

# "Phase Equilibria in Materials"

# 10.07.2015 Eun Soo Park

Office: 33-313 Telephone: 880-7221 Email: espark@snu.ac.kr Office hours: by an appointment

## **Contents for previous class**

## CHAPTER 4 Binary Phase Diagrams

<u>Three-Phase Equilibrium Involving Limited Solubility of the Components</u> in the Solid State but Complete Solubility in the Liquid State

**4.3. Three-Phase Equilibrium : Peritectic Reactions** 

2) Eutectoid reaction

3) Peritectic reaction

Formation of intermediate phases by peritectic reaction

Non-stoichiometeric compounds

4) Congruent transformations

## According to the condensed Phase Rule, f = c - p + 1

For a binary system the equilibria possible are summarized below.

Number of components	Number of phases	Variance	Equilibrium	
c = 2	p = 1	f = 2	bivariant	p = c - 1
c = 2	p = 2	f = 1	monovariant	p = c
c = 2	p = 3	f = 0	invariant	p = c + 1

Invariant reactions which have been observed in binary diagrams are listed below, together with the nomenclature given to such reactions.

Invariant reactions involving liquid phases have a name ending in *\_tectic* while those occurring completely in the solid state end in *\_tectoid*.

## **Peritectic reaction**

#### Considerable difference between the melting points



Fig. 61. Effect of increasingly positive departure from ideality in changing the phase diagram from a continuous series of solutions to a peritectic-type.



Fig. 43. Effect of increasingly positive departure from ideality in changing the phase diagram for a continuous series of solutions to a eutectic-type.

## **Peritectic reaction**

- Surrounding or Encasement: During peritectic reaction,  $L + \alpha \longrightarrow \beta$ , the beta phase created surrounds primary alpha.
- Beta creates diffusion barrier resulting in coring.





Figure 8.19

Fig. 65. Freezing of the peritectic alloy P.

### 4.3.4. Formation of intermediate phases by peritectic reaction



Fig. 68. Formation of an intermediate phase,  $\beta$ , by peritectic reaction.

β: different crystal structure with those of the component older literature\_ intermediate phases ~regarded as a chemical compounds Thus, called intermetallic compounds but, cannot expect from valency considerations & not fixed composition (different with chemical compounds)

## \* Intermediate phases

(1) Size-factor compounds ~ relatively large size differences of the constituent atoms

- e.g. a) Laves phases, which are intermediate phases based on the formula AB<sub>2</sub>, where atom A has the larger atomic diameter.
  - b) Interstitial compounds: metal carbides, nitrides and borides

(2) Electron compounds ~ similar electrochemical properties and a favorable size-factor occurs at one of three valency electron-to-atom ratios.

- e.g. a) 3:2 electron compounds CuZn, Cu<sub>3</sub>Ga, and Cu<sub>5</sub>Sn different %Cu, same electron concentration and similar crystal structure (BCC)
  - b) 21:13 electron compounds  $\gamma$  brass (complex cubic lattice with 52 atoms per unit cell)
  - c) 7:4 electron compounds close-packed hexagonal structure similar to  $\epsilon$  brass

(3) Normal valency compounds (partly-ionic compounds) ~ obey the valency rules

e.g. Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, Mg<sub>2</sub>Sn, Mg<sub>2</sub>Pb and Mg<sub>3</sub>Sb<sub>2</sub>/ much common in ionic compounds such as NaCl and CaF2 1) Peritectic point virtually coincides with the liquid composition. But, thermodynamically, points P and b is not possible to coincide.



Peritectic point and the liquid composition are so close to each other that the experimental techniques used were not able to distinguish them. More refined methods would be expected to produce evidence of a <u>compositional difference these two points</u>. 8 2) Decreasing solubility of Zn in Cu with rise in temperature in contrast to the normal decrease in solubility with fall in temperature



Due to an equilibrium with a <u>disordered intermediate phase</u> (e.g. the  $\beta$  phase above 454 °C, Fig. 71)

This has been explained as being due to a greater relative movement of the free energy curve of the intermediate phase compared with the  $\alpha$  solid solution with rise in temperature. <sup>9</sup>

### 4.3.5. Non-stoichiometeric compounds



### 4.4 Congruent phase transformations

# Congruent vs Incongruent

### **Congruent phase transformations: no compositional change associated** with transformation

**Examples:** 

- Allotropic phase transformations
- Melting points of pure metals
- Congruent Melting Point \_\_\_\_\_

### Incongruent phase transformation: at least one phase will experience change in composition

### **Examples:**

- Melting in isomorphous alloys
- Eutectic reactions
- Pertectic Reactions
- Eutectoid reactions



## 4.4. Congruent transformations

### **Congruent transformation:**

(a): a melting point minimum, a melting point maximum, and a critical temperature associated with a order-disorder transformation

(b), (c) and (d): formation of an intermediate phase (next page)



Fig. 76. Examples of congruent transformations.

