#### MEMS Process & Design Project Term project presentation

2008.5.31

#### Content

- Project goal
- Design result
- Fabrication result
- Evaluation result
- Conclusion



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Project Goal

• Goal

 Design and Fabrication of the *x/y* dual-axis comb-drive MEMS Resonator

- Design Constraints
  - 1. Chip size: 4 mm x 4 mm
  - 2. Lithography, etch constraints: minimum dimension (4 um line and space)
  - 3. Primary mode natural frequency: 5 kHz
  - 4. Secondary mode natural frequency: 5 kHz + 500 Hz (± 10 %)
  - 5. Actuation voltage: The lower, the better.

## Spring Design (1)

- Clab-leg spring(1)
  - Good
    - Simple structure
    - Easy to design
  - Bad
    - Weak to stress
    - · Tend to be bend unexpected direction





## Spring Design (2)

• Clab-leg spring (2)



#### Spring constant



#### Y-direction

 $k_y = \frac{4F_y}{\delta_y} = \frac{Etw_a^3(L_b + 4\alpha L_a)}{L_a^3(L_b + \alpha L_a)}$ 

Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Spring Design (3)

R

Serpentine spring



#### Spring constant

lf b=c, For odd n

X-direction



#### Y-direction





Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Spring Design (3)

• Clab-leg spring (3)





Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Spring Design (4)

- · First spring design using the equation
  - Supposed Mass: 2mm \* 2mm \* 65um (6.058\*10<sup>-7</sup> kg)
  - N=5
  - Spring width = 4um
  - a=32um, b=170um
  - Calculated Spring constant
    - Kx=193.2 N/m
    - Ky=152.3 N/m
  - Calculated Resonate frequency
    - fx=5685 Hz
    - fy=5048 Hz



## Spring Design (5)

• First simulation result







Second mode :4517Hz



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Comb Structure Design (1)

- Dual axis drive structure → less combs on each axis
- Need more comb or space
- Design more comb  $\rightarrow$  reduce the comb finger width
- Reduce empty space → reduce space between comb support beam and support beam
- → Safety?

# Spring Design (4)

Serpentine spring simulation result

	Calculated frequency	Simulated frequency	Error
First mode	5048 Hz	4327 Hz	15 %
Second mode	5685 Hz	4517 Hz	20 %



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Comb Structure Design (3)

• Forces of Comb Drive structure







#### Comb Structure Design (2)

• Spring Constant of comb finger





Force on a comb finger  $F = 1/2 \times (\mathcal{E}_0 \times Ls \times h) \times (\frac{1}{(G_1 - x)^2} - \frac{1}{(G_1 + x)^2}) \times V^2$ 



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Fabrication error(2)

- Footing effect (1)
  - Different between mass and spring





Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Fabrication error(1)

- Under-cut effect
  - Don`t consider





Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Fabrication error(3)

- Footing effect (2)
  - 80%~95% → safe





#### Final mask



#### Simulation result(1)

• Design #1



1<sup>st</sup> mode

2<sup>nd</sup> mode



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design





2<sup>nd</sup> mode

1<sup>st</sup> mode



#### Fabrication Result(1)

· Backside picture of the whole structure





#### Fabrication Result (2)

• Front side of spring part



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

#### Fabrication Result (4)

Substrate

R



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Fabrication Result (3)

Backside picture of spring





Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Fabrication Result (5)

Support beam





#### Evaluation result (1)





	1 <sup>st</sup> mode	2 <sup>nd</sup> mode	Calculated
	calculated	calculated	difference
	frequency	frequency	
Design #1	5749	5105	644
Design #2			
	1 <sup>st</sup> mode	2 <sup>nd</sup> mode	Simulated
	Simulated	Simulated	difference
	frequency	frequency	
Design #1	5053	5502	451
Design #2	4512	5030	518
	1 <sup>st</sup> mode	2 <sup>nd</sup> mode	Measured
	measured	measured	difference
	frequency	frequency	
Design #1	4500	4970	470
Design #2	4100	4600	500



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

## Conclusion

- Use the serpentine spring
- Make 2 type of design
- · Satisfy the project goal



Final Presentation, May. 31, 2008 MEMS Fabrication and Design

