2015 Fall

"Phase Transformation in Materials"

11.30.2015 Eun Soo Park

Office: 33-313 Telephone: 880-7221 Email: espark@snu.ac.kr Office hours: by an appointment

1

Contents for previous class

- < Phase Transformation in Solids >
 - 1) Diffusional Transformation
 - (a) Precipitation



Homogeneous Nucleation

• Effect of misfit strain energy

Heterogeneous Nucleation

$$\Delta G = -V\Delta G_{V} + A\gamma + V\Delta G_{S}$$

$$r^{*} = \frac{2\gamma}{(\Delta G_{V} - \Delta G_{S})} \Delta G^{*} = \frac{16\pi\gamma^{3}}{3(\Delta G_{V} - \Delta G_{S})^{2}}$$

$$N_{\rm hom} = \omega C_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_m}{kT}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G^*}{kT}\right)$$

$$\Delta G_{het} = -V(\Delta G_V - \Delta G_S) + A\gamma - \Delta G_d$$

$$\frac{\Delta G_{het}^{*}}{\Delta G_{hom}^{*}} = \frac{V_{het}^{*}}{V_{hom}^{*}} = S(\theta)$$





Heterogeneous Nucleation in Solids

The Rate of Heterogeneous Nucleation during Precipitation



* Relative magnitudes of the heterogeneous and homogeneous volume nucleation rates



But, The factor C_1/C_0 ?

Heterogeneous Nucleation in Solids



5

C₁/C₀ for Various Heterogeneous Nucleation Sites

각각의 핵생성처에서 경쟁적으로 핵생성 발생: 구동력 조건에 따라 전체 핵생성 속도에 dominant하게 영향을 미치는 site 변화

$\frac{\text{Grain boundary}}{D = 50 \ \mu\text{m}}$	$\frac{\text{Grain edge}}{D = 50 \ \mu\text{m}}$	$\frac{\text{Grain corner}}{D = 50 \ \mu\text{m}}$	Dislocations		Excess vacancies
			10^{5} mm^{-2}	$10^8 {\rm mm}^{-2}$	$X_{\rm v} = 10^{-6}$
10 ⁻⁵	10^{-10}	10^{-15}	10^{-8}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}

In order to make nucleation occur exclusively on the grain corner, how should the alloy be cooled?

1) At very small driving forces (ΔG_v), when activation energy barriers for nucleation are high, the highest nucleation rates will be produced by grain-corner nucleation.

2) dominant nucleation sites:

grain edges \rightarrow grain boundaries

increase

 ΔG_v

3) At very high driving forces it may be possible for the (C_1/C_0) term to dominate and then homogeneous nucleation provides the highest nucleation rates.

* The above comments concerned nucleation during isothermal transformations (driving force for nucleation: [isothermal] constant ↔ [continuous cooling] increase with time)

Precipitate growth

1) Growth behind Planar Incoherent Interfaces

Diffusion-Controlled Thickening: $X \propto \sqrt{Dt}$ Parabolic growth $V = \frac{D(\Delta C_0)^2}{2(C_\beta - C_e)(C_\beta - C_0)x}$ $V \propto \Delta X_0 \propto \sqrt{D/t}$ Supersaturation

2) Diffusion Controlled lengthening of Plates or Needles

Diffusion Controlled lengthening:

$$V = \frac{D\Delta X_0}{k(X_{\beta} - X_r)} \cdot \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{r^*}{r} \right) \qquad V \to \text{constant} \longrightarrow \underbrace{X \propto t}_{\text{Linear growth}}$$

3) Thickening of Plate-like Precipitates

Thickening of Plate-like Precipitates by Ledge Mechanism



5.4 Overall Transformation Kinetics

If isothermal transformation,

The fraction of Transformation as a function of Time and Temp. $\rightarrow f(t,T)$

TTT Diagram 🔶 CCT Diagram



Contents for today's class

- Precipitation in Age-Hardening Alloys
- Quenched-in Vacancy
- Age hardening
- Spinodal Decomposition

