CHAPTER 9

ELIMINATION REACTIONS

Contents

The details of the elimination reactions Elimination vs. substitution

9.1 The General Reaction

- \Rightarrow This reaction is termed as 1,2-elimination or β -elimination
- \Rightarrow There are two mechanisms for the elimination reactions; E1 and E2

9.2 Bimolecular elimination; E2 reaction

 \Rightarrow common reaction between alkyl halides and strong bases

 \Rightarrow The strong bases are also nucleophiles, the E2 competes with S_N2

rate =
$$k$$
[EtO ^{\ominus}][t -BuBr].

Concerted reaction involves breaking and forming several bonds simultaneously

The bond dissociation Energy of C-D bond is about 1.2 Kcal/mol larger than that for C-H bond

C-D bond is being broken during the RDS !

Almost same rate C-D bond is not being broken during the RDS ! 4

9.3 Stereochemistry of the E2 reaction

Stereoelectronic requirements of E2

- ⇒Two sigma bonds (C-H and C-L) should lie in the same plane, because these two bonds overlap to form a pi bond.
- ⇒More stable conformation is desirable

Two possible conformations

- \Rightarrow anti-coplaner conformation is more stable, therefore <u>anti</u> <u>elimination is prefered</u> in the E2 reaction.
- ⇒ Syn elimination is rare, while possible when –H & -L are held syn-coplaner (eclipsed or nearly eclipsed)

Example; elimination reactions of the diastereomers of 1bromo-1,2- diphenylpropane

> only (*Z*)-1,2-diphenyl-1-propene is produced Enantiomer of this reactant also produce (*Z*)- alkene

only (*E*)-1,2-diphenyl-1-propene is produced Enantiomer of this reactant also produce (*E*)alkene

Trans-diaxial elimination

For the anti elimination of cyclohexane \Rightarrow The leaving group and the hydrogen must be trans \Rightarrow They also must be in the axial position



two alkyl groups + one chloride are in the axial position; less stable conformation

two alkyl groups are in the equtorial position; more stable conformation

 \Rightarrow elimination of neomenthyl chloride is 40 times faster

However syn elimination does occur in rigid molecules when the living group and the hydrogen are held in an eclipsed conformation (Fig. 9.3)

9.4 Direction of Elimination; Zaitsev's rule

Zaitsev's rule is an empirical rule based on experiments \Rightarrow Product development control

More stable alkene is a major product

Hoffmann elimination

 \Rightarrow An exception to Zaitsev's rule

ex) Elimination of 4° ammonium salt accords to Hoffmann's rule; Less substituted product dominates.

Why Hoffmann elimination for the compounds with quaternary nitrogen?

- ⇒ The reaction involves a poor leaving group (trialkyl amine group) and a strong base. Then the breaking of C-H bond is more advanced in the Hoffmann elimination than in the E2.
- \Rightarrow Then acidity of the hydrogen determines the reactivity



Steric effect of base

Hydrogens on less substituted C are more accessible especially for large base

Zaitsev's rule

Hoffmann's rule

Conjugation effect ⇒ Conjugated product more stable

9.5 Unimolecular elimination; E1

Reaction mechanisms of S_N1 and E1

1. RDS; the formation of carbocation

2. The transition state of the substitution is lower in energy; substitution is the major rxn

Investigating mechanisms

E1 or $S_N 1$

Leaving groups do not affect the product ratio

 \Rightarrow first step is RDS

9.6 Regiochemistry and Stereochemistry of E1

- 1. Stereochemistry of the leaving group and the hydrogen is not important in E1; need not coplanar
- 2. Product distribution follows Zaitsev's rule

E2 using strong base, 2-menthene was 100 % (see p321)

9.7 Competition between Elimination & Substitution

$S_N 2$ and E2

- 1. $S_N 2/E2$ is favored over $S_N 1/E1$ with good base or nucleophile; the strength of base \uparrow , the rate of both $S_N 2$ and $E2 \uparrow$ ex) using ethoxide, $S_N 2/E2$ not $S_N 1/E1$
- 2. Steric hindrance favors E2 over S_N2 ex)

- 3. Among the bases, stronger base (Nu:) favors E2 over S_N^2 , and weaker base favors S_N^2 .
- \Rightarrow In elimination, hydrogen is easily abstracted by strong base

4. High temperature favors E2 over S_N^2 $\Rightarrow \Delta G = \Delta H - T \Delta S$; Temp \uparrow , ΔS contribution \uparrow .

$S_N 1$ and E1

- \Rightarrow RDS of S_N1 and E1 involves the formation of carbocation.
- 1. S_N 1 and E1 most commonly occur with tertiary (best) or secondary substrate in polar solvent and in absence of strong base (or nucleophile).
- 2. Difficult to control the ratio of E1 and S_N 1

Competition on different substrate

Primary substrates; RCH₂L (1°)

- \Rightarrow Compounds with low steric hindrance
 - 1. S_N^2 with any nucleophile
 - 2. E2 with bulky strong base which is not a good nucleophile such as *tert*-butoxide
 - 3. $S_N 1$ or E1 reaction does not occur because primary carbocation is very very unstable, while allylic and benzylic substrate $S_N 1$ or E1 is possible.

Secondary substrates; R₂CHL (2°)

 \Rightarrow Four mechanisms are all possible

- 1. S_N^2 with nucleophile that are not too basic
- 2. E2 with strong base such HO⁻ or RO⁻
- 3. $S_N 1$ (and/or E1) with weak nucleophile such as ROH; such reaction is called as solvolysis (alcohols are also solvents.

Tertiary substrates; R₃CL (3°)

- 1. S_N^2 is impossible because they are too hindered
- 2. $S_N 1$ (E1) with polar solvents and in the absence of strong base
- 3. E2 with strong base such as HO⁻ or RO⁻

Nucleophilicity vs Basicity

□ Nucleophilicity: ability to cause substitution rxn

 $H_2O < ROH < CI^- < Br^- < OH^- < OR^- < I^- < CN^-$

Basicity: ability to accept proton in acid-base rxn

 $I^{-} < Br^{-} < CI^{-} < ROH < H_{2}O < CN^{-} < OH^{-} < OR^{-}$