## 4.4. Congruent transformations

b. More usual type of congruently-melting intermediate phase



Fig. 78. Phase diagram with a congruent intermediate phase.

→ Partial phase diagram A-X and X-B



Microstructure of a cast AI-22% Si alloy showing polyhedra of primary Si in eutectic matrix

: Similar with eutectic alloy system/ primary β phase with well-formed crystal facets (does not form dendrite structure)

In many cases, X = normal valency compound such as Mg<sub>2</sub>Si, Mg<sub>2</sub>Sn, Mg<sub>2</sub>Pb or Laves phase, particularly stable compounds <sup>13</sup>

#### b. More usual type of congruently-melting intermediate phase



**Contents for today's class** 

CHAPTER 5 Binary Phase Diagrams Limited Solubility in Both the Liquid and Solid State

1) Limited Solubility in Both the Liquid and Solid State

2) Monotectic reactions

3) Syntectic reactions

### 1) Limited Solubility in Both the Liquid and Solid State

### So far, complete miscibility in the liquid state and limited solid solubility

partial solubility even in the liquid state

Free energy and activity curves for (a) kT/C<0.5, (b) kT/C=0.5 (c) kT/C>0.5



where.  $\Delta G_m = NCX_A(1 - X_A) + NkT[X_A \ln X_A + (1 - X_A) \ln (1 - X_A)]$ 

$$C = z \left[ H_{AB} - \frac{H_{AA} + H_{BB}}{2} \right]$$
  
: energy term



\* The curves with *kT/C* < 0.5 show two minima, which approach each other as the temperature rise.

\* With kT/C  $\geq$  0.5 there is a continuous fall in free energy from  $X_A=0$  to  $X_A=0.5$  and  $X_A=1.0$  to  $X_A=0.5$ . The free energy curve thus assumes the characteristic from one associates with the formation of homogeneous solutions.

> • Exactly the same treatment could have been applied to liquid solutions.

Fig. 14. Variation of free energy with composition for a homogeneous solution with  $\Delta H_m > 0$ . Free energycomposition curves are given for various values of the parameter kT/C.

Effect of very large positive deviations from ideality in changing the phase diagram from a eutectic to a monotectic reaction



### Eutectic reaction: Liquid $\leftrightarrow \alpha + \beta$

Monotectic reaction: Liquid1 ↔ Liquid2+ solid

The reversible transition, on cooling, of a liquid to a mixture of a second liquid and a solid 18



## 2) Monotectic Phase Diagram



G.A. Chadwick, Brit. J. App. Phys., 16 (1965) 1096

# Monotectic

Source: Reed-Hill, Abbaschian, *Physical Metallurgy Principles, 3rd Edition*, *PWS Publishing Company*, 1994.



### Hypo-monotectic:

Interdendritic divorced eutectic between an  $\alpha$  dendritic matrix

Slow cooing: Two layers ~ Cu-rich liquid L1 top layer / Pb-rich liquid L2 bottom layer (Rapid quenching: droplet structure)  $\rightarrow$ At T<sub>M</sub>, L1 – monotectic reaction/L2 –  $\alpha$  precipitates  $\rightarrow$ At T<sub>E</sub>, top:  $\alpha$  + pools of divorced eutectic/ bottom: small particles of  $\alpha$  in a divorced eutectic matrix 21

# Morphology in monotectic solidification



Figure 2. Solid-liquid interface morphology for different interfacial energy conditions: (a)  $\gamma_{S_1L_2} > \gamma_{S_1L_1} + \gamma_{L_1L_2}$ , (b)  $\gamma_{S_1L_2} = \gamma_{S_1L_1} - \gamma_{L_1L_2} \cos \theta$ , (c)  $\gamma_{S_1L_1} > \gamma_{S_1L_2} + \gamma_{L_1L_2}$ .