Q1: Precipitation in Age-Hardening Alloys

5.5 Precipitation in Age-Hardening Alloys

Base Metal	Alloy	Precipitation Sequence		
Aluminum	Al-Ag	GPZ (spheres) $\rightarrow \gamma'$ (plates) $\rightarrow \gamma$ (Ag ₂ Al)		
	Al–Cu	GPZ (disks) $\rightarrow \theta''$ (disks) $\rightarrow \theta'$ (plates) $\rightarrow \theta$ (CuAl ₂)		
	Al-Cu-Mg	$GPZ (rods) \rightarrow S' (laths) \rightarrow S (CuMgAl_2) (laths)$		
	Al–Zn–Mg	GPZ (spheres) $\rightarrow \eta'$ (plates) $\rightarrow \eta$ (MgZn ₂) (plates or rods)		
	Al-Mg-Si	GPZ (rods) $\rightarrow \beta'$ (rods) $\rightarrow \beta$ (Mg ₂ Si) (plates)		
Copper	Cu–Be	GPZ (disks) $\rightarrow \gamma' \rightarrow \gamma$ (CuBe)		
	Cu–Co	GPZ (spheres) $\rightarrow \beta$ (Co) (plates)		
Iron	FeC	ϵ -carbide (disks) \rightarrow Fe ₃ C (plates)		
	Fe-N	$\alpha^{\prime\prime}~(disks) \to Fe_4N$		
Nickel	Ni-Cr-Ti-Al	γ' (cubes or spheres)		

Table 5.2 Some precipitation-Hardening Sequences

Source: Mainly from Martin, J.W., in Precipitation Hardening, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1968.

Let us now turn to a consideration of some examples of the great variety of civilian transformations in solid.

5.5 Precipitation in Age-Hardening Alloys

Precipitation in Aluminum-Copper Alloys





incoherent nuclei with large $\chi \sim$ impossible to homogeneous nucleation of $\beta \rightarrow$ Homogeneous nucleation of metastable phase β' (GP Zones, Section 5.5.1)

Driving force for GP zone precipitation

5.5.1 GP Zones

 $\Delta G_{A}^{*} > (\Delta G_{V} - \Delta G_{s}) >> \Delta G_{zone}^{*}$

The zones minimize their strain energy by choosing a discshape perpendicular to the elastically soft <100> directions in the fcc matrix (as shown in Fig. 5.26).

2 atomic layers thick and 10 nm in diameter with a spacing of ~10 nm



Fully coherent Cu-rich area with very low interfacial E

Fig. 5.26 Section through a GP zone parallel to the (200) plane. (Based on the work of V. Gerold: Zeitschrift für Metallkunde 45 (1954) 599.)

: 이러한 응집체는 완전한 석출 입자로 볼 수 없으며, 때때로 석출대 (zone)로 명명함. 12 The zone appear to be homogeneously nucleated, however, excess vacancies are thought to play an important role in their formation (be returned to later)

GP zones of Al-Cu alloys (x 720,000, TEM)



Fully coherent, about 2 atomic layers thick and 10 nm in diameter with a spacing of ~ 10 nm

13

: The contrast in the image is due to the coherency misfit strain perpendicular to the zones. (Coherency misfit strain→local variations in the intensity of electron diffraction→image contrast change)

Transition phases



Fig. 5.27 A schematic molar free energy diagram for the Al-Cu system.

14

$\alpha_{0} \rightarrow \alpha_{1}$ +GP zone $\rightarrow \alpha_{2}$ + $\theta'' \rightarrow \alpha_{3}$ + $\theta' \rightarrow \alpha_{4}$ + θ (CuAl₂)



than by direct transformation to the equilibrium phase.

 $\alpha_4 + \theta$

Incoherent

(a) The activation E barrier to the formation of each transition phase is very small in comparison to the barrier against the direct precipitation of the equilibrium phase. (b) Schematic diagram showing the total free E of the alloy versus time.

<u>The Crystal Structures of θ'' , θ' and θ </u>



Fig. 5.29 Structure and morphology of θ ", θ ' and θ in Al-Cu (\bigcirc Al. \bullet Cu).

θ'' of Al-Cu alloys (x 63,000, TEM)



Tetragonal unit cell, essentially a distorted fcc in which Cu and Al atoms are ordered on (001) planes, fully-coherent plate-like ppt with $\{001\}_{\alpha}$ habit plane. ~ <u>10 nm thick and 100 nm in diameter (larger than GP zones)</u>. : Like the GP zones, the θ'' precipitates are visible by virtue of the coherency-strain fields caused by the misfit perpendicular to the plates.

