Lecture Note of Innovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design

# Innovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design Part I. Ship Design

Ch. 5 Freeboard Calculation

Spring 2018

#### Myung-Il Roh

Department of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering Seoul National University

novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Roh

rydlab 1

#### **Contents**

- ☑ Ch. 1 Introduction to Ship Design
- ☑ Ch. 2 Design Equations
- ☑ Ch. 3 Design Model
- ☑ Ch. 4 Deadweight Carrier and Volume Carrier
- **☑** Ch. 5 Freeboard Calculation
- ☑ Ch. 6 Resistance Prediction
- ☑ Ch. 7 Propeller and Main Engine Selection
- ☑ Ch. 8 Hull Form Design
- ☑ Ch. 9 General Arrangement (G/A) Design
- ☑ Ch. 10 Structural Design
- ☑ Ch. 11 Outfitting Design

ovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh

# **Ch. 5 Freeboard Calculation**

- 1. Concept
- 2. International Convention on Load Lines (ICLL) 1966
- 3. Procedure of Freeboard Calculation


novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Rob


ydlab 3


# 1. Concept

novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Roh

# Purpose The purpose of the freeboard Reserve buoyancy (safety margin) The ship needs an additional safety margin to maintain buoyancy and stability while operating at sea. This safety margin is provided by located above the water surface ( ). The regulation of the freeboard International Convention on Load Lines 1966 ( )








## Effect of Freeboard on Ships' Characteristics (2/3)

#### Large Freeboard



Greater freeboard at the expense of breadth decreases stability.

In general, a large freeboard improves stability.


However, it is difficult to consider this factor in the design. Since for reasons of cost, <u>the necessary minimum underdeck volume</u> should not be exceeded and the length is based <u>on economic considerations</u>, <u>only a decrease in breadth</u> would <u>compensate</u> for an <u>increase in freeboard</u> and depth.

\* H. Schneekluth, V. Bertram, Ship Design for Efficiency and Economy, pp. 15, 1998

ydlab »

## Effect of Freeboard on Ships' Characteristics (3/3)

## Increasing Freeboard



Freeboard increased by additional superstructure

<u>Increasing depth and decreasing breadth</u> would <u>decrease</u> both the initial <u>stability</u> and the righting arm curve.


The stability would only be improved if the underwater form of the ship and the height of the centre of gravity remained unchanged and the freeboard were increased.

\* H. Schneekluth, V. Bertram, Ship Design for Efficiency and Economy, pp. 16, 1998

rydlab 10

#### **Effect of Sheer**

Advantages and Disadvantages of a Construction 'Without Sheer'



Ship with and without sheer with same underdeck volume (the differences in freeboard are exaggerated in the diagram)

#### Advantages of a construction 'without sheer'

- + Better stowage of containers in holds and on deck
- + Cheaper construction method, easier to manufacture
- + Greater carrying capacity with constant underdeck volume

#### Disadvantages of a construction 'without sheer'


- If the forecastle is not sufficiently high, reduced seakeeping ability
- Less aesthetic in appearance

H. Schneekluth, V. Bertram, Ship Design for Efficiency and Economy, pp. 16, 1998

ydlab 11

#### Freeboard and Sheer

Compensation for a Lack of Sheer



Visual sheer effect using the line of the bulwark

The 'upper edge of bulwark' line can be extended to give the appearance of sheer.

\* H. Schneekluth, V. Bertram, Ship Design for Efficiency and Economy, pp. 17, 1998

# 2. International Convention on Load Lines (ICLL) 1966

ovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Rob

sydlab 13

# Regulation of the International Convention on Load Lines (ICLL) 1966

#### ■ The ICLL 1966 is structured as follows:

#### Chapter I - General

- Terms and concepts are defined.

All the definitions of terms and concepts associated with freeboard and the freeboard calculation, and a description of how the freeboard is marked.

#### Chapter II - Conditions for the assignment of freeboard

- Structural requirements are defined.

Conditions for the assignment of freeboard structural requirements under which freeboard is assigned.

#### Chapter III - Freeboards

- **Procedure of freeboard calculation** is described.


The freeboard tables and the regulations for correcting the basis values given by the tables. This is **the central part** of the freeboard regulations.

