear : TFTR (PRL 75(1995)4417) and DIII-D (PRL 75(1995)4421)

Enhanced Reversed Shear(ERS:TFTR) Negative Central Shear(NCS:DIII-D)

- Current density profile can be optimized to be desirable for confinement, stability, and bootstrap current.
- --> reversed magnetic shear i.e. hollow current density profile





Scaling Laws

- Theory
 - scale invariance technique

(Connor-Taylor or Kadomtsev constraints)

- exploits the invariance of the governing equations under scale transformations
- no information on geometrical ratios and the safety factor
- Experimental scaling relations
- - ITER89-P scaling law gives Bohm scaling with $\tau_{E} \sim \tau_{R} \beta^{-1/2} {v_{*}}^{-1/4}$
- Simple physics model
 - beam fueling profile

Scaling Laws Based on Theory

Vlasov (or F-P) equation with quasi-neutrality condition (or Poisson's eqn)

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{x}} + \frac{e_j(\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B})}{m_j} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{v}} = 0 \qquad \sum_j e_j \int f_j d^3 v = 0$

Three scale transformations $T1: f_j \to \alpha f_j$ $T2: v \to \beta v, t \to \beta^{-1}t, E \to \beta^2 E, B \to \beta B$

$$T3: t \to \gamma t, x \to \gamma x, E \to \gamma^{-1}E, B \to \gamma^{-1}B$$

4.14.1 Scaling laws for various plasma models

Confinement time

$$\tau_E \propto n^p B^q T^r a^s$$

three constraints

$$C1: p = 0$$
$$C2: q + 2r = -1$$
$$C3: s - q = 1_{j}$$

Scaling relation

 $\tau_E \propto \frac{1}{B} \left(\frac{T}{a^2 B^2} \right)^r$ $\tau_E = \frac{1}{B} F \left(\frac{T}{a^2 B^2} \right)$

| lasma model | Scaling law for $B\tau_{\rm E}$ | Constraints on power law scaling (4.14.3) | Numbr of fru expan |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------|
| Collisionless low- β | $F(T/a^2B^2)$ | p = 0, s = -2r = q + 1 | 1 |
| Collisional low- β | $F\left(\frac{T}{a^2B^2},\frac{na^2}{B^4a^5}\right)$ | 3p + 2r + s = 0 4p + q + 2r + 1 = 0 | - 1 |
| Collisionless high- β | $F\left(na^2, \frac{T}{a^2B^2}\right)$ | 2p - 2r - s = 0 $q + 2r + 1 = 0$ | 2 |
| Collisional high- β | $F(na^2, Ta^{1/2}, Ba^{5/4})$ | $2p + \frac{5}{4}q + \frac{r}{2} - s + \frac{5}{4} = 0$ | 3 |
| deal mhd | $(na^2)^{1/2}F(nT/B^2)$ | 2p + q = 0 q + 2r + 1 = 0 s = 1 | 1 |
| Resistive mhd | $(na^2)^{1/2}F(nT/B^2, Ta^{1/2})$ | $2p + q = 0p - r + 2s - \frac{5}{2} = 0$ | 2 |

Experimental Scaling Relations

Bohm diffusion time
$$\tau_B = a^2 / D_B D_B = T / eB D_B \sim \omega_c \rho^2$$

 $\tau_E = \frac{1}{B} F\left(\frac{T}{a^2 B^2}\right) \quad \tau_B \sim \omega_c^{-1} (a / \rho)^2 \longrightarrow \quad \tau_E = \tau_B F(\rho_*) \quad \rho_* = \frac{\rho}{a}$
More generally $\tau_E = \tau_B F(\rho_*, \beta, \nu_*, \lambda_*)$
 $\lambda_* = \frac{\lambda_D}{a} \quad \nu_* = \frac{V}{\varepsilon^{3/2} \nu_T / Rq}$

