### What are Issues for realizing fusion power plant?

Why do we need fusion?

Requirements for fusion as a future energy source

Setting GOAL!

Where are we?

What are Issues for realizing fusion power plant?

# What are Issues for realizing fusion power plant?



# What are Issues for realizing fusion power plant?

- 1. Do we have enough plasma performance?
- 2. Can we sustain fusion reactor condition stably?
- 3. Can fusion power be handled by surrounding wall?
- 4. Can we supply enough fuel?
- 5. Can we operate reactor without severe environmental problems? Safety, blanket technology
- 6. Can we reactor with reduced cost?
- 7. Integrated DEMO design and system development? Modelling and simulation
- 8. Alternative concepts to resolve any remaining problems?
- 9. Investment for R&D and demonstration?
- 10. License, code and standards, Public acceptance

**0. Setting goal:** Term paper topics from fusion reactor technology 1 of last semester

- Physics Validation Tokamak
- K-DEMO-based fusion reactor
- EU DEMO2-based fusion reactor
- Small fusion power plant (reference : SMART of fission)
- Hybrid reactor
- Spacecraft fusion reactor
- ST (Spherical Torus) fusion neutron source
- Negative Triangularity Tokamak
- SPARC-based compact fusion reactor



**Compact ST fusion power plant (fusion version of SMART)** 

#### 0. Setting goal: Compact ST fusion power plant (fusion version of SMART)

- Power from SMART: 330 MW<sub>th</sub>, 100MW<sub>e</sub>
- Size of reactor from SMART: H=2m, D=1.83m  $\rightarrow$  R=1.2m, a=0.8m,  $\kappa$ =2.0



Parameter	Unit	Symbol	Equation	KSTAR	ITER	K-DEMO	Compact ST
					•	•	<b></b>
Major Radius	m	R <sub>0</sub>		1.8	6.2	6.8	1.2
Minor Radius	m	а		0.5	2.0	2.1	0.8
Elongation		к		1.8	1.7	1.8	2.0
Plasma Current	MA	Ιp		2.0	15.0	12.0	5.0
Toroidal Magnetic Field	Т	BT		3.5	5.3	7.4	9.0
Normalized Beta	-	βN		5.0	1.8	4.0	7.0
Internal Inductance	-	$I_i$		1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8
Sfaety factor		q <sub>eng</sub>		2.19	1.94	3.6	9.6
Average Ion Temperature	keV	Т		3.42	9.31	20.22	15.74
Energy Confinement Time	S	$T_E$		0.12	1.82	1.06	0.45
Average Ion Density	10 <sup>20</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>	n		2.55	0.95	1.04	2.49
Toroidal Beta	%	βτ	$\beta_N I_i I_p / aB_T$	5.71	2.55	3.09	3.89
Fusion Power	MW	Pf		0.318	513	3674	363
Loss Power	MW	Ploss	pV/τ <sub>E</sub>	38	130	677	84
Aux. Heating Power	MW	P <sub>H</sub>		28	73	120	10
Required current drive por	MW	P <sub>NCD</sub>		15.3	112.5	28.8	7.5
Q			P <sub>f</sub> /P <sub>H</sub>	0.01	7	31	36
Troyon Beta Limit	%	$\beta_{Troyon}$	$\beta_N I_i I_p / aB_T$	5.71	2.55	3.09	3.89
H-mode scaling law	s	т <sub>Н98у</sub>		0.12	1.82	0.66	0.18
Greenwald Density limit	10 <sup>20</sup> m <sup>-3</sup>	n <sub>G</sub>	Ι <sub>Ρ</sub> /πa <sup>2</sup>	2.55	1.19	0.87	2.49
H factor		Н		1.0	1.0	1.6	2.5
Greenwald density factor		f <sub>G</sub>		1.0	0.8	1.2	1.0
Bootstrap fraction		f <sub>B</sub>		0.0	0.24	0.83	0.7
Fusion Triple Product	10 <sup>20</sup> m <sup>-3</sup> -s-keV		ηΤτ <sub>ε</sub>	1.0	16.2	22.3	17.6

#### Plasma performances vs. Machine operation limits

$$\beta_{N} \qquad \beta = \beta_{N} I_{p} / a B_{o} \qquad \langle p \rangle = \beta B_{o}^{2} / 2 \mu_{o} \qquad I_{p} \\ q = 2\pi a^{2} B_{o} / R \mu_{o} I_{p} \\ f_{b} \qquad f_{b} \sim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{p} \\ I_{p}^{*}(1-f_{b}) < I_{CD} = \gamma_{CD} * P_{CD} / n_{e,20} R \\ H \text{ factor } \rightarrow \tau_{E} \equiv \langle p \rangle / P_{loss} = \tau_{Eth}^{ELMy} H \\ n_{d} \qquad n_{d} \qquad n = n_{G} I_{P} / \pi a^{2}$$