The agreement is valid for cargo ships over <u>24 m in length</u> and for non-cargo-carrying vessels, e.g. floating dredgers. <u>Warships</u> are not subject to the freeboard regulations.

ovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh

### 1. General Definitions (1/5)

#### ■ Freeboard Deck<sup>1)</sup>




- (a) The freeboard deck is normally the uppermost complete deck exposed to weather and sea, which has permanent means of closing all openings in the weather part thereof, and below which all openings in the sides of the ship are fitted with permanent means of watertight closing.
- (b) Where a recess in the freeboard deck extends to the sides of the ship and is in excess of one meter in length, the lowest line of the exposed deck and <u>the continuation of that line parallel to the upper part of the</u> <u>deck</u> is taken as the freeboard deck.

1) International Convention on Load Lines 1966, ANNEX1 Chapter 1, Reg.3-(9), 2003

rydlab 15


## 1. General Definitions (2/5)


#### Ex) Freeboard of 3,700TEU Container Carrier



- There is a recess in the upper deck of the container carrier. In other words, the upper deck is discontinuous.
- This 3,700TEU container carrier is designed to assign 2<sup>nd</sup> deck as freeboard deck considering other design factors.
- Quarter deck: deck at after part, in general, at ¼ of the ship's length after


novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh





### 1. General Definitions (5/5)

#### Perpendiculars



Why do we use perpendiculars at  $0.85D_{mld}$  instead of  $T_d$ ?

The aft perpendicular is established using the rudder axis. This somewhat anomalous approach due to the forward perpendicular makes sense, because the draft (to which usually the length is related) is not available as an input value.

In case the draft is not determined, the draft is only known after the freeboard calculation is finished.

Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Roh

sydlab 19

# 2. Structural Requirements

The requirement for the assignment of freeboard is that the ship is sufficiently safe and has adequate strength. The requirements in detail are:

- The particular structural requirements of the freeboard regulation must be satisfied. Particular attention should be given to
  - : external doors, sill heights and ventilator heights, hatches and openings of every kind plus their sealing arrangements on decks and sides.

(e.g. engine room openings, side windows, scuppers<sup>1)</sup>, freeing ports<sup>2)</sup> and pipe outlets)

- 1) Scupper: Openings in the shell plating just above deck plating to allow water to run overboard.
- 2) Freeing ports: An opening in the bulwark or rail for discharging large quantities of water, when thrown by the sea upon the ship's deck.

(http://www.libertyship.com/html/glossary/glosbody.htm: Project Liberty Ship - Glossary of Nautical and Shipbuilding Terms)

ntive Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Roh

# 3. Required Data for the Calculation of Freeboards

To calculate the freeboard of a ship in accordance with ICLL 1966, some data and plans are required as follows:

- Lines or Offset Table (Fared Lines)
- General Arrangement Plan (G/A)
- Hydrostatic Table
- Midship Section Plan (M/S)
- Shell Expansion Plan
- Construction Profile & Decks Plan
- Superstructure Construction Plan,
- Aft body Construction, Fore body Construction Plans


ovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Roh


ydlab 21


# 3. Procedure of Freeboard Calculation


ovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh


# For the purpose of freeboard calculation, ships shall be divided into type 'A' and type 'B'. Type 'A' ships : A type 'A' ship is designed to Example) Crude Oil Carrier, LNG Carrier, etc. The type 'A' ship has a high integrity of the exposed deck with only small access openings to cargo compartments, closed by watertight gasketed covers of steel or equivalent material. The type 'A' ship has low permeability of loaded cargo compartments. Type 'B' ships : shall be considered as type 'B' ships. Example) Container Carrier, Bulk Carrier, Ore Carrier, etc. \* 3,700TEU container carrier is a type 'B' ship.












