Prolegomena: What Speaks in Favor of an Inquiry into Anticipatory Processes -PART 2

Summarized by Hwiyeol Jo

Department of Computer Science & Engineering Seoul National University

Rosen... Rosen... Rosen...

- Very few mainstream researchers quote Rosen directly
 - Secondary sources are usually quoted
 - Simulate the memory process of human and prove it
- Rosen faced bureaucratic imbecility, and became the victim of that infighting and back-stabbing
 - He distanced himself at first
 - After all, the difficulties he faced affected him
- This is not what defines Rosen as a scholar
 - It would be unfair to his legacy to put more weight on the unfairness he faced

Rosen... Rosen... Rosen...

Rosen's realization of the limits of the reaction paradigm is part of his broad conception of the living

• Our ability to gain knowledge about it is affected by the Cartesian perspective

- To transcend this view, scientists ought to discard knowledge, and to see the world anew
- Rosen alludes to how the "official" position of science often leads to opportunistic positions
 - Everyone was officially a Dialectical Materialist, but unofficially, behind closed doors, nobody was a Dialectical Materialist

The author knows what this means

- He listed his career
 - Rhode Island School of Design
 - Adjunct prof. at Brown University
 - Ohio State University
 - And other experience related to Rosen
- Anyway, his concern is

"Can machine be creative? Better yet: Is creativity an expression of deterministic processes?"

- What a coincidence! His interests coincide with Rosen
 - He called this problem, Elasser problem, Rosen problem

The author knows what this means

- As he wrote computer graphics problems
 - The essence of any creative act is that it results in something that has never existed, not in the mindless reproduction of what is already available
 - Therefore, he left Ohio State
 - Probably as Rosen left Chicago or Buffalo 😳
- The scientific question he tried to answer was
 - "How do minds anticipate?"
 - How brain activity is triggered before an action, not in reaction to something else

The author knows what this means

- The scientific question he tried to answer was
 - "How does the brain know in advance that I will move

my arm or scratch my head, or avoid a collision?"

- In addressing the question, He used mathematical model of dynamic systems, and advanced some hypothesis
 - The mind controls the brain
 - Actually interactions of minds make anticipation possible
 - Anticipation can be described as an attractor within a space of many possible configurations

The author knows what this means

 He did not want to write programs, which never captured his interest

- To give up an endowed chair saved my life as a scientist
- He taught in Germany for ten years
 - In the meanwhile, he contacted with Rosen

 He attempts to test hypotheses in various fields of anticipation expression: communication, design, architecture, human-computer interaction, the various arts

The author knows what this means

- He did not accept the endowed chair in Dallas
 - Interestingly enough, Rosen himself worked for a year in Dallas but he did not like it
- After writing his experience, we conclude this subchapter with suggesting the definitions of anticipation
 - Rosen : An anticipatory system is a system whose current state is determined by a (predicted) future state
 - Nadin (author) : An anticipatory system is a system whose current state is determined not only by a past state, but also by possible future states

Distinguishing between prediction and anticipation

- Predictions are expressions of probabilities
 - i.e., description based on statistical data and on generalizations (that we call scientific laws)
- Anticipations involve possibilities

- Alfred North (1929)
- Burgers (1975)
- Bennett (1976)
- Hacker (1978)
- <c.f.> Marx's writing about work as goal oriented

- Vygotsky (1934/1964), Leontiev (1964), & Galperin (1967)
- Volpert (1969)
- Bernstein (beginning 1924)
- Research in anticipatory processes expands to new hypothesis

- Feynman
 - Contributions to quantum electrodynamics
 - Nobel prize in 1965
 - Probably more by intuition than anything else, part of the scientific story of anticipation
- In an article entitled "Simulating Physics with Computers" (1982)
 - Focused on states s_i = F_i (s_j, s_k, ...)
 - the value of the function at I only involves the points behind in time, earlier than this time i

- In an article entitled "Simulating Physics with Computers" (1982)
 - If indeed F depends on all the points both in the future and the past, then -> ANTICIPATION
 - Current state depends not only on a previous state and the current state, but also on possible future states

The premises for the initial definition of anticipation

- But there is a lot to consider in regard to his own questions
 - If this computer were laid out, is there in fact an organized algorithm by which a solution could be laid out
 - Suppose you know this function Fi and it is a function of the variables in the future as well. How would you lay out numbers so that they automatically satisfy the above question?

However, Feynman was not alluding to a characteristic that is to be affected not only by its past, but also by a possible future realization

- Svoboda (1960)
 - Pelikan (1964)
- The American economist Willford Isbell King (1938)
- George Shackle (2002)

- Zadeh (2009)
 - Arrived at possibility via fuzzy sets
 - Made note of the fact that judgment, perception, and emotions lay a prominent role in what we call economic, legal, and political systems
 - Wants to capture processes unfolding under uncertainty
 - Anticipations (like imagination) are always of a fuzzy nature

This is no longer a preliminary stage

- There are no university classes and no research dedicated to anticipatory perspective
- The subject quite often percolates among the many research themes like CogSci, CS, AI, ALife(?)

- Ishida and Sawada (2004)
- Kelly (1955)
 - Coherence is gained as individuals improve their capacity to anticipate events
 - Anticipation originates in the mind and is geared towards establishing a correspondence between future experiences and predictions related to them
 - ; Our representations lead to anticipations

- Berry et al. (1999) : Anticipation of moving stimuli
 - There are limits to what kind of stimuli can be anticipated
- University of Dundee conference (2003)
- The European project MindRaces (2009)
- A vast amount of work concerning tickling, posture control, and gait control

- Konig, and Kruger (2006)
 - The frog spotting a flying insect
 - The process of filling the informational gap
- Gahery (1987)
 - What happens in anticipation of stimuli, not as a result of them begins to be examined
- It consistently supports the fundamental idea expressed in Rosen's modeling relation
 - Formal description is a model, and the domain knowledge is a realization

- Modeling and simulation is anticipatory mechanisms
 - Neural networks and anticipation only allow us to realize again the difference between purposive activities and deterministic activities, of a different causal condition
 - Some researches are tempting to see the hybrid neuron as a building block of a functional entity with anticipatory properties

Pointers to relevant research

 However, Rosen believed that recursions could not capture the nature of anticipatory process

- The heart of recursion is the conversion of the present to the future
- Incursion cannot also point from the future to the present

Chrisley (2002) : Computing anticipatory systems

• One can go further and inquire as to the extent to which such casual anticipatory systems are computational

- Chrisley (2002) : Computing anticipatory systems
 - Transduction of present data into future data through the agency of a model of the world does not turn the probabilistic prediction into anticipations
 - Indeed, the anticipation is part of the system from which it originates
- Dubois (2000)
 - weak/strong : more/less along Rosen's idea of a model-based process

Pointers to relevant research

Leydesdorff (2004)

Attempts to relate perception and motoric response

- Steckner (2001)
- Riegler (2001)
- Nadin (2009)
- Riegler (2004)

Attempts to relate perception and motoric response

- Zadeh (2001, 2003)
- Ernst von Glasersfeld (1995)
- Pribam (2000)
- Klir (2002)

Recent interests

- The subject & issues related to health have been examined
 Berk et al. (2008) : Major neuro-motoric disorders
 - (Parkinson's disease) are the result of skewed anticipation
 - The research was advanced in an application to an NIH Pioneer grant (Nadin, 2007)