θ' of Al-Cu alloys (x 18,000, TEM)



 θ' has (001) planes that are identical with $\{001\}_{\alpha}$ and forms as plates on $\{001\}_{\alpha}$ with the same orientation relationship as θ'' . But, (100), (010) planes \rightarrow incoherent, $\sim 1 \ \mu m$ in diameter.

: The broad faces of the plates are initially fully coherent but lose coherency as the plates grow, while the edges of the plates are either incoherent or have a complex semicoherent structure.

18

θ of Al-Cu alloys x 8,000



CuAl₂: complex body centered tetragonal, incoherent or complex semicoherent

: large size and coarse distribution of the precipitates

Nucleation sites in Al-Cu alloys

(0) GP zones $\rightarrow \theta$ ":

GP zones

~ very potent nucleation sites for θ "





(a) θ" → θ'. θ' nucleates at dislocation (x 70,000).
: Dislocation can reduce the misfit in two <100> matrix directions. As the θ' grows the surrounding, less-stable θ" phase can be seen to dissolve.



(b) θ nucleation on grain boundary (GB)(x 56,000) (c) $\theta' \rightarrow \theta$. θ nucleates at θ' /matrix interface (x 70,000). : governed by the need to reduce the large interfacial energy contribution to ΔG^* for this phase

Fig. 5.31 Electron micrographs showing nucleation sites in Al-Cu alloys.

* Effect of Aging Temperature on the Sequence of Precipitates



Q2: Quenched-in vacancies vs Precipitate-free zone

5.5.3. Quenched-in Vacancies

If $X_v < X_v^c$ critical vacancy supersaturation, Precipitate nucleation $X \rightarrow$ formation of PFZ

- a) Excess (𝒴→ 🔘 nucleation and moving ↑: Heterogeneous nucleation sites ↑
- b) Excess (𝔅) → atomic mobility ↑ at ageing temp: speeds up the process of nucleation and growth

In the vicinity of grain boundaries on subsequent aging,

ex) rapid formation of GP zones at the relatively low aging temperature. (possible to RT aging in Al-Cu alloy)

23

a) Precipitate-Free Zone(PFZ) due to Vacancy Diffusion during quenching

Solute concentration within the zone ~ largely unchanged, but no precipitate at GB \therefore a critical vacancy supersaturation must be exceeded for nucleation to occur.



Fig. 5.35 A PFZ due to vacancy diffusion to a grain boundary during quenching.

(a) Vacancy concentration profile. (b) A PFZ in an AI-Ge alloy (x 20,000)

(c) Dependence of PFZ width on critical vacancy concentration X_v^c and rate of quenching.

* Equilibrium Vacancy Concentration



b) Another cause of PFZs can be the nucleation and growth of GB precipitates during cooling from the solution treatment temperature.



Fig. 5.36 PFZs around grain boundaries in a high-strength commercial AI-Zn-Mg-Cu alloy. 25 Precipitates on grain boundaries have extracted solute from surrounding matrix. (x 59,200)

Q3: Age Hardening

5.5.4. Age Hardening

Transition phase precipitation \rightarrow great improvement in the mechanical properties Coherent precipitates \rightarrow highly strained matrix \rightarrow the main resistance to the D movement: solid solution hardening



Fig. 5. 37 Hardness vs. time for various Al-Cu alloys at (a) 130 °C (b) 190 °C best heat treatment in practice

Q4: Spinodal Decomposition

5.5.5 Spinodal Decomposition

Spinodal mode of transformation has no barrier to nucleation

: describing the transformation of a system of two or more components in a metastable phase into two stable phases



Fig. 5.38 Alloys between the spinodal points are unstable and can decompose into two coherent phasees α_1 and α_2 without overcoming an activation energy barrier. Alloys between the coherent miscibility gaps and the spinodal are metastable and can decompose only after nucleation of the other phase.

How does it differ between inside and outside the inflection point of Gibbs free energy curve?

1) Within the spinodal $\frac{d^2G}{dX^2} < 0$



: phase separation by small fluctuations in composition/ "up-hill diffusion"

2) If the alloy lies outside the spinodal, small variation in composition leads to an increase in free energy and the alloy is therefore metastable.

> The free energy can only be decreased if nuclei are formed with a composition very different from the matrix.

