# Chapter 12A Reactions Involving Pure Condensed Phases and A Gaseous Phase

# 12. 1 Introduction

- Reaction of pure metal to form oxide, sulfide and halides.
- Possibility of oxidation and reduction.
- T, P condition for the decomposition of carbonate (CaCO3).
- Equil'm between the gas phase and individual condensed phases, between various species in the gas phases.
- T sensitivity of the saturated vapor P of pure condensed phases
   + P insensitivity of F. E. of species in the condensed phase
  - $\Rightarrow$  make our life easier.

As has been stated, phase equilibrium in the system requires that

$\overline{G}_{M}$ (in the gas) = $G_{M}$ (in the solid metal phase)	(12.2)
$\overline{G}_{MO}$ (in the gas phase) = $G_{MO}$ (in the solid oxide phase)	(12.3)

$$G_{\text{MO}(g)}^{\circ} + RT \ln p_{\text{MO}(g)} = G_{\text{MO}(s)}^{\circ} + \int_{P=1}^{P=p_{\text{MO}(g)}} V_{\text{MO}} dP$$
 (12.5)

Eq. (12.4) can be written as

 $G_{\mathcal{M}(g)}^{\circ} + RT \ln p_{\mathcal{M}(g)} = G_{\mathcal{M}(s)}^{\circ}$ 

The standard state of a species occurring as a condensed phase can be defined as the pure species at T. There is no need to include P=1 atm as a condition

Similarly, Eq. (12.5)

 $G^{\circ}_{\mathrm{MO}(g)} + RT \ln p_{\mathrm{MO}(g)} = G^{\circ}_{\mathrm{MO}(s)}$ 



$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -RT \ln K \tag{12.6}$$

For such system as gas + solid:

$$M_{(s)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2(g)} = MO_{(s)}$$

K can be written only with gas species.

 $\Delta G^{\circ} = f(T)$  only and K= f(T) only i.e., at a fixed T, rxn equil'm fixed: i.e.,  $P_{O_2} = P_{O_{2(eq,T)}}$ From the phase rule P = 3 (MO, M, O2), C = (M, O): F = C-P + 2 = 2 - 3 + 2 = 1 (temp.)

#### At T, reduction of ores controlled by

If 
$$P_{O_2} > P_{O_{2(eq,T)}} \Rightarrow$$
 spontaneous oxidation to reduce  $P_{O_2}$   
If  $P_{O_2} < P_{O_{2(eq,T)}} \Rightarrow$  spontaneous reduction to increase  $P_{O_2}$ 



The standard Gibbs free energy change for the reaction between Cu and O<sub>2</sub>

$$4Cu_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} \neq 2Cu_2O_{(s)}$$
 is  $\Delta G^\circ = -324,400 + 138.5T J$ 

In the temperature range 298-1200K. Thus,

$$-\ln K = \ln p_{O_2(eq.T)} = \frac{\Delta G^\circ}{RT} \quad \text{or} \quad \log p_{O_2(eq.T)} = -\frac{324,400}{2.303 \times 8.3144T} + \frac{138.5}{2.303 \times 8.3144}$$
$$= -\frac{16,940}{T} + 7.23$$

The standard Gibbs free energy change for the reaction between MgO and CO<sub>2</sub>

$$MgO_{(s)} + CO_{2(g)} = MgCO_{3(s)}$$

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -117,600 + 170T J$$

$$= -\frac{6141}{T} + 8.88$$

**Figure 12.1** (*a*) the variation, with temperature, of the oxygen pressure required for maintenance of the equilibrium 4Cu(s) + O2 = 2Cu2O. (*b*) The variation, with temperature, of the carbon dioxide pressure required for maintenance of the equilibrium MgO(*s*)+CO2=MgCO3(*s*).

. . .

For the reaction, the Gibbs-Helmholtz equation is

$$\frac{\partial \left(\frac{\Delta G^{\circ}}{T}\right)}{\partial T} = -\frac{\Delta H^{\circ}}{T^2} = -\frac{\Delta H_0}{T^2} - \frac{\Delta a}{T} - \frac{\Delta b}{2} + \frac{\Delta c}{T^3} \qquad \text{Eq (12.9)}$$

