Optical Biosensors (SPR Biosensor)

(1) Optical Fiber

- (2) Fluorescence: convenient method and excellent resolution, but photo-toxicity, limited measurable time, and high cost
- (3) Interferometry: high sensitivity, but small dynamic range
- (4) <u>Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR)</u>: Real-time, no labeling, in situ, non-destructive, low volume technique



Surface plasmon resonance (SPR): History

- 1939: Wood and Fano
 - Find a phenomenon of surface plasmon resonance in the continuous source diffraction spectra of metallic gratings.
- 1957-1958: Ritchie and Stern
 - Derived the dispersion relations for surface EM waves at metal surface.
- 1968: Kretschmann and Otto
 - Devised and modified the prism coupling geometry, which is now the most widely used geometry.
- 1989: Knoll
 - Introduced the technique of surface plasmon microscopy.
- 1990: Biacore (GE Healthcare)
 - Commercialized, for the first time.
- 1990 ~ now:
 - Many researchers from a wide variety of disciplines find surface plasmons useful as an analytical tool for surface analysis.
 - Continue to see a increase in the number of articles published each year utilizing SPR biosensor technology.





Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) : Concept





Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) : Concept

Refractive index change (Δn) of dielectric \rightarrow resonance angle change($\Delta \theta$)



http://www.biacore.com



Surface plasmon resonance (SPR) : Concept



Features of SPR biosensor

- •No Labeling
 - No Fluorescence Dyes
- Real Time Measurement
 - Insight to dynamic nature of binding system and layer formation
- Exceptional sensitivity within Localized Volume
 - Small quantities of purified reagents are required





SPR biosensor: Applications

Wide Range of Applications

- Peptide / Protein Protein
- DNA / RNA Protein
- Protein / Receptor Cell
- Antibody Antigen
- Protein Virus / Phage
- Cell surface interactions



Revecca et al., Journal of molecular recognition 2006

Most of SPR researches focus on the:

- 1. Sensitivity
- 2. Portability
- 3. Imaging
- 4. and, Extension of application



1. Sensitivity enhancement using nano-particles

- Sensitivity=minimum detectable substance; need largest change of Angle.
- metallic nanostructures enhance the sensitivity by 1 2 orders of magnitude by localized surface plasmon effect



He et al. JACS 2000

Lyon et al. Anal. Chem. 1998



(A) 12- mer oligonucleotide

- (B) Hybridized with the complementary 24- mer oligonucleotide
- (C) Hybridized with the Au- particle tagged complementary 12- mer oligonucleotide



Mucic et al. JACS 1998

1. Sensitivity enhancement using nano-wires

Localized surface plasmon produced at nanowires also known to amplify sensitivity of SPR

Optimal nanowire geometry :

T-profile ($w_{top} = 40 \text{ nm}, w_{bottom} = 20 \text{ nm}$) Geometrical factor (GF) :

 $0.8 (d_{top} = 16 \text{ nm}, d_{bottom} = 4 \text{ nm})$



* Peak SEF = 40.91

- \rightarrow the second highest among T-profiles
- * Nanowire period at the peak SEF = 100 nm
 - piger period over 100 nm



2. Portability

Texas Instrument: Spreeta

- Size : 40 x 15 x 7 mm
- Light source: LED (< 100 mA)
- Refractive index range: 1.33 to 1.4
- Drift: $< 1x10^{-6}$ RIU/min
- Flow cell vol.: 20 100 nL

NTT-AT: Handy-SPR PS 0109

- Size : 170 x 100 x 50 mm
- Weight: 2 kg
- Measurement range: 65 ~ 75 °
- Light source: LED@770 nm
- Detector: 2048 pixel CCD line sensor



3. Imaging (multichannel)

GWC Technologies BioForce KMAC Plasmonic Xantec

Etc.



Post-binding image



Reference image



Difference image

GWC Technologies



Microscope image of a patterned SPR gold surface.



The Nano eNabler system provides a solution for a number of interesting experiments because it uses a patterned substrate.

BioForce





Array image and corresponding histogram quantifying BHK21 cell binding to a protein ligand array. Strongest signals are observed for bFGF probes, with minimal signal for cytochrome C controls.



GWC Technologies Intro. To. BME

Xantec

4. Extension of application

- Both the electrical (gray traces) and the SPR responses (black traces) increased in magnitude when the stimulation intensity was increased when supra-threshold stimulation currents were applied.
- The SPR responses were highly correlated with simultaneously recorded electrical responses.