 \rightarrow nucleation and growth : "down-hill diffusion"

29



Fig. 5.39 & 5.40 schematic composition profiles at increasing times in (a) an alloy quenched into the spinodal region (X_0 in Figure 5.38) and (b) an alloy outside the spinodal points (X_0 ' in Figure 5.38) ³⁰

Phase separation



 $(Y_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{25}(Ti_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{75}$

 $(Y_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{50}(Ti_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{50}$

 $(Y_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{65}(Ti_{56}Al_{24}Co_{20})_{35}_{31}$

5.5.5 Spinodal Decomposition

* The Rate of Spinodal decomposition

a) Rate controlled by interdiffusion coefficient D (상호확산계수)



b) Kinetics depends on λ : Transformation rate \uparrow as $\lambda \downarrow$ (as small as possible). But, minimum value of λ below which spinodal decomposition cannot occur.

(assumed one-dimensional)

Solutions to the diffusion equations

Ex1. Homogenization of sinusoidal varying composition in the elimination of segregation in casting



<u>"decide homogenization rate"</u>

The initial concentration profile will not usually be sinusoidal, but in general any concentration profile can be considered as <u>the sum of an infinite series of sine waves of</u> <u>varying wavelength and amplitude</u>, and each wave decays at a rate determined by jts own " τ ". Thus, the short wavelength terms die away very rapidly and <u>the homogenization</u> <u>will ultimately be determined by τ for the longest wavelength component</u>. * <u>Calculation of the wavelength (λ)</u> of the composition fluctuations

→ Free Energy change for the decomposition

1) Decomposition of X_0 into $X_0 + \Delta X$ and $X_0 - \Delta X$

What would be an additional energy affecting spinodal decomposition?

In practice, it is necessary to consider two important factors

- 2) interfacial energy
- 3) coherency strain energy

1) Decomposition of X₀ into X₀ + \DeltaX and X₀ - \DeltaX \Delta G_{chem} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 G}{dX^2} (\Delta X)^2 bb's free energy reduction by compositional change Gibb's free energy reduction by compositional change $f(a+h) = f(a) + f'(a)h + \frac{f''(a)}{2!}h^{2} + \cdots$ $= G(X_{0} + \Delta X) \approx G(X_{0}) + G'(X_{0})\Delta X + \frac{G''(X_{0})}{2!}\Delta X^{2}$ $= G(X_{0} - \Delta X) \approx G(X_{0}) - G'(X_{0})\Delta X + \frac{G''(X_{0})}{2!}\Delta X^{2}$ $\Delta G_{chem} = \frac{G(X_0 + \Delta X) + G(X_0 - \Delta X)}{2} - G(X_0)$ $=\frac{G''(X_0)}{2!}\Delta X^2 = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2G}{dY^2}\Delta X^2$ 34

5.5.5 Spinodal Decomposition

2) <u>During the early stages</u>, the interface between A-rich and B-rich region is not sharp but very diffuse. \rightarrow diffuse interface

 ΔG by formation of interface btw decomposed phases

Interfacial Energy (gradient energy)

 ∝ composition gradient across the interface
 : increased # of unlike nearest neighbors in a solution containing composition gradients

 $\Delta \boldsymbol{G}_{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{K} \left(\frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{X}}{\lambda} \right)^2$

Max. compositional gradient $\Delta X/\lambda$

K: a proportionality constant dependent on the difference in the bond energies of like and unlike atom pair

If the size of the atoms making up the solid solution are different, <u>the generation</u> <u>of composition differences</u>, ΔX will introduce a coherency strain energy term, ΔGs .

3) Coherency Strain Energy

$$\Delta G_{\rm S} \propto E\delta^2 \iff \delta = (da/dX)\Delta X/a$$

(atomic size difference) δ: misfit between the A-rich & B-rich regions, E: Young's modulus, a: lattice parameter

$$\Delta G_{\rm S} = \eta^2 (\Delta X)^2 E' V_m \qquad \text{where } \eta = \frac{1}{a} \left(\frac{da}{dX} \right), E' = E/(1-\nu) \qquad \Delta G_{\rm S} \sim \text{ independent of } \lambda$$

 η : the fractional change in lattice parameter per unit composition change

* Total free E change by the formation of a composition fluctuation 1) + 2) + 3)

$$\Delta G = \left\{ \frac{d^2 G}{dX^2} + \frac{2K}{\lambda^2} + 2\eta^2 E' V_m \right\} \frac{(\Delta X)^2}{2}$$
³⁵

5.5.5 Spinodal Decomposition



This figure include the lines <u>defining the equilibrium compositions</u> of the <u>coherent/incoherent phases</u> that result from spinodal decomposition.

