

Module #7:  
**Algorithmic Complexity**

Rosen 5<sup>th</sup> ed., §2.3  
~21 slides, ~1 lecture

# What is *complexity*?

- The word *complexity* has a variety of technical meanings in different fields.
- There is a field of *complex systems*, which studies complicated, difficult-to-analyze *non-linear* and *chaotic* natural & artificial systems.
- Another concept: *Informational complexity*: the amount of *information* needed to completely describe an object. (An active research field.)
- We will study *algorithmic complexity*.

## §2.2: Algorithmic Complexity

- The *algorithmic complexity* of a computation is some measure of how *difficult* it is to perform the computation.
- Measures some aspect of *cost* of computation (in a general sense of cost).
- Common complexity measures:
  - “Time” complexity: # of ops or steps required
  - “Space” complexity: # of memory bits req’d

Our focus



## An aside...

- Another, increasingly important measure of complexity for computing is *energy complexity* - How much total energy is used in performing the computation.
- Motivations: Battery life, electricity cost...
- I develop *reversible* circuits & algorithms that recycle energy, trading off energy complexity for spacetime complexity.

# Complexity Depends on Input

- Most algorithms have different complexities for inputs of different sizes. (*E.g.* searching a long list takes more time than searching a short one.)
- Therefore, complexity is usually expressed as a *function* of input length.
- This function usually gives the complexity for the *worst-case* input of any given length.

# Complexity & Orders of Growth

- Suppose algorithm A has worst-case time complexity (w.c.t.c., or just *time*)  $f(n)$  for inputs of length  $n$ , while algorithm B (for the same task) takes time  $g(n)$ .
- Suppose that  $f \in \omega(g)$ , also written  $f \succ g$ .
- Which algorithm will be *fastest* on all sufficiently-large, worst-case inputs?



## Example 1: Max algorithm

- Problem: Find the *simplest form* of the *exact* order of growth ( $\Theta$ ) of the *worst-case* time complexity (w.c.t.c.) of the *max* algorithm, assuming that each line of code takes some constant time every time it is executed (with possibly different times for different lines of code).



# Complexity analysis of *max*

**procedure** *max*( $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ : integers)

$v := a_1$

**for**  $i := 2$  **to**  $n$

**if**  $a_i > v$  **then**  $v := a_i$

**return**  $v$

$t_1$   
 $t_2$   
 $t_3$   
 $t_4$  } Times for  
each  
execution  
of each  
line.

What's an expression for the *exact* total worst-case time? (Not its order of growth.)



# Complexity analysis, cont.

**procedure**  $max(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n: \text{integers})$

$v := a_1$

**for**  $i := 2$  **to**  $n$

**if**  $a_i > v$  **then**  $v := a_i$

**return**  $v$

$t_1$   
 $t_2$   
 $t_3$   
 $t_4$

Times for  
*each*  
 execution  
 of each  
 line.

w.c.t.c.:

$$t(n) = t_1 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n (t_2 + t_3) \right) + t_4$$

# Complexity analysis, *cont.*

Now, what is the simplest form of the exact  $\Theta$  order of growth of  $t(n)$ ?

$$\begin{aligned}
 t(n) &= t_1 + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n (t_2 + t_3) \right) + t_4 \\
 &= \Theta(1) + \left( \sum_{i=2}^n \Theta(1) \right) + \Theta(1) = \Theta(1) + (n-1)\Theta(1) \\
 &= \Theta(1) + \Theta(n)\Theta(1) = \Theta(1) + \Theta(n) = \Theta(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

The diagram illustrates the simplification of the complexity expression. Red arrows and circles highlight the following steps:

- The term  $(t_2 + t_3)$  in the summation is circled in red.
- The summation  $\sum_{i=2}^n \Theta(1)$  is circled in red.
- The term  $(n-1)\Theta(1)$  is circled in red.
- The final result  $\Theta(n)$  is circled in blue.