For the exemplary reaction

$$\begin{split} 4\mathrm{Cu}_{(s)} + \mathrm{O}_{2(g)} &= 2\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{(s)} \\ \Delta H_{298}^{\circ} &= -324,400 \text{ J} \\ \Delta S_{298}^{\circ} &= -138.5 \text{ J/K} \end{split} \qquad \text{and thus} \qquad \Delta G_{298}^{\circ} &= -324,400 + (298 \times 138.5) = -283,100 \text{ J} \\ \Delta S_{298}^{\circ} &= -138.5 \text{ J/K} \end{aligned} \qquad c_{p.\mathrm{Cu}(s)} &= 22.6 + 6.3 \times 10^{-3} T \text{ J/K} \qquad \text{in } 298 < \mathrm{T} < 1356 \text{ K} \\ c_{p.\mathrm{Cu}_{2}\mathrm{O}(s)} &= 62.34 + 24 \times 10^{-3} T \text{ J/K} \qquad \text{in } 298 < \mathrm{T} < 1200 \text{ K} \\ c_{p.\mathrm{O}_{2}(g)} &= 30 + 4.2 \times 10^{-3} T - 1.7 \times 10^{5} T^{-2} \text{ J/K} \qquad \text{and in } 298 < \mathrm{T} < 3000 \text{ K}, \end{split}$$

such that in 298 = 
$$4.28 + 18.6 \times 10^{-3}T + 1.7 \times 10^{5}T^{-2}$$
 J/K

Thus

$$\Delta H_T^{\circ} = \Delta H_0 + 4.28T + 9.3 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - 1.7 \times 10^5 T^{-1} \,\mathrm{J}$$

 $\Delta H_T^\circ = \Delta H_0 + 4.28T + 9.3 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - 1.7 \times 10^5 T^{-1} \,\mathrm{J}$ 

Substitution of  $\Delta H_{298}^0 = -324,400$  gives  $\Delta H_0 = -325,900$  J. Dividing by  $-T^2$ , integrating with respect to  $T_2$  and multiplying through by T gives

$$\frac{\partial \left(\frac{\Delta G}{T}\right)}{\partial T} = -\frac{\Delta H^{\circ}}{T^{2}} = -\frac{\Delta H_{0}}{T^{2}} - \frac{\Delta a}{T} - \frac{\Delta b}{2} + \frac{\Delta c}{T^{3}}$$

$$\Delta G_T^\circ = -325,900 - 4.28T \ln T - 9.3 \times 10^{-3} T^2 - 0.85 \times 10^5 T^{-1} + IT$$

Substitution of gives  $\Delta G_{298}^0 = -283,100 J$  gives I = 171.7, and hence

$$\Delta G_T^\circ = -325,900 - 4.28T \ln T - 9.3 \times 10^{-3} T^2 - 0.85 \times 10^5 T^{-1} + 171.7 \text{ J} (i)$$

and

$$-\ln K = \frac{\Delta G_T^\circ}{RT} = \ln p_{O_2(eq.T)}$$
$$= -\frac{39,200}{T} - 0.515 \ln T - 1.1 \times 10^{-3}T - \frac{10^4}{T^3} + 20.65$$

The variation of  $\Delta G_T^0$ , as calculated from the experimentally measured variation of  $P_{O_2(eq.T)}$  with temperature, can be fitted to an equation of the form:

$$\Delta G^\circ = A + BT \ln T + CT$$

For the oxidation of 4Cu(s) to  $2Cu_2O(s)$ , this gives

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -338,900 - 14.2T \ln T + 247T \,\mathrm{J} \tag{ii}$$

It can be changed in a

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -333,000 + 141.3T \,\mathrm{J} \tag{iii}$$

(7.8)

If the vapor behaves ideally, then, at constant T,  $H_{(v)}$  is independent of P, and thus

The constant in Eq. (7.7) thus has the value  $\Delta S_{evap}/R + \ln P_{A'}$  and Eq. (7.7) becomes

when the vapor is in equilibrium with the liquid at  $T_{r}$ 

$$\Delta S_{\text{evap}} = \frac{\Delta H_{\text{evap}}}{T}$$
 or, as is required,  $\Delta G_{\text{evap}} = 0$ 

(7.7)

 $\ln P = -\frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \text{constant}$ 

(i) Ellingham<sup>\*</sup> plotted the experimentally determined variations of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  with T for the oxidation and sulfidation simply as below:

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = A + BT \qquad (12.11) \qquad \Delta G^{\circ} = IT + \Delta H^{\circ} - \Delta aT \ln T - \frac{\Delta bT^{*}}{2} - \frac{\Delta c}{2T}$$



in which the constant A is identified with the T-independent  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  for the reaction, and the constant B is identified with T-independent  $-\Delta S^{\circ}$  for the reaction.

```
\Delta G^{\circ} = \Delta H^{\circ} - T \Delta S^{\circ}
```

