SEVERAL INDEPENDENT VARIABLES

• Remember ~ t:x,y...; q, u etc

Multi-dimensional problems

An integral functional may have an input consisting of

a function u(x, y) that depends on several independent variables. In such a case, the integral is an area integral with the domain of integration defined over a region of the plane. Local stationary behavior at an extremum leads to the <u>necessary condition</u>

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_y} \right) = 0$$
(3.19)

Integrating by part in this case is replaced by its higher dimensional analogue, known as gradient theorem. Note that the necessary condition for the extremum (3.19) is now a partial differential equation. Subscripts on the function u(x, y) in (3.19) refer to partial derivatives with respect to the independent variables x and y A similar derivation may be done for functions u(x, y, z)of the independent variables. The Euler-Lagrange equation in this case is

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_x}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_y}\right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_z}\right) = 0$$

Practice: examine the functional with input function u(x, y) given by

$$I[u(x, y)] = \iint_{D} \left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^2 + 2\operatorname{uf}(x, y) \right] dxdy = 0$$

where the integral is taken over some domain *D* in the plane. <u>Derivation</u> ~~> !!

VARIATIONAL PROBLEMS WITH CONSTRAINTS

There are <mark>two types of extremum problems involving constraints.</mark>

<u>Two Types of Constraint!</u>: Subsidiary conditions that must be satisfied during the variational process may be stated as integral constraints or as equation constraints. **Find an extremal** *y*(*x*) **of the functional** :

$$I(y) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} F(x, y, y') dx$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

 $y(x_0) = y_0, y(x_1) = y_1$

and subject to the constraint that the functional

$$J(y) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} G(x, y, y') dx = C$$
 (3.20)

maintains a specified value C

: Isoperimetric problems : To find the simple closed curve of constant length maximizing the enclosed area.

For y(x) to be an extremal,

$$\delta I(y) = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \delta F(x, y, y') dx = 0$$
 (3.21)

Since the integral constraint (3.20) must be maintained at a constant value.

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \delta G(x, y, y') dx = 0$$
 (3.22)

For all admissible functions. Multiplying Eq.(3.22) by a constant λ and adding to Eqn.(3.21) result in

$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} [\delta F(x, y, y') + \lambda \delta G(x, y, y')] dx = 0$$

or equivalently in

$$\delta \int_{x_0}^{x_1} [F(x, y, y') + \lambda G(x, y, y')] dx = 0$$

Hence the problem reduces to finding an extremal of the auxiliary functional :

$$I[y] + \lambda J[y] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} [F(x, y, y') + \lambda G(x, y, y')] dx$$

Thus the Euler-Lagrange equation for the auxiliary functional is

$$\frac{\partial (F + \lambda G)}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial (F + \lambda G)}{\partial y'} \right) = 0$$

Or
$$F_{y} + \lambda G_{y} - (F_{y'} + \lambda G_{y'})_{x} = 0$$
(3.23)

Eqn (3.23) is a differential eqn with parameter λ .

The value of the constant λ is determined by substituting the solution of (3.23) into the integral constraint (3.20)

A more general isoperimetric problem consists of finding the extremals of the functionals

$$I[\vec{y}] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} F(x, y_1 \dots y_n, y', \dots y'_n) dx$$

under the conditions that the *m* **functionals**

$$J_{k}[\vec{y}] = \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} G(x, y_{1}...y_{n}, y', ...y'_{n}) dx = C_{k}$$
(3.24)

Take on the specified values C_k for k=1,...,m. It is also assumed that each of the input functions are assigned prescribed values at the endpoints. As a direct extension to the above, we construct the auxiliary functionl

$$I_{\lambda}[\vec{y}] = I[\vec{y}] + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k J_k[\vec{y}]$$

with the augmented Lagrangian

$$F_{\lambda}(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') = F(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k G_k(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}')$$

Based on the Euler-Lagrange equations for several input functions (3.18), the associated equations for the extremals are

$$\frac{\partial F_{\lambda}}{\partial y_{i}} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F_{\lambda}}{\partial y_{i}'} \right) = 0 : i = 1, ..., n$$

The *m* Lagrange multipliers λ_k are determined by substituting the solutions into the integral constraints (3.24).

