

## Summary Questions of the last lecture

What are the two options for out of sample extension in SSL?

→ One is to add the new sample to the graph and **re-compute HF solution** transductively and the other is to make the algorithms **inductive**.

Why do we have to make a classifier be smooth in inductive SSL for out of sample extension?

→ The smoothness deal with noisy samples by **providing reasonable interpolation for new samples**.

# Summary Questions of the last lecture

What is the meaning of manifold regularization?

→ It enforces the classifier function to **yield a reasonably interpolated value** for any sample which is **not given in the training data**.

$$\lambda\Omega(f) = \lambda_2 \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f} + \lambda_1 \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}} f(\mathbf{x})^2 d\mathbf{x}$$

For general **kernels**:

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{X}}} \sum_i^{n_l} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i, f(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \lambda_1 \|f\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 + \lambda_2 \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}$$

Smoothness for given samples



$\mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}$

Smoothness for unknown samples



$\|f\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2$

## Summary Questions of the last lecture

What is the key idea of Max-Margin Graph Cuts for SSL?

→ The self-training for SSL is done by using the confidently predicted labels obtained by regularized HF solution of SSL.

$$\begin{aligned} f^* &= \underset{f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{K}}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i: |\ell_i^*| \geq \varepsilon} \Phi(\mathbf{x}_i, \operatorname{sgn}(\ell_i^*), f(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \lambda \|f\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \\ \text{s. t. } \quad \ell^* &= \underset{\ell \in \mathbb{R}^N}{\operatorname{argmin}} \ell^T (\mathbf{L} + \gamma_g \mathbf{I}) \ell \\ &\text{s. t. } \quad \ell_i = y_i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n_l \end{aligned}$$

$$f^*(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i: |\ell_i^*| \geq \varepsilon} \alpha_i^* \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_i)$$

## Summary Questions of the last lecture

What are the two options for online SSL?

→ One is to add the new sample to the graph and **re-compute HF solution** transductively and the other is to make the algorithms **inductive**. The key issue of the former option is to **keep the computation cost and memory within a reasonable level** even for continuous increment of unlabeled samples.

$$\mathbf{f}_u^q = (\mathbf{L}_{uu}^q + \gamma_g \mathbf{V})^{-1} (\mathbf{W}_{ul}^q \mathbf{f}_l) \text{ where } \mathbf{W}^q = \mathbf{V} \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}^q \mathbf{V}$$

# Summary Questions of the last lecture

What is the key idea for keeping # of representative nodes?

→ Determine the minimum distance ( $R$ ) between any two centroids and each new sample within a ball centered by a centroid and with radius  $R$  is added to the cluster. If there are samples that do not belong to any cluster,  $R$  is doubled.

