Enhanced Pipeline Scheduling

Overview of Enhanced Pipeline Scheduling

- Compiler Scheduling for ILP
- Basic Idea of EPS and a Generic Example
- Aggressive DAG scheduling techniques

Review of Compiler Instruction Scheduling

Extract independent instructions from sequential code and group them for parallel execution

• We expect them to be executed in parallel by H/W

Scheduling within BB is not enough to make H/W busy

Need advanced techniques that schedule beyond BBs

Classified into two categories based on code type

- Acyclic code: Global DAG Scheduling
- Cyclic code: Software Pipelining



Schedule beyond BB boundaries in a DAG of BBs

Problem: create a parallel group at the root of a DAG

Achieved via code motion across BBs

- Speculative code motion
- Join code motion
- Branch code motion
- Renaming & substitution
- Unification



Speculation, join code motion



Renaming



Forward-substitution



Unification

- Simplest form: moving an instr. below a hammock to the above of the hammock
- Selective scheduling can do more sophisticated form of unification





Schedule instructions beyond loop iteration boundaries

- Iterations are overlapped in a pipelined fashion
 - prolog, kernel, and epilog
- More efficient than unrolling-followed-by-DAG scheduling

Modulo scheduling is the most popular technique, but there is yet another practical technique called

• Enhanced pipeline scheduling (EPS)

Enhanced Pipeline Scheduling (EPS)

- A software pipelining technique based on global DAG scheduling, which is very different from MS
 - MS destroys the original loop and creates a new loop
- For a given loop, we just repeat DAG scheduling.
- When instructions are moved across the loop backedge, the "pipelining effect" takes place. We call it cross-iteration code motion (CICM).
- So, EPS simply defines DAGs in the loop body by cutting edges, which are then scheduled globally.

A generic EPS example



Advantages of EPS

- We can schedule "ANY" loops
 - Loops with arbitrary control flows
 - Loops whose trip counts are not constants
 - e.g., pointer-chasing loops
 - Outer loops
 - Unstructured loops
- due to its code-motion-based pipelining
- Can achieve tight, variable II for multi-path loops
 - Particularly useful for optimizing integer code

Global DAG Scheduling

- We can use any global scheduling techniques for scheduling of DAGs in each stage of EPS, but
- we use selective scheduling (most aggressive)
 - All-path speculative code motion
 - Join code motion
 - Unification
 - Renaming
 - Forward substitution

Selective Scheduling

- All these techniques are well merged into a single, powerful global scheduling algorithm
 - Can extract more useful parallel instructions (even w/o profiling)
- When combined with EPS, it can maximize the scheduling power of EPS
- References
 - "Parallelizing non-numerical code with selective scheduling and software pipelining" ACM TOPLAS Nov. 1997
 - "Unroll-based copy elimination for EPS" IEEE TC Sep. 2002
 - "Split-Path EPS" IEEE TPDS May 2003 Microprocessor Architecture & System Software Lab