# [Appendix] Regulations for Superstructure, Trunk, and Raised Quarter Deck



- There are special regulations for trunks (Reg. 36) which are not covered here. E = S for an enclosed superstructure of standard height.
- S is the superstructure's length within L.
- If the superstructure is set in from the sides of the ship,  $\underline{E}$  is modified by a factor  $\underline{b/B_s}$ , where b is the superstructure width and  $\underline{B_s}$  the ship width, both at the middle of the superstructure length (Reg. 35).
- For superstructures ending in curved bulkheads, S is specially defined by Reg. 34. If the superstructure height  $d_v$  is less than standard height  $d_s$  (Table 1.5a), E is modified by a factor  $d_v/d_s$ .
- The effective length of a raised quarter deck (if fitted with an intact front bulkhead) is its length up to a maximum of 0.6L.
- Otherwise the raised <u>quarterdeck</u> is treated as a poop of less than standard height.

nnovative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Ro

sydlab 29

#### (4) Deduction for Superstructure and **Trunks** (2/6) • Effective length of superstructure $(L_E)$ $L_E$ = Mean Length × [min(Standard Height, Actual Height)] / Standard Height If the height of an enclosed superstructure is 1) higher than the standard height, the effective length of 3.700TEU Container Carrie an enclosed superstructure of standard height shall be Item Mean length (m) its length. Superstructure 225.28 3.71 Raised Q' Deck 2 less than the standard height, the effective length

the standard height.

The standard height of a superstructure shall be as given in the following table:


shall be its length reduced in the ratio of the actual height to


$L_f$ (m)	Raised quarterdeck (m)	All other superstructures (m)	
30 or less	0.90	1.80	
75	1.20	1.80	
125 or more	1.80	2.30	


The standard heights at intermediate lengths of the ship shall be obtained by linear interpolation.


novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-II Ro


sydlab so


























# (5) Correction for Sheer (7/7)

#### (c) Correction for sheer

Mean height of actual sheer (S):

$$S = \frac{\left(S'_a + S'_f\right)}{2} = \frac{\left(0 + 31\right)}{2} = 15.5 \ [mm]$$


Correction for shear = 
$$(S_o - S) \cdot (0.75 - 0.5r_1)$$
  
=  $(1,146 - 15.5) \cdot (0.75 - 0.5 \cdot 0.95)$   
=  $311 [mm]$ 

 $\begin{array}{c|c} \textbf{2} & \textbf{Correction for block coefficient} \\ \hline \textbf{3} & \textbf{Correction for depth} (\mathbb{D}_t \neq \mathbb{L}/15) \\ \textbf{4} & \textbf{Deduction for depth} (\mathbb{D}_t \neq \mathbb{L}/15) \\ \textbf{4} & \textbf{Deduction for sheer} \\ \textbf{5} & \textbf{Correction for sheer} \\ \textbf{6} & \textbf{Minimum bow height} \\ \textbf{7} & \textbf{Maximum molded summer draft} \\ \textbf{Standard height of sheer} (\textbf{S}_o) \\ \textbf{5} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{1} & \textbf{1} \\ \textbf{mm} & S_f' = 31 \left[mm\right] \\ S_a' = 0 \left[mm\right] \\ r_i = L_E / L_f = 0.95 \\ \hline \end{array}$ 

novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Ro

rydlab 41

# (6) Minimum Bow Height (1/3)




Bow height (Hb) is defined as the


between the water surface corresponding to the assigned summer freeboard and the designed trim and the top of the exposed deck at side.


**Example 3,700TEU Container Carrier)** 


Actual bow height =  $D_f(\textcircled{3})$  + Superstructure height(\textcircled{2}) +Forecastle at F.P(\textcircled{3})-Ts = 15.601 + 3.71 + 3.2 - 12.5 = 10.011 [m]


novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh











(9) Summary				
Example 3,700TEU Container Carrier)				
Tabular freeboard	<b>3,770</b> mm			
Correction for block coefficient	<b>0</b> mm			
Correction for depth (D <sub>f</sub> )	<b>0</b> mm			
Deduction for superstructure and trunks	<b>-1,004</b> mm			
Correction for sheer	<b>311</b> mm	$*d_s = D_f - fs$		
Correction for minimum bow height	<b>0</b> mm	*Margin = $d_s - T_s$		
Calculated summer freeboard (f <sub>s</sub> )	<b>3,077</b> mm	$\int Margin = u_s - I_s$		
Depth for freeboard (D <sub>f</sub> )	<b>15.601</b> m			
Maximum molded summer draft (d <sub>s</sub> )	<b>12.524</b> m			
Molded summer draft required by owner (T <sub>s</sub> )	<b>12.500</b> m			
Margin	<b>24</b> mm			
novative Ship and Offshore Plant Design, Spring 2018, Myung-Il Roh		/ydlab 40		