 $Q = P_f / P_H$ 

But, optimal temperature ~15keV

 $P_{f} = P_{n} + P_{\alpha} = n_{D}n_{T} < \sigma v > E_{f}$ =  $N_{D}N_{T}(B^{4} / 16\mu_{o}^{2}) \beta^{2} E_{f} < \sigma v > /k^{2}T^{2}$ 

#### 1. Do we have enough plasma performance?

- Sufficient fusion power for net electricity generation as required: P<sub>f</sub> > 330 MW<sub>th</sub>, P<sub>net</sub> > 100MW<sub>e</sub>
- Sufficient heating power for required current drive power: P<sub>H</sub> > P<sub>CD</sub> (f<sub>b</sub>)
- Sufficient alpha heating power compared to power loss:  $P_{\alpha} + P_{H} > P_{loss}$  (H factor)
- High field, high current, low density limit, low bootstrap fraction and low β<sub>N</sub> gives low net electricity
  I<sub>p</sub>=10MA, B<sub>o</sub>=9.0T, P<sub>f</sub>=363MW, P<sub>H</sub>=50MW, β<sub>N</sub>=3.5, H=2.2, n<sub>G</sub>=0.5, f<sub>b</sub>=0.5, η<sub>e</sub>=0.4, P<sub>net</sub>=71MW
- High current/low field, high β<sub>N</sub>, low density limit and high bootstrap fraction meets most requirements I<sub>p</sub>=10MA, B<sub>o</sub>=4.5T, P<sub>f</sub>=363MW, P<sub>H</sub>=25MW, β<sub>N</sub>=7, H=2.5, n<sub>G</sub>=0.5, f<sub>b</sub>=0.7, η<sub>e</sub>=0.4, P<sub>net</sub>=95MW
- High field/low current, high β<sub>N</sub>, high H factor and high bootstrap fraction meets most requirements
  I<sub>p</sub>=5MA, B<sub>o</sub>=9.0T, P<sub>f</sub>=363MW, P<sub>H</sub>=10MW, β<sub>N</sub>=7, H=2.5, n<sub>G</sub>=1.0, f<sub>b</sub>=0.7, η<sub>e</sub>=0.4, P<sub>net</sub>=113MW

Plasma stability with high beta limits : high  $\beta_N$  instead of high  $I_p$ Steady-state with high  $f_b$  : high  $q_a$  with low  $I_p$  (high density limit) Plasma confinement with high  $\tau_E$  : high H factor

1. Do we have enough plasma performance?

- Plasma stability with high beta limits : high  $\beta_N$  instead of high  $I_p$ 



1. Do we have enough plasma performance?

- Plasma stability with beta limits : high  $\beta_N$
- Steady-state with high  $f_b$  and low fusion power : high  $q_{95}$ , low  $P_{H\&CD} \rightarrow$  high H



G. SAIBENE et al., Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 44, 1769(2002)

Difficulty in H factor, but uncertainties in scaling law ?

- 1. Do we have enough plasma performance?
  - Plasma stability with beta limits : high  $\beta_N$
  - Steady-state with high  $f_b$  and low fusion power : high  $q_{95}$ , low  $P_{H\&CD} \rightarrow$  high H
  - Plasma confinement with high  $\tau_{E}$ : high H factor with selected scaling law

#### Uncertainties in scaling law ?

$$\tau_{E}^{IPB(y,2)} = 0.1445H_{98}(y,2)M^{0.19}I_{p}^{0.93}R^{1.97}B_{T}^{0.15}\varepsilon^{0.58}\kappa_{a}^{0.78}\overline{n_{e20}}^{0.41}/P_{loss}^{0.69}$$

$$P_{loss} = P_{\alpha} + P_{ohmic} + P_{aux} - P_{brem} - P_{cycl} - P_{line}/3$$

Power balance needs to be estimated carefully. Confirmed only with simulation.

1. Do we have enough plasma performance?

- Plasma stability with beta limits : high  $\beta_{N}$
- Steady-state at low fusion power and high  $f_b$  : high  $q_{95}$
- Plasma confinement with high  $\tau_{\text{E}}$  : high H factor



#### Homework # 1

- 1. Plasma instabilities related to the plasma beta limit
- 2. Improved plasma confinement regimes
- 3. Tokamak plasma operation scenarios