## **Case 1:** $\gamma_{\alpha l_1} + \gamma_{l_1 l_2} < \gamma_{\alpha l_2}$



Hg-Te single crystal

# **Case 2:** $\gamma_{\alpha l_2} = \gamma_{\alpha l_1} - \gamma_{l_1 l_2} \cos \theta$



Growth mechanism of alloy of monotectic composition to produce a fibrous structure

 $\lambda \propto V^{-0.5}$ 



D.A. Porter and K.E. Eastering, "Phase Transformations in Metals and Alloys"

## 4.1.3. Heterogeneous nucleation

From 
$$\Delta G^* = \left(\frac{16\pi\gamma_{SL}^3 T_m^2}{3L_V^2}\right) \frac{1}{(\Delta T)^2}$$
  
Nucleation becomes easy if  $\gamma_{SL}$  by forming nucleus from mould wall.  
Fig. 4.7 Heterogeneous nucleation of spherical cap on a flat mould wall.  
 $\gamma_{ML} = \gamma_{SL} \cos \theta + \gamma_{SM}$   
 $\cos \theta = (\gamma_{ML} - \gamma_{SM})/\gamma_{SL}$   
 $\Delta G_{het} = -V_S \Delta G_V + A_{SL}\gamma_{SL} + A_{SM}\gamma_{SM} - A_{SM}\gamma_{ML}$   
In terms of the wetting angle ( $\theta$ ) and the cap radius (r) (Exercise 4.6)  
 $\Delta G_{het} = \left\{-\frac{4}{3}\pi r^3 \Delta G_V + 4\pi r^2 \gamma_{SL}\right\} S(\theta)$ 

where  $S(\theta) = (2 + \cos \theta)(1 - \cos \theta)^2 / 4$ 

26

S(θ) has a numerical value  $\leq$  1 dependent only on θ (the shape of the nucleus)



S(θ) has a numerical value  $\leq$  1 dependent only on θ (the shape of the nucleus)



## The Effect of $\Delta T$ on $\Delta G^*_{het} \& \Delta G^*_{hom}$ ?



Fig. 4.9 (a) Variation of ΔG\* with undercooling (ΔT) for homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation.
 (b) The corresponding nucleation rates assuming the same critical value of ΔG\* 29

### **Barrier of Heterogeneous Nucleation**



### How about the nucleation at the crevice or at the edge?



### **Nucleation Barrier at the crevice**

What would be the shape of nucleus and the nucleation barrier for the following conditions?

### How do we treat the non-spherical shape?



**Good Wetting** 

## **Bad Wetting**

$$\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{sub}^{*} = \Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{homo}^{*} \left( \frac{\boldsymbol{V}_{A}}{\boldsymbol{V}_{A} + \boldsymbol{V}_{B}} \right)$$

### Effect of good and bad wetting on substrate



Fig. 85. Derivation of the monotectic phase diagram from the free energy curves for the liquid,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  phases.

### \* Limiting forms of monotectic phase diagram



Fig. 86. Limiting form of the monotectic phase diagram.

The solubility is so small as to be undetected experimentally to date.

### \* Limiting forms of monotectic phase diagram



The solubility is so small as to be undetected experimentally to date.

### **Syntectic reaction**: Liquid1+Liquid2 $\leftrightarrow \alpha$



This reaction will proceed at the interface between the two liquid layers. ~ difficult to maintain equilibrium conditions in a syntectic system



Fig. 87. Syntectic phase diagrams. (a) Schematic: (b) the K-Zn system. e.g. K-Zn, Na-Zn, K-Pb, Pb-U and Ca-Cd

**Contents for today's class** 

\* Monotectic reaction:







\* Syntectic reaction:

Liquid1+Liquid2  $\leftrightarrow \alpha$ 



K-Zn, Na-Zn, K-Pb, Pb-U, Ca-Cd





## **Contents for previous class**

# **Review of Invariant Binary Reactions**

Positive  $\Delta H_m$ 



### Review of Invariant Binary Reactions *Eutectic* Type Eutectic Al-Si, Fe-C ß $| = \alpha + \beta$ Eutectoid Fe-C $\vee$ **ζ**β $\gamma \overrightarrow{\phantom{\alpha}} \alpha + \beta$ Monotectic Cu-Pb را 🗸 $|_1 \overrightarrow{\alpha} \alpha + |_2$ Monotectoid Al-Zn, Ti-V ζβ $\alpha_1$ $\alpha_2 \overrightarrow{\phantom{\alpha}} \alpha_1 + \beta$

On cooling one phase going to two phases Metatectic reaction:  $\beta \leftrightarrow L + \alpha$  Ex. Co-Os, Co-Re, Co-Ru

## **Review of Invariant Binary Reactions**

Peritectic Type



On cooling two phases going to one phase