


Precision Machine Design Stiffness-Enhance2

Torsional Stiffness

Hollow Cylinder without open section




Outer Diametre= D_o ; Inner Diametre= D_i

Torsional stiffness $K_t = T/\theta = GJ_p/L = G\pi(D_o^4 - D_i^4)/32L$; eq(1)

Where G =shear modulus, J_p =Polar moment of Inertia

L =Tube Length

Hollow cylinder of arbitrary shape with uniform thickness, t without open section



$$K_t = T/\theta = 4GA^2t/LM ; \text{ eq(2)}$$

$$\text{and Max stress } \tau_{\max} = T/2At; \text{ eq(3)}$$

where A=area within the outside perimeter, L=Cylinder length, M=peripheral length of the wall

From eq(1)

$$(D_o^4 - D_i^4) = (D^2 + (D-2t)^2)(D^2 - (D-2t)^2)$$

$$= (2D^2 - 4Dt + 4t^2)(4Dt - 4t^2)$$

$$= D^4(2 - 4t/D + 4t^2/D^2)(4t/D - 4t^2/D^2)$$


$$\cong D^4(8t/D) = 8D^3t, \text{ if } t/D \ll 1$$

$$K_t = G\pi(8D^3t)/32L = G\pi D^3t/4L = 4G(\pi D^2/4)^2t/L(\pi D)$$

$$= 4GA^2t/LM ; \text{ same as eq(2)}$$

Torsional Stiffness with open section

Uniform thickness, t



$$K_t = T/\theta = Gbt^3/3L, \text{ where}$$


b = Peripheral length of wall with $t \ll b$

L = cylinder length

When having different wall thicknesses, t_i with b_i peripheral length,

$$K_t = G/3L \sum b_i t_i^3$$

Typical open cross sections for Torsional stiffness



Torsional stiffness with and without opening @t=0.1D

Hollow round without opening, K_{t1}

$$K_{t1} = GJp/L = G\pi D^4(1-0.9^4)/32L = 0.558GD^4/L$$

Hollow round with opening, K_{t2}

$$K_{t2} = Gbt^3/3L = G(\pi D)t^3/3L = G\pi(0.1)^3D^4/3L = 1.05E-3GD^4/L$$

$K_{t1}/K_{t2} \approx 531$; Thus the hollow round without open section gives 531 times higher torsional stiffness than the one with the open section.


Influence of Stress Concentration on Stiffness

Stress concentration caused by sharp changes in the cross sectional area significant influence on strength, especially on fatigue strength.

Local stress concentration induce local deformation such as micro-displacement, and it gives increase in damping (desirable effect), and fretting corrosion (highly undesirable effect). Reinforcing stress

concentration gives decrease in micro-displacement, damping, fretting; but increase stiffness, lifetime of structures.

Fig. compares three round bars under bending. Case 1 is a bar of $d=10\text{mm}$, $L=80\text{mm}$; Case 2 is a bar of $1.8d$ diameter with two circular grooves. The solid bar of $1.8d$ diameter would be almost 10 times higher bending stiffness than the case 1 when with no grooves. But the groove gives only twice increase in bending stiffness due to the stress concentration. The stress concentration can be significantly reduced by using the bar of d with reinforcement of tightly fit bushings (case 3). The result is 50% increase bending stiffness, and increase in fatigue strength from $P_1=8\text{KN}$, $P_2=2.1P_1$, $P_3=3.6P_1$.



Sharp change of cross section on stiffness

(Source: Eugene.I.Rivin's 'Handbook of Stiffness and Damping in Mechanical Design')

Stiffness enhancement by reinforcing ribs

For enhancement of bending or torsional stiffness of machine, the cross sectional shape modification is very effective and it can be implemented by adding ribs;

Bending stiffness= EI to increase

Torsional stiffness= GJ to increase

where E , G are the Young's modulus, and shear modulus of material.

$I = \int y^2 dA$ is the area moment of inertia, and y is the distance from the neutral axis that can be calculated from the $\int y dA = 0$, and $\int dA$ is the integration over the whole cross section.

$J = \int r^2 dA = \int (x^2 + y^2) dA$ is the polar moment of inertia,





r is the distance from the neutral point that can be calculated from the $\int r dA = 0$. Frequently J is the sum of I_x and I_y

Thus J becomes large as more material parts is farther away from the neutral point.

Diagonal ribs give very effective increase in stiffness typically upto 1.78 times, and torsional stiffness upto by 3.7 times as shown in the figures.







Adding ribs means adding more material for the structure, thus the ratio of Stiffness to Area is very

meaningful indicator for the efficiency.

