

Limit Thms : the most important theoretical probability results.

- Law of large numbers : concerned with conditions under which the avg of a seq of rvs converges to the expected avg.
- Central limit thm : concerned with determining conditions under which the sum of a large number of rvs has an approximately normal prob distribution.

Prop [Markov's Inequality]

$X$  : a r.v. that takes only nonnegative values.

For any value  $a > 0$ ,

$$P\{X \geq a\} \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}.$$

pf For  $a > 0$ , let  $I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } X \geq a \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Since  $X \geq 0$ ,

$$I \leq \frac{X}{a}$$

$$\Rightarrow E[I] \leq \frac{E[X]}{a}$$

"

$$P\{X \geq a\}$$

//

Prop [Chebyshev's Inequality]

$X$  : a r.v. with finite  $\mu$  &  $\sigma^2$ .

For any value  $k > 0$ ,

$$P\{|X - \mu| \geq k\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}.$$

Pf Apply Markov's inequality to  $(x-\mu)^2$  with  $a=k^2$ .

Then 
$$P\{(X-\mu)^2 \geq k^2\} \leq \frac{E[(X-\mu)^2]}{k^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{k^2}$$

$$\parallel$$
  
$$P\{|X-\mu| \geq k\}$$

Rmk Markov's & Chebyshev's inequalities allow to derive bounds on probabilities when only mean, or mean & var are known.

(The bounds obtained are not necessarily close to the actual probability.)

Prop If  $Var(X) = 0$ , then  $P\{X = E[X]\} = 1$ .

i.e. the only rv having variances equal to 0 are those that are constant with prob 1.

Pf For any  $n \geq 1$ ,  $P\{|X-\mu| > \frac{1}{n}\} = 0$  ( $\because$  Chebyshev with  $k = \frac{1}{n}$ )

$\Downarrow$  let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{|X-\mu| > \frac{1}{n}\} \stackrel{\text{Continuity property}}{=} P\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{|X-\mu| > \frac{1}{n}\}\}$$
  
$$= P\{X \neq \mu\}$$
  
$$\parallel$$

Thm [The weak law of large numbers]

$X_1, X_2, \dots$  : sequence of i.i.d rvs.  
 $E[X_i] = \mu$  finite.

Then, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left\{\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \epsilon\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$
  
$$\parallel$$

Pf (Assuming that the rvs have a finite  $\sigma^2$ )

$$E\left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right] = \mu$$

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left\{\left|\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\varepsilon^2} \quad (\because \text{Chebyshev}) //$$

Rmk Bernoulli proved the weak law of large numbers for Bernoulli rvs before Chebyshev's inequality.

Central Limit Thm.

(Roughly speaking) The sum of a large number of independent rvs has a distribution that is approximately normal!

Thm [The central limit thm]

$X_1, X_2, \dots$  : a sequence of iid rvs, each with  $\mu$  &  $\sigma^2$ .

Then the distribution of  $\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}$  tends to  $N(0, 1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

i.e.  $P\left\{\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq a\right\} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^a e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Lemma  $Z_1, Z_2, \dots$  : a sequence of rvs with distribution ftn  $F_{Z_n}$  and moment gen ftn  $M_{Z_n}$   $n \geq 1$ .

$Z$  : a rv with  $F_Z$  and  $M_Z$ .

If  $M_{Z_n}(t) \rightarrow M_Z(t)$  for all  $t$ , then  $F_{Z_n}(t) \rightarrow F_Z(t)$  for all  $t$  at which  $F_Z(t)$  is conti.

PF (CLT) Let  $\mu=0, \sigma^2=1$ .

Assume that the moment gen fn of  $X_i$ ,  $M(t)$ , exists and is finite.

Moment gen fn of  $X_i/\sqrt{n}$  is

$$E\left[\exp\left\{\frac{tX_i}{\sqrt{n}}\right\}\right] = M\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Moment gen fn of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{\sqrt{n}}$  is  $\left[M\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n$ .

