

## 8. Scaling of IET(Integral Effect Test)

3 Semiscale, LOBI, SPES, BETHSY, LSTF.

- full height
- maximum power 3 volume scaled reduction.
- decay power  $\approx 10\%$ 
  - prevent correctly scaled temperatures and flow rates is nominal conditions.
- preserve  $T_{MH}$  during steady state operation and  $T_{hot, cold}$
- higher pressure than the reference nominal value.
- less maximum allowable flow rate of RCP
- $L/\sqrt{D}$  scaling by Zuber for HL and CL piping in SPES, BETHSY and LSTF  
volume scaling.

Quantity	Semiscale	Lobi	Spec	PKI	Bethsy	LSTF	Doel
	Mod 2A	Mod 2					
1 Reference reactor and power [MW]	3111	3300	3775	3900	3700	3100	1187
2 Maximum power [MW] <sup>a</sup> of nominal power	20100	51100	90138	2510	3010	10011	11873100
3 Reported $K_{eff}$	1.700	1.710 <sup>b</sup>	1.707	1.721	1.703	1.48	1.1
4 Number of rods	25	61	97	300	15	1001	20130
5 Maximum pressure of primary loop [ $MP_g$ ]	15.5	15.5	200	10	17.0	1000	15.5
6 Maximum pressure of secondary loop [ $MP_g$ ]	60	100	100	50	80	70	580
7 Primary loop volume [ $m^3$ ]	0.100	0.5	0.200	0.1	0.88	80	100.5
8 Number of U-tubes for steam generators	2.0	8.01	12.1313	50.0000	3.1131	1.1111	200.000
9 Internal diameter of U-tube [Inch]	10.7	10.6	15.1	100	10.7	10.6	10.6
10 L/D ratio of Hot Leg <sup>c</sup>	117.000	73.1100	57.0	100	58	17.8	1000
11 Total head loss <sup>d</sup> in Hot Leg [Inch]	18.8	10.7 <sup>e</sup>	10.08	100	18.31	18.1	14.7 <sup>d</sup>
12 Linear reactor power at 10% overall power <sup>b</sup> [kW net]	100	100	1.27 <sup>d</sup>	100	0.90	0.01	1.0 <sup>e</sup>
13 Actual $K_{eff}$	1.500	1.000	1.000	1.70	1.11	1.48	1.55

a Maximum and minimum value.

b The 0.1 value is the power reference. The reactor  $K_{eff}$ .

c For 6 moderate heat losses.

d Mean value

Table 1 Relevant characteristics of PWR simulators considered

- The slight difference in the elevation of 2 hot legs due to different diameters affect the time of appearance of voids
  - differences in the behavior of loops especially in the two phase natural circulation period.
- experimental results → Figure 8
- code simulation by RELAP → Figures 10–13
- scaling analysis

From a simplified scaling law,

$$Q_{LO} = \frac{g\beta}{\rho_{cl}C_p} \frac{W_{LO}}{N} (L_{core} + L_{hot}^2/2) \left[ \sum_i \frac{f_i}{A_i} \frac{L_i}{O_i} + \sum_i \frac{K_i}{A_i^3} \right]^{1/3}$$

where W: power, CO: core, LO: loop, UT: u-tube

For  $L/\sqrt{D}$  scaling or volume scaling in hot leg,

i) hot leg volume scaling

$$Q_{LO} = \left[ \frac{C_1 C_2 W_{REF} K_i^3 / N}{\frac{C_3}{A_{M,REF}^2} \frac{L}{D} + C_7 \frac{C_2}{A_{UT,REF}^2} + \frac{C_1}{A_{CO,REF}^2} + C_6} \right]^{1/3} \quad (7.34)$$

ii) hot leg  $L/\sqrt{D}$  scaling

$$Q_{LO} = \left[ \frac{C_1 C_2 K_i^3 W_{REF} / N}{\frac{C_3 K_i^{2.5}}{A_{M,REF}^2} \frac{L}{D} + C_7 \frac{C_2}{A_{UT,REF}^2} + \frac{C_1}{A_{CO,REF}^2} + C_6} \right]^{1/3} \quad (7.35)$$

- Obtain "ideal or extrapolated value with tubing the LSTF valances as a reference (Eqs. (7.34) and (7.35) and compare with experimental and code calculated values.
  - Fig. 26
- For two phase natural circulation, take the available experimental data base as a reference.
- Extrapolation of the reference trend.
  - Fig. 27

Dashed area constitutes the envelope of the curves obtained by the following methods.

1. By multiplying the LSTF related curves by the volume scaling factor
2. By considering the reduction of the peak flow rate when increasing the dimensions
3. By considering the uncertainty which comes from the different L/D is LSTF and real plant
4. By considering the effect of reduced core height.

→ obtained from the evaluation of the effects of relevant parameters on  $\dot{Q}$ .