Profile	I_{ben}	I_{tors}	A	$\frac{I_{ben}}{A}$	$\frac{I_{tors}}{A}$
	1	1	1	1	1
	1.17	2.16	1.38	0.85	1.56
	1.55	3	1.26	1.23	2.4
	1.78	3.7	1.5	1.2	2.45

Stiffness increase by reinforcing ribs on the cross section geometry

(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping)

Case #	Rib location	K_x %	K_t	W %
1		100	100	100
2		101	103	108
3		102	109	125
4		116	132	130
5		113	112	115
6		135	-	140

Stiffness increase by reinforcing ribs on outer/inner geometry


K_x : Bending stiffness, K_t : Torsional stiffness

(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping)

Holes/Openings/Windows on stiffness

Machine frame components have numerous openings or holes or windows for accessing mechanisms, and other units located inside. These openings can significantly reduce the stiffness, depending on their dimension and positioning. Guidelines are as follows;

- (1) Holes (windows) significantly reduce the torsional stiffness, although may not for the bending stiffness
- (2) Holes should be located close to the neutral plane
- (3) Holes of parallel direction to the applied force are better to be avoided; Stiffness(K_y) in the parallel direction is significantly decreased when compared with the orthogonal direction (K_x)
- (4) Holes exceeding $1/2$ of cross sectional dimension ($D/a > 0.5$) should be avoided



Influence of holes in frame parts on stiffness


(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping)

Ribs for reduction of local deformation

Local elastic deformation occurs due to local bending and torsional loading.

The effective way of reduction is to introduce the tension/compression members at the area of peak local deformation.

A thin-walled rectangular beam may have local deformation due to the eccentrically load induced torsion. This can be dramatically reduced by the introduction of tension/compression rib as in the fig.



Distortion in a loaded thin-walled part with and without reinforcing ribs
 (Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping)


Diagonal reinforcement for shear loading

:Shear stiffness of thin-walled structure is very low, and the structure is prone to the deformation, as the planar frame does.

The corners, or joints, can be reinforced by introducing the corner gussets holding the shape of the corners.

More effective method is to introduce the diagonal ribs in tension/compression. As the stiffness of the diagonal rib is much higher than the bending stiffness of the wall,

the overall shear stiffness is greatly increased. Diagonal rib of tension is preferable, as the compressive rib is prone to buckling. When the force direction is alternating, crossed diagonal ribs can be used.




Diagonal ribs reinforcement for shear loading

(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping in mechanical design)

Reinforcing for Local deformation due to internal pressure:

Local deformation of the wall can be caused by the internal/external pressure. The tensile reinforcing member such as lug bolt increases the stiffness in the axial direction, and the reinforcing ring increase the stiffness in the circumferential direction to prevent bulging of the side wall. These reinforcing members not

only reduce local deformation, but also reduce vibration and ringing of walls as diaphragms.



Reinforcing ring for wall under internal pressure

(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping)

General Guidelines for Stiffness Enhancement







1. Replacement bending by tension/compression
2. Optimization of load distribution and support conditions when bending is inevitable

Bending deflection, $\delta = PL^3/aEI$

Bending stiffness, $K = P/\delta = aEI/L^3$

where E is the Young's modulus,

I is the area moment of inertia
and a is the constant shown in fig.

	a		a		a
1 	48	3 	192	5 	3
2 	77	4 	384	6 	8

Influence of load pattern and support conditions on beam stiffness

(Source: E.I.Rivin's Handbook on stiffness and damping in Mechanical Design)

Stiffness Increase Ratio

Distributed : Concentrated = 1.67 ~ 2.67:1

Double Support : Cantilever = 16 ~ 9.62:1

Double Built: Double Support = 4 ~ 4.98:1

Double Built: Cantilever = 64 ~ 48:1

Maximum : Minimum = 128:1 (over 100 times!!)

3. Optimum design of cross sectional area to have largest $I (= \int y^2 dA)$, $J (= \int r^2 dA)$ with consideration of Area for material increase
4. Reduce stress concentration by reinforcing such as to avoid sharp change of cross sectional area or to use tightly fit bushing
5. Stiffness reinforcing ribs, preferably in tension
6. Reinforcing ribs for shear loading
7. Solid or cross sections without opening for torsional stiffness
8. Cross sectional shape modification can enhance stiffness
9. Holes/windows give significant decrease in torsional stiffness, and partly decrease in bending stiffness (direction and size of holes)
10. Composite laminates for combined stiffness, and possible anisotropic stiffness.
11. Shear deformation consideration

1) For short beams (e.g, spindle, gear shaft) with $h/L > 0.1$, where h =height of beam, L =length of beam, shear deformation may exist up to 30% of total deformations. (Stiffness reduction)

$\delta = [1 + 3h^2/L^2] PL^3/48EI$ for rectangle cross section with double supported beam

2) For long beam with $h/L \leq 0.1$, shear deformation is 1-3% for solid cross section, 6-9% for I-beams. Thus it is negligible.

3) For laminated beam, shear deformation increase upto 50%