### Priority Queues

Companion slides for The Art of Multiprocessor Programming by Maurice Herlihy & Nir Shavit

# Priority Queue

- Multiset of items
  - with associated priority(score)
- Methods
  - add() a new element
  - removeMin() an element with minimum score
- Bounded / Unbounded

# Priority Queue

Array-based bounded

- Tree-based bounded
- Heap-based unbounded
- SkipList-based unbounded

### Concurrent Priority Queues

- When there's overlapping add() and removeMin(), what does it mean for an item to be in the set ?
- Linearizability instant effect
- Quiescent consistency
  - With no additional calls, when all pending method calls complete, the values they return are consistent with some valid sequential execution



Add(7,f)



#### removeMin()



removeMin() returns a

removeMin()



# Array-based bounded P-Q

- Use a bounded array of Bins
  - Each Bin has items with the same priority
  - Put()
  - Get()
- Add(item) puts the item into the Bin
  with the same priority
- removeMin() searches the Bin from the highest priority

- Lock-free quiescently consistent
- A binary tree
  - Each internal node has a bounded shared counter indicating # of items in its left subtree
  - Each leaf has a Bin containing items with the same priority



0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

































# Does it still work concurrently ?

Lock-free quiescently consistent

- A: add(a,2)
- D: add(d,3)



















# Does it still work concurrently ?

Lock-free quiescently consistent

- A: add(a,2)
- D: add(d,3)
- B: deleteMin()

























# Add()

- Add(x,k)
  - ads x to the bin at the kth leaf
  - Increment node counters in leaf-to-root order

# RemoveMin()

- Traverse the tree from root-to-leaf order
- Finds the leaf with highest priority whose bin is not empty
- At each node, if the counter is zero it goes to the right
- Otherwise, decrement the counter and goes to the left

- It's not linearizable
  - Threads traversing the tree may overtake other thread
- Add() and removeMin() are lock-free
  - If the bins and counters are lock-free
  - Both takes finite steps (bounded by tree depth)

# Heap (sequential)

- a complete binary tree with nodes whose priority is greater than all its children's
- removeMin()
  - removes and returns the root of the tree
  - rebalances (root to leaf)
- Add()
  - appends the item at the end of the list
  - rebalances (leaf to root)

# Concurrent Heap

- For concurrency
  - Both add() and removeMin() rebalances as a sequence of atomic steps to be interleaved
- heaplock
  - for removing the root
- heapnode
  - lock
  - status
    - EMPTY, AVAILABLE, BUSY

# Concurrent Heap

- removeMin()
  - Acquires heapLock, decrements the next, locks top & bottom and releases heapLock
  - Get the top value, swaps top & bottom, mark the bottom Empty and unlocks it
  - The top is percolated down holding the lock
  - When we swap, we lock both
- add()

# Concurrent Heap

- add()
  - Acquires heapLock, increments the next, locks and initialize the child(Busy, owner), and releases heapLock, child lock
  - The child is percolated up the tree
  - It locks the parent and the child
  - If parent is Available and child is owned by the caller, has high priority, then swap

### A: removeMin()



# B: add(2)



### A: percolates down(10)



# B: looking for 2 to move up



# Skiplist-based unbounded P-Q

- No rebalancing is required !
- PrioritySkipList
  - sorted by priority, highest in the front
  - removing is done lazily, findAndMarkMin()
- remove()
  - Physical remove
  - Logarithmic time
- add()

# Skiplist-based unbounded P-Q



# Skiplist-based unbounded P-Q

- Quiescently consistent, Not linearizable
- Lock-free
- A thread can fail repeatedly if other threads repeatedly succeed
- Contention
  - Multiple threads traverse together
  - Physical removing (neighbors, probably)
- Usually performs better than heapbased priority queue