The other class of constraints involve finite equations or differential equations. Finite equations of constraint are also called *holonomic*, whereas differential constraints are called *nonholonomic*

A holonomic equation of constraint takes the form

$$G(x, y_1...y_n) = 0$$
 (3.25)

The constraint (3.25) is required to be satisfied at every point in the domain,including the prescribed boundary conditions. Taking the variation of Equation(3.25), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} \delta y_i = 0$$

Multiplying this constraint equation by an unknown function $\lambda(x)$ and adding it to the variation (3.17) does not change the value:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \{\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} - \frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i'}\right)\right] \delta y_i + \lambda(x) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} \delta y_i \} dx = 0$$

Rearranging the terms results in

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'_i} \right) + \lambda(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} \right] \delta y_i \right\} dx = 0$$

Now based on the constraint (3.25), only *n*-1 of the variation δy_i

are independent. This means that not all of the variations may be arbitrarily varied. However, making the appropriate choice of \mathcal{A} , namely, choosing $\mathcal{A}(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_n} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'_n}\right) + \lambda(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_n} = 0$$

results in

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'_i} \right) + \lambda(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} \right] \delta y_i \} dx = 0$$

Now only *n*-1 variations appear in the integral, so they can be arbitrarily varied. Hence we can obtain the necessary conditions

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'_i} \right) + \lambda(x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} = 0 : i = 1, \dots n \quad (3.26)$$

Furthermore, since the constraint(3.25) does not contain derivatives, Eqn(3.26) are also the Euler-Lagrange Eqns for the arbitrary functional

$$I_{\lambda}[\vec{y}] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} F(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') + \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{x}, \vec{y}) dx$$

Eq.(3.26) together with the constraint (3.25) represent n+1

Eqns for the extremals y_1, \dots, y_n and the unknown multiplier function $\lambda(x)$. In the case of multiple finiteconstraint equations, the modified functional becomes

$$I_{\lambda}[\vec{y}] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[F(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(x) G(x, \vec{y}) \right] dx$$

Differential or nonholonomic constraints have the form

 $G(x, y_1...y_n, y'_1...y'_n) = 0$

which relate not only the values of the admissible <mark>functions but also their derivatives.</mark> The conditions for local stationary behavior in the case of nonholonomic subsidiary conditions become identical with those for holonomic constraints. That is, we introduce multiplier functions $\lambda_k(x)$ and construct the augmented functional

$$I_{\lambda}[\vec{y}] = \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left[F(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k(x) G(x, \vec{y}, \vec{y}') \right] dx$$

Since the constraint now involve derivatives, the Euler-Lagrange eqns are

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial y_i} + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(x) \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial y_i} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial y'_i} + \sum_{k=1}^m \lambda_k(x) \frac{\partial G_k}{\partial y_i}\right) = 0: i = 1, \dots n$$

These eqns are solved simultaneously, together with the *m* nonholonomic eqns of constraints, for the extremals

 $y_1(x), ..., y_n(x).$

HAMILTON'S PRINCIPLE

We now <u>make a connection</u> between the Calculus of

Variations and Lagrangian formulation

For each particle, $F_i + R_i = m_i \ddot{x}_i$

~> Total virtual work (3.28)

 $\delta W: (F_i + R_i)\delta x_i = m_i \ddot{x}_i \delta x_i ... (i = 1, .., 3N)$

Remember !

$$\frac{d}{dt}(m_i \dot{x}_i \delta x_i) = m_i \ddot{x}_i \delta x_i + m_i \dot{x}_i \delta \dot{x}_i = \delta W + \delta [\frac{1}{2}m_i x_i^2]..(i=1,3N)$$

Eqn(3.28): $\delta T + \delta W = (m_i \ x_i \ \delta x_i),_t$ Integrating over the time domain and applying BC in time

$$\delta x_i(t_0) = \delta x_i(t_1) = 0$$

For the *actual* motion:

$$\int_{x_0}^{x_1} \left(\delta T + \delta W\right) dt = 0$$
 (3.29)

: Hamilton Principle ~ interpretation !