## Example 2: Linear Search

```
procedure linear search ( $x$ : integer,  $a_1, a_2,$   
  ...,  $a_n$ : distinct integers)  
   $i := 1$   $t_1$   
  while ( $i \leq n \wedge x \neq a_i$ )  $t_2$   
     $i := i + 1$   $t_3$   
  if  $i \leq n$  then  $location := i$   $t_4$   
  else  $location := 0$   $t_5$   
  return  $location$   $t_6$ 
```

# Linear search analysis

- Worst case time complexity order:

$$t(n) = t_1 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (t_2 + t_3) \right) + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 = \Theta(n)$$

- Best case:

$$t(n) = t_1 + t_2 + t_4 + t_6 = \Theta(1)$$

- Average case, if item is present:

$$t(n) = t_1 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (t_2 + t_3) \right) + t_4 + t_5 + t_6 = \Theta(n)$$



## Review §2.2: Complexity

- Algorithmic complexity = *cost* of computation.
- Focus on *time* complexity (space & energy are also important.)
- Characterize complexity as a function of input size: Worst-case, best-case, average-case.
- Use orders of growth notation to concisely summarize growth properties of complexity fns.

## Example 3: Binary Search

**procedure** *binary search* ( $x$ :integer,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ :  
distinct integers)

$i := 1$   
 $j := n$  }  $\Theta(1)$

**Key question:**

*How many loop iterations?*

**while**  $i < j$  **begin**

$m := \lfloor (i+j)/2 \rfloor$

**if**  $x > a_m$  **then**  $i := m+1$  **else**  $j := m$  }  $\Theta(1)$

**end**

**if**  $x = a_i$  **then**  $location := i$  **else**  $location := 0$  }  $\Theta(1)$   
**return**  $location$

# Binary search analysis

- Suppose  $n=2^k$ .
- Original range from  $i=1$  to  $j=n$  contains  $n$  elems.
- Each iteration: Size  $j-i+1$  of range is cut in half.
- Loop terminates when size of range is  $1=2^0$  ( $i=j$ ).
- Therefore, number of iterations is  $k = \log_2 n$   
 $= \Theta(\log_2 n) = \Theta(\log n)$
- Even for  $n \neq 2^k$  (not an integral power of 2),  
time complexity is still  $\Theta(\log_2 n) = \Theta(\log n)$ .

# Names for some orders of growth

- $\Theta(1)$  Constant
- $\Theta(\log_c n)$  Logarithmic (same order  $\forall c$ )
- $\Theta(\log^c n)$  Polylogarithmic (With  $c$  a constant.)
- $\Theta(n)$  Linear
- $\Theta(n^c)$  Polynomial
- $\Theta(c^n), c > 1$  Exponential
- $\Theta(n!)$  Factorial



# Problem Complexity

- The complexity of a computational *problem* or *task* is (the order of growth of) the complexity of the algorithm with the lowest order of growth of complexity for solving that problem or performing that task.
- *E.g.* the problem of searching an ordered list has *at most logarithmic* time complexity. (Complexity is  $O(\log n)$ .)

## Tractable vs. intractable

- A problem or algorithm with at most polynomial time complexity is considered *tractable* (or *feasible*).  $\mathbf{P}$  is the set of all tractable problems.
- A problem or algorithm that has more than polynomial complexity is considered *intractable* (or *infeasible*).
- Note that  $n^{1,000,000}$  is *technically* tractable, but really impossible.  $n^{\log \log \log n}$  is *technically* intractable, but easy. Such cases are rare though.

# Unsolvable problems

- Turing discovered in the 1930's that there are problems unsolvable by *any* algorithm.
  - Or equivalently, there are undecidable yes/no questions, and uncomputable functions.
- Example: the *halting problem*.
  - Given an arbitrary algorithm and its input, will that algorithm eventually halt, or will it continue forever in an “*infinite loop*?”

# P vs. NP

- **NP** is the set of problems for which there exists a tractable algorithm for *checking solutions* to see if they are correct.
- We know  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{NP}$ , but the most famous unproven conjecture in computer science is that this inclusion is *proper* (i.e., that  $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{NP}$  rather than  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ ).
- Whoever first proves it will be famous!



# Computer Time Examples

(1.25 bytes)

(125 kB)

$\#ops(n)$	$n=10$	$n=10^6$
$\log_2 n$	3.3 ns	19.9 ns
$n$	10 ns	1 ms
$n \log_2 n$	33 ns	19.9 ms
$n^2$	100 ns	16 m 40 s
$2^n$	1.024 $\mu$ s	$10^{301,004.5}$ Gyr
$n!$	3.63 ms	Ouch!

Assume time = 1 ns ( $10^{-9}$  second) per op, problem size –  $n$  bits, #ops a function of  $n$  as shown.

# Things to Know

- Definitions of algorithmic complexity, time complexity, worst-case complexity; names of orders of growth of complexity.
- How to analyze the worst case, best case, or average case order of growth of time complexity for simple algorithms.