(ii) The variation of  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  with T for the oxidation reaction is shown in Fig. 12. 2

$$4Ag_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = 2Ag_2O_{(s)}$$



(iii) About  $\Delta S^{\circ}$  for the reaction  $A + O_{2(g)} = AO_{2(s)}$ 



$$\Delta S^{\circ} = S^{\circ}_{AO2} - S^{\circ}_{O2} - S^{\circ}_{A} = -S^{\circ}_{O2}$$

 $\Delta S^{\,o}$  of the oxidation involving solid phases are almost the same : parallel each other for the oxidation

(iv) about  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  of the oxidation:  $\Delta H^{\circ} < 0$  most oxidation process

Figure 12.2 The Ellingham line for the oxidation of silver.





 $\Delta G^{\circ}$  at any T = the sum of  $\Delta H^{\circ}$  contribution (which is independent of T if  $\Delta C_p = 0$ ) and  $-T\Delta S$  contribution (which, if  $\Delta C_p = 0$ , is a linear function of temperature).

The two contributions are illustrated in Fig. 12.3 for the oxidation reactions,  $2Co_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = 2CoO_{(s)}$ 

for which

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -467,800 + 143.7T \,\mathrm{J}$$

in 298 < T < 1763 K, and

 $2Mn_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = 2MnO_{(s)}$ 

for which

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -769,400 + 145.6T \,\mathrm{J}$$

in 298 < T < 1500 K.

$$\ln K = -\frac{\Delta H^{\circ}}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^{\circ}}{R} = \ln \left(\frac{1}{p_{O_2(eq.T)}}\right)$$

$$p_{O_2(eq,T)} = \exp \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT} \exp \frac{-\Delta S^\circ}{R} = \operatorname{constant} \times \exp \frac{\Delta H^\circ}{RT}$$
 (12.12)



Two oxidation reactions, the Ellingham lines of which intersect one another, e.g.,

$$2A + O_2 = 2AO \qquad (i)$$

and

 $\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{O}_2 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{O}_2 \tag{ii}$ 



from Fig. 12.4.



**Figure 12.6** The variation, with temperature, of the difference between the Gibbs free energy of 1 mole of ideal gas in the state (P=P atm, T) and the Gibbs free energy of 1 mole of ideal gas in the state (P=1 atm, T).



Figure 12.7 The superimposition of an Ellingham line on Fig. 12.6.

At  $T_1$ ,  $\Delta G_{T_1}^0 = ab$  = the decrease in  $\Delta G$  when  $P_{O_2}$  is decreased from 1 atm to  $10^{-20}$  atm at  $T_1$ .

At  $T_2$ ,  $\Delta G_{T_2}^0 = cd$  = the decrease in  $\Delta G$  when  $P_{O_2}$  is decreased from 1 atm to  $10^{-8}$  atm at  $T_2$ .

At  $T_3$ ,  $\Delta G_{T_3}^0 = ef$  = the decrease in  $\Delta G$  when  $P_{O_2}$  is decreased from 1 atm to  $10^{-4}$  atm at  $T_3$ .

At  $T_4$ ,  $\Delta G = 0$  = the decrease in  $\Delta G$  when  $P_{O_2}$  is decreased from 1 atm. Thus  $P_{O_2} = 1$  atm.



At any temperature lower than  $T_E^0(say, T_1)$ , it is seen that

 $p_{O_2}$  [eq. for reaction (ii) at  $T_1$ ] <  $p_{O_2}$  [eq. for reaction (i) at  $T_1$ ]

At equilibrium, the state of the closed system containing, initially  $A+B+O_2$  at 1 atm, is  $A+BO_2+O_2$  at [eq. for reaction (ii) at  $T_1$ ]. At  $T > T_{F}$  (say,  $T_2$ ),

 $p_{O_2}$  [eq. for reaction (i) at  $T_2$ ] <  $p_{O_2}$  [eq. for reaction (ii) at  $T_2$ ]

**Figure 12.8** Illustration of the addition of the Richardson oxygen pressure nomographic scale to an Ellingham diagram.

12. 5 The Effect of Phase Transformations



(i) Consider the reaction

 $A_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = AO_{2(s)} \qquad (1) \Delta \mathcal{H}^{\circ}, \Delta S^{\circ}$ 

At  $T_{m(A)}$ ,

 $A_{(s)} \rightarrow A_{(b)}$  (2)  $\Delta$ 

(2)  $\Delta H^0_{m(A)}$  (endo) ,  $\Delta S^0_{m(A)}$ .