For a conservative system :

$$\delta \int_{x_0}^{x_1} (T - V) dt = 0$$

For L=T-V:

~~~~~

'Hamilton's Principle' <u>Advantage of variational point of view</u>: Hamilton's principle may be extended to continuous systems with infinite number of DOF !

**<u>Wave eqn</u>** :  $\rho u_{,tt} = \mu u_{,xx} + f(x,t)$ 

**Euler beam vibration :**  $\rho u_{,tt} = EIu_{,xxx} + f(x,t)$ 

#### Merits ? Disadvantages ?

**Concept of Energy** ; 
$$\mathbf{T} : \frac{1}{2} \iiint \rho \dot{u}_i \cdot \dot{u}_i \, dV$$
,  
 $\mathbf{V} : \frac{1}{2} \iiint \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} \, dV$   
 $\sigma_{ij} = E_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl}$ 

**Geometrically and Materially Nonlinear problem** 

#### -> Geometrically Nonlinear problem :

von Karman theory of Plate

## <mark>2-11</mark>

Sol)

$$I_{z} = \frac{1}{12}M[(2a)^{2} + (2a)^{2}] = \frac{2}{3}Ma^{2}$$

Total energy: 
$$T = \frac{1}{2}M(\dot{x}_{c}^{2} + \dot{y}_{c}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{2}{3}Ma^{2}\dot{\theta}^{2}$$

#### **Generalized moments :**

$$\Delta(M\dot{x}_c) = M\dot{x}_c = \hat{Q}_x$$
$$\Delta(M\dot{y}_c) = M\dot{y}_c = \hat{Q}_y$$
$$\Delta(I\dot{\theta}) = \frac{2}{3}Ma^2\dot{\theta} = \hat{Q}_\theta$$

#### Virtual work of applied impulsive force J

$$\delta W = \hat{J}(\delta y_c + \sqrt{2}a\delta\theta) \equiv \hat{Q}_x \delta x_c + \hat{Q}_y \delta y_c + \hat{Q}_\theta \delta\theta$$
$$\hat{Q}_x = 0, \hat{Q}_y = \hat{J}, \hat{Q}_\theta = \sqrt{2}a\hat{J}$$

Angular velocity : 
$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{3\hat{Q}_{\theta}}{2Ma^2} = \frac{3\sqrt{2}aJ}{2Ma^2} = \frac{3J}{\sqrt{2}Ma}$$

## <u>A cable of fixed length</u> $\ell$ suspended between points (-*a*,*b*)

## and (a,b). The cable is of uniform mass/length $\mu$ .

**Determine** y(x)

For the equilibrium state which has the minimum potential energy.

sol) 
$$d\ell = \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2} = \sqrt{1^2 + (\frac{dy}{dx})^2} dx = \sqrt{1^2 + (y')^2} dx$$

$$F = \int_{-a}^{a} \mu gy \sqrt{1^{2} + (y')^{2}} dx : Stationary..value$$
  
with..constraint...G =  $\int_{-a}^{a} \sqrt{1^{2} + (y')^{2}} dx = \ell$ 

**Stationary function of**  $F + \lambda G = J : (\lambda : Lagrange..multiplier)$ 

$$\mathcal{X}$$
 is absent ->  $J - y' \frac{\partial J}{\partial y'} = C$ 

$$\sim \quad Let C = C_1$$

#### Integrate one more time,

$$\mu gy + \lambda = C_1 \cosh\left(\int_{-a}^{a} \frac{\mu gx}{C_1} + C_2\right)$$
  
where.. $C_1, C_2, \lambda$ :

Coefficients are determined using Constraint and BCS

$$y(-a)=y(a)=b$$

$$y = \frac{C_1}{\mu g} \cosh(\frac{\mu g x}{C_1}) - \frac{\lambda}{\mu g}$$

 $\sim \sim \sim$ 