Let  $L(t) = \log M(t)$ .

$$\Rightarrow L(0) = 0$$

$$M(0) = 1, \quad M'(0) = \mu = 0.$$

$$M''(0) = E[X^2]$$

$$L'(0) = \frac{M'(0)}{M(0)} = \mu = 0$$

$$L''(0) = \frac{M(0)M''(0) - [M'(0)]^2}{[M(0)]^2} = E[X^2] = 1$$

Want to show  $\left[M\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right]^n \rightarrow e^{\frac{t^2}{2}}$

$$\text{i.e. } n L\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \rightarrow \frac{t^2}{2}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)}{n^{-1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L'\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2}n^{-\frac{3}{2}}t\right)}{-n^{-2}}$$

L'Hopital's rule

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L'\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)t}{-2n^{-\frac{1}{2}}}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L''\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \left(-\frac{1}{2}n^{-\frac{3}{2}}t^2\right)}{-n^{-\frac{3}{2}}}$$

L'Hopital's rule

$$= \frac{t^2}{2} \quad //$$

The gen. case can be proved by considering

$$X_i^* = \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sigma}, \quad \text{since } E[X_i^*] = 0, \quad \text{Var}(X_i^*) = 1. \quad //$$

Ex Rolling 10 fair dice. prob that the sum is btwn 30 & 40?  
[30, 40]

Sol  $X_i$ : value of the  $i$ th die.  $i=1, \dots, 10$

$$E[X_i] = \frac{7}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}[X_i] &= E[X_i^2] - (E[X_i])^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{6} (1 + 4 + 9 + 16 + 25 + 36) - \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{91}{6} - \frac{49}{4} = \frac{35}{12} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P\{29.5 \leq X \leq 40.5\} &= P\left\{\frac{29.5-35}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{12}}} \leq \frac{X-35}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{12}}} \leq \frac{40.5-35}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{12}}}\right\} \\ &\approx 2\Phi(1.0184) - 1 \\ &\approx .692 \end{aligned}$$

Thm [CLT for indept rvs]

$X_1, X_2, \dots$ : a sequence of indept rvs  
 $\mu_i = E[X_i], \sigma_i^2 = \text{Var}[X_i]$ .

If (a)  $X_i$  are uniformly bounded, i.e.

for some  $M$ ,  $P\{|X_i| < M\} = 1$  for all  $i$ , and

(b)  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2 = \infty$ , then

$$P\left\{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}} \leq a\right\} \rightarrow \Phi(a) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(Borel for Bernoulli rvs)  
(Kolmogorov)

Thm The strong law of large numbers

$X_1, X_2, \dots$  : a sequence of iid rvs,  
each with  $\mu = E[X_i]$  finite.  
Then, with prob 1,  
$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \rightarrow \mu \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(i.e.  $P\left\{ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} = \mu \right\} = 1$ )

pf (assuming that  $E[X_i^4] = K$  is finite)

Assume  $\mu = 0$ .  $S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

$$E[S_n^4] = E[(X_1 + \dots + X_n)(X_1 + \dots + X_n)(X_1 + \dots + X_n)(X_1 + \dots + X_n)]$$

↳  $X_i^4, X_i^3 X_j, X_i^2 X_j^2, X_i^2 X_j X_k, X_i X_j X_k X_l$   
 $i, j, k, l$  all diff.  
 $E[\cdot] = 0$  ( $\because E[X_i] = 0$ )

For a given pair  $i$  &  $j$ ,

$\binom{4}{2} = 6$  terms that will equal  $X_i^2 X_j^2$

$i \ i \ j \ j \quad j \ j \ i \ i$   
 $i \ j \ j \ i \quad j \ i \ i \ j$   
 $j \ i \ j \ i \quad i \ j \ i \ j$

← choosing a pair

$$E[S_n^4] = nE[X_i^4] + 6 \binom{n}{2} E[X_i^2 X_j^2]$$

$$= nK + 3n(n-1) E[X_i^2] E[X_j^2]$$

$$0 \leq \text{Var}(X_i^2) = E[X_i^4] - (E[X_i^2])^2$$

$$\therefore (E[X_i^2])^2 \leq K$$

$$\Rightarrow E[S_n^4] \leq nK + 3n(n-1)K$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] \leq \frac{K}{n^3} + \frac{3K}{n^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left[\frac{S_n^4}{n^4}\right] < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{With prob 1, } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{S_n^4}{n^4} < \infty.$$

Convergence of a series  $\Rightarrow$   $n^{\text{th}}$  term  $\rightarrow 0$

$$\therefore \frac{S_n^4}{n^4} \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0.$$

$\therefore$  With prob 1,  $\frac{S_n}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

When  $\mu \neq 0$ , apply the same argument to  $X_i - \mu$ .

Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - \mu}{n} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{n} \rightarrow \mu$   
 with prob 1, //

Rmk • wk law of large numbers:

for any specified large  $n^*$ ,

$$\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$$
 is likely to be near  $\mu$ .

$\Rightarrow$  It leaves open the possibility that large  $\left| \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right|$  can occur infinitely often for values of  $n$  larger than  $n^*$ .

• Strong law says this cannot occur.

In particular, with prob 1, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$\left| \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} - \mu \right|$  will be greater than  $\varepsilon$  only a finite number of times.