Thus for the reaction

(ii) If  $T_{m(AO_2)} < T_{m(A)}$ , then, at  $T_{m(AO_2)}$ ,

 $A_{(l)} + O_{2(g)} = AO_{2(s)}$ 

 $\Delta H^{o}-\Delta H^{0}_{m(A)'}\Delta S^{o}-\Delta S^{0}_{m(A)}$ more negative

 $AO_{2(s)} \rightarrow AO_{2(l)} \qquad (3) \Delta H^0_{m(AO_2)'} \Delta S^0_{m(AO_2)}$ 

**Figure 12.9** (*a*) The effect of melting of the metal on the Ellingham line for oxidation of the metal, (*b*) The effect of melting of the metal oxide on the Ellingham line for the oxidation of the metal.

Thus, for the reaction

$$A_{(s)} + O_{2(g)} = AO_{2(l)} \qquad (4)\Delta H^{o} + \Delta H^{0}_{m(AO_{2})'}\Delta S^{o} + \Delta S^{0}_{m(AO_{2})'}$$

12. 5 The Effect of Phase Transformations



Figure 12.10 Illustration of the effects of phase changes of the reactants and products of a reaction on the Ellingham line for the reaction.

If 
$$T_{m(A)} < T_{m(AO_2)}$$
, the Ellingham line is as shown in Fig. 12.10a, and, if  $T_{m(A)} > T_{m(AO_2)}$  the line is as shown in Fig. 12.10*b*

#### 12. 5 The Effect of Phase Transformations



Figure 12.11 The Ellingham line for the oxidation of copper.

Copper is a metal which melts at a lower temperature than its lowest oxide Cu2O. The standard Gibbs free energy change for the oxidation of solid copper to form solid cuprous oxide in the range of temperature 298 K to  $T_{m,Cu}$  is

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -338,900 - 14.2T \ln T + 247T \,\mathrm{J} \tag{i}$$

and, for the oxidation of liquid copper to form solid cuprous oxide in the range of temperature  $T_{m,Cu}$  to 1503 K is

$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -390,800 - 14.2T \ln T + 285.3T \,\mathrm{J} \tag{ii}$$

These two lines, which are drawn in Fig. 12.11, intersect at 1356 K, which is thus the melting temperature of copper. gives

$$\Delta G = 51.900 - 38.3T \,\mathrm{J}$$

for the phase change

$$4Cu_{(s)} = 4Cu_{(l)}$$

or, for the melting of 1 mole of Cu,

$$\Delta G_{m,Cu}^{\circ} = 12,970 - 9.58T \,\mathrm{J}$$

from which

$$\Delta H_{m,Cu}^{\circ} = 12,970 \text{ J}$$
 and  $\Delta S_{m,Cu}^{\circ} = 9.58 \text{ J/K}$ 

#### 12. 5 The Effect of Phase Transformations



Figure 12.12 The Ellingham diagram for the chlorination of iron.

As  $FeCl_2$  boils at a lower temperature than the melting temperature of Fe, the Ellingham diagram for the chlorination of Fe shows "elbows downwards" at the melting temperature of  $FeCl_2$  and at the boiling temperature of  $FeCl_2$ . For

$$Fe_{(s)} + Cl_{2(g)} = FeCl_{2(s)}$$
$$\Delta G^{\circ} = -346,300 - 12.68T \ln T + 212.9T J \qquad (iii)$$

in the range 298 K to 
$$T_{m(FeCl_2)}$$
. For  
 $Fe_{(s)} + Cl_{2(g)} = FeCl_{2(l)}$   
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -286,400 + 63.68T J$  (iv)  
in the range  $T_{m(FeCl_2)}$  to  $T_{b,(FeCl_2)}$  and for  
 $Fe_{(s)} + Cl_{2(g)} = FeCl_{2(g)}$   
 $\Delta G^{\circ} = -105,600 + 41.8T \ln T - 375.1T J$  (v)

in the range  $T_{b,(Cl_2)}$  to  $T_{m(Fe)}$ . Lines (iii), (iv), and (v) are shown in Fig. 12.12, which shows that

 $T_{m, \text{FeCl}_2} = 969 \text{ K}$  and  $T_{b, \text{FeCl}_2} = 1298 \text{ K}$ 

For  $FeCl_{2(s)} \rightarrow FeCl_{2(l)}, \Delta G^{0}_{(iv)} - \Delta G^{0}_{(iii)}$  gives  $\Delta G^{\circ}_{m,FeCl_{2}} = 59,900 + 12.68T \ln T - 149.0T J$