# **Practice**

- Find the largest volume of rectangular solid containing the

ellipsoid given by

$$g(x, y, z) = \frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} - 1 = 0$$

The volume of a rectangular solid with sides (2x,2y,2z) is

$$f(x, y, z) = 2^3 xyz = 8xyz$$

#### Then

$$\overline{f}(x, y, z) = f(x, y, z) + \lambda g(x, y, z) = 8xyz + \lambda \left(\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} - 1\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{f}(x, y, z)}{\partial x} = 0:8yz + \lambda \frac{2x}{a^2} = 0..or..8xyz + \lambda \frac{2x^2}{a^2} = 0....(1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{f}(x, y, z)}{\partial y} = 0:8xz + \lambda \frac{2y}{b^2} = 0..or..8xyz + \lambda \frac{2y^2}{b^2} = 0...(2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{f}(x, y, z)}{\partial z} = 0:8xy + \lambda \frac{2z}{c^2} = 0..or..8xyz + \lambda \frac{2z^2}{c^2} = 0....(3)$$

From (1)~(3)

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} = \frac{y^2}{b^2} = \frac{z^2}{c^2} = -\frac{4xyz}{\lambda}....(4)$$

(5)

### Substitute it in

g(x, y, z) = 0

#### Then,

$$-\frac{4xyz}{\lambda} - \frac{4xyz}{\lambda} - \frac{4xyz}{\lambda} = 1$$
$$-> \lambda = -12xyz$$

Insert (5) into (4)

and 
$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} = \frac{4xyz}{12xyz} = \frac{1}{3}....or...x = \pm \frac{a}{\sqrt{3}}$$
  
 $y = \pm \frac{b}{\sqrt{3}}, ....z = \pm \frac{c}{\sqrt{3}}$ 

\* **Practice** 

**Consider the non-holonomic system in Text page ?** 

Find the equations of motion for the system and solve for x(t),y(t) with

initial conditions

x(0) = y(0) = 0 $\dot{x}(0) = v_o, \dot{y}(0) = 0$  $\varphi(0) = 0, \dot{\varphi}(0) = \omega$ 

Sol:

$$ds^{2} = dx^{2} + dy^{2}:$$
  
$$dx = \cos \varphi ds: dy = \sin \varphi ds$$
  
$$- > \dot{x} = \cos \varphi \dot{s}, \dot{y} = \sin \varphi \dot{s}$$

Eliminate

Ś

Then we get, nonholonomic constraint :

$$\dot{x}\sin\varphi - \dot{y}\cos\varphi = 0$$
  
or..dx \sin \varphi - dy \cos \varphi = 0

#### hence

$$a_{1x} = \sin \varphi, a_{1y} = \cos \varphi, a_{1\varphi} = 0$$

## Also,

$$T = m(\dot{x}^{2} + \dot{y}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2}I_{c}\dot{\phi}^{2}..with..I_{c} = \frac{1}{2}ml^{2}$$
$$V = 0$$

## **Lagrange Equations of Motion :**

$$x: 2m\ddot{x} = \lambda \sin \varphi \dots y: ??$$
$$\varphi: \frac{1}{2}ml^2 \ddot{\varphi} = 0 - > \ddot{\varphi} = 0 - > \dot{\varphi} = \omega - > \varphi = \omega t$$

Then

$$\dot{x} = \frac{\lambda}{2m\omega} \cos \omega t + C_1 : \dot{y} = ??$$

$$C_1 = v_0 + \frac{\lambda}{2m\omega} \dots C_2 = 0$$
  
=>  $C_1 = v_0 \& 2m\omega : const. => \lambda = const.$ 

Also,  $T+V=Const = T_0$  since V = 0