* Incoherent(or equilibrium) miscibility gap: $\Delta H > 0$

The miscibility gap the normally appears on an equilibrium phase is the incoherent (or equilibrium) miscibility gap.→ equilibrium compositions of incoherent phases without strain fields.

- a) chemical spinodal: d²G/dX²=0_no practical importance X
- b) Area ② , $\Delta G_V \Delta G_S < 0 \rightarrow$ only incoherent strain-free nuclei can form.



Composition Figure 5.41 Schematic phase diagram for a clustering system.

Region 1: <u>homogeneous α stable</u>. Region 2: homogeneous α metastable, <u>only incoherent phases</u> can nucleate. Region 3: homogeneous α metastable, <u>coherent phase</u> can nucleate. Region 4: homogeneous α unstable, no nucleation barrier, <u>spinodal decomposition</u> occurs.

Spinodal decomposition is not only limited to systems containing a stable miscibility gap

All systems in which <u>GP zones</u> form, for example, containing a <u>metastable coherent</u> <u>miscibility gap</u>, i.e., the GP zone solvus.

→ <u>at high supersaturation, GP zone can form by the spinodal mechanism.</u>



Figure 5.34

Al-Ag phase diagram showing metastable two-phase field corresponding to GP zones.

- The difference in T between the coherent and incoherent miscibility gaps, or the chemical and coherent spinodals \propto magnitude of $|\eta|$ η : the fractional change in lattice parameter per unit composition change
- Large atomic size difference $\rightarrow |\eta|$ large \rightarrow large undercooling to overcome the strain E effects
- Like Al-Cu, large values of |η| in cubic metals can be mitigated if the misfit strains are accommodated in the elastically soft <100> directions. → composition modulations building up normal to {100}



Figure 5.42 A coarsened spinodal microstructure in Al-22.5 Zn-0.1 Mg (at%) solution treated 2h at 400 $^{\circ}$ C and aged 20h at 100 $^{\circ}$ C. Thin foil electron micrograph. λ = 25 nm_coarsening 39

Contents for today's class

Precipitation in Age-Hardening Alloys



5.5.4. Age Hardening

Transition phase precipitation \rightarrow great improvement in the mechanical properties Coherent precipitates \rightarrow highly strained matrix \rightarrow the main resistance to the D movement: solid solution hardening



Fig. 5. 37 Hardness vs. time for various Al-Cu alloys at (a) 130 °C (b) 190 °C best heat treatment in practice

Spinodal Decomposition

Spinodal mode of transformation has no barrier to nucleation

: describing the transformation of a system of two or more components in a metastable phase into two stable phases



Fig. 5.38 Alloys between the spinodal points are unstable and can decompose into two coherent phasees α_1 and α_2 without overcoming an activation energy barrier. Alloys between the coherent miscibility gaps and the spinodal are metastable and can decompose only after nucleation of the other phase.

How does it differ between inside and outside the inflection point of Gibbs free energy curve?

1) Within the spinodal $\frac{d^2G}{dX^2} < 0$



: phase separation by small fluctuations in composition/ "up-hill diffusion"

2) If the alloy lies outside the spinodal, small variation in composition leads to an increase in free energy and the alloy is therefore metastable.

> The free energy can only be decreased if nuclei are formed with a composition very different from the matrix.

- \rightarrow nucleation and growth 42
 - : "down-hill diffusion"

This figure include the lines <u>defining the equilibrium compositions</u> of the <u>coherent/incoherent phases</u> that result from spinodal decomposition.

* Incoherent(or equilibrium) miscibility gap: $\Delta H > 0$

The miscibility gap the normally appears on an equilibrium phase is the incoherent (or equilibrium) miscibility gap.→ equilibrium compositions of incoherent phases without strain fields.

- a) chemical spinodal: d²G/dX²=0_no practical importance X
- b) Area ② , $\Delta G_V \Delta G_S < 0 \rightarrow$ only incoherent strain-free nuclei can form.



Composition Figure 5.41 Schematic phase diagram for a clustering system.

Region 1: <u>homogeneous α stable</u>. Region 2: homogeneous α metastable, <u>only incoherent phases</u> can nucleate. Region 3: homogeneous α metastable, <u>coherent phase</u> can nucleate. Region 4: homogeneous α unstable, no nucleation barrier, <u>spinodal decomposition</u> occurs.