• Gyro-Bohm scaling : confinement governed by small scale turbulence on the scale of Larmor radius, the collisionless skin depth, or the layer width of resistive instabilities τ_{B}

$$\tau_E = \frac{\iota_B}{\rho_*} F(\beta, \nu_*)$$

- Bohm scaling : turbulence on the scale of a $\tau_E = \tau_B F(\beta, \nu_*)$
- ITER89-P scaling law gives Bohm scaling with $\tau_E \sim \tau_B \beta^{-1/2} {\nu_*}^{-1/4}$

Scaling Law Based on Physics Model



Transport and Energy Loss Mechanisms

Energy confinement <-- particle diffusion and convection, radiation losses

- Anomalous transport
 - Transport coefficients
 - Fluctuations
 - Turbulence-induced transport
 - Candidate modes for anomalous transport
- Radiation losses
 - Impurity transport
 - Radiation losses
 - Impurity radiation

Transport Coefficients



 $\chi_i \sim 100 \chi_i \qquad \chi_e \sim 10 \chi_e$

• D, Dz and χ_i can approach neoclassical levels in the plasma core and in high confinement regime, but χ_e almost always anomalous.

• Neoclassical value in the core and very anomalous in the outer region

• various confinement regimes show different characteristics of χ_i and χ_e

Anomalous Transport



* trapped particle instabilities.
v*e < 1, the trapped electron instability
At lower collision frequencies,
the trapped ion mode may occur.</pre>



Fluctuations

Anomalous transport is believed to arise from turbulent diffusion caused by fluctuations in the plasma either electrostatic or electromagnetic.



Fluctuations: Correlations with Transport

Comparison of the radial dependence of the fluctuation *amplitude* and $\chi(r)$.



Globally, the correlation between the level of fluctuations and the confinement time

 Clear correlation found in ohmic, ICRF, NBI heated plasmas in TFR

Correlation between fluctuations and L-H transition in DIII-D and ASDEX



Turbulence-Induced Transport

Theoretical picture : Free energy released by an instability drives a steady level of fluctuations in the associated perturbed quantities, resulting in a radial transport of particles and energy.

- level 1: assume the behavior of the underlying instability as given
 - calculate the transport arising from the electrostatic and electromagnetic fluctuations, δE and δB , by random walk estimates for turbulent transport arising from the fluctuating ExB drift velocity and from the parallel motion along stochastic magnetic field lines
 - quasi-linear theory : turbulent fluxes such as particle flux are calculated using the linear plasma response to δE and δB
- level 2: attempt to calculate the nonlinear saturated state of the micro-instability
 - scale invariance approach to discuss local rather than global transport
 - strong turbulence theory : saturation is taken to occur when the perturbed gradient $\nabla \delta n$ is comparable with the equilibrium gradient ∇n
 - weak turbulence theory : the linear growth of a particular wavelength fluctuation is balanced against its decay due to nonlinear scattering to other wavelengths

Candidate Modes for Anomalous Transport

Several micro-instabilities have been invoked to explain the observed fluctuations and the associated transport, especially for anomalous transport
A commonly used model for ohmic and L-mode plasmas assumes electrostatic trapped electron drift waves and ion temperature gradient modes with a contribution from resistive ballooning modes near the plasma edge

- Electron drift wave instabilities : diffusivities have gyro-Bohm form
- Ion temperature gradient modes(η_i modes):

 $\eta_i = d \ln T_i / d \ln n$, the η_i mode with $k_{\perp} \rho_s < 1$ become unstable possible explanation of the confinement scalings for both the saturated ohmic and the L-mode confinements

• Resistive ballooning modes:

driven by the pressure gradient at bad curvature region

• Micro-tearing modes

Effect of Zonal Flow :gyrokinetic simulation



3-D Imaging of Turbulence : microwave imaging reflectometry



Impurity Transport









Impurity Transport

- Anomalous impurity transport
 - simple universal empirical formula



Radiation Losses

 $P_c = \frac{e^4}{3\pi\epsilon} c^3 m^2 n_e B^2 T_e$



optically thick --> black body radiation
power loss: 1MW/m³ --> 10⁻² MW/m³

