

**Module #13:
Inductive Proofs**

Rosen 5th ed., §3.3
~11 slides, ~1 lecture

§3.3: Mathematical Induction

- A powerful, rigorous technique for proving that a predicate $P(n)$ is true for *every* natural number n , no matter how large.
- Essentially a “domino effect” principle.
- Based on a predicate-logic inference rule:

$$P(0)$$
$$\forall n \geq 0 (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$$

$$\therefore \forall n \geq 0 P(n)$$

*“The First Principle
of Mathematical
Induction”*

Validity of Induction

Proof that $\forall k \geq 0 P(k)$ is a valid consequent:

Given any $k \geq 0$, $\forall n \geq 0 (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$ (antecedent 2) trivially implies $\forall n \geq 0 (n < k) \rightarrow (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$, or $(P(0) \rightarrow P(1)) \wedge (P(1) \rightarrow P(2)) \wedge \dots \wedge (P(k-1) \rightarrow P(k))$. Repeatedly applying the hypothetical syllogism rule to adjacent implications $k-1$ times then gives $P(0) \rightarrow P(k)$; which with $P(0)$ (antecedent #1) and *modus ponens* gives $P(k)$. Thus $\forall k \geq 0 P(k)$.

The Well-Ordering Property

- The validity of the inductive inference rule can also be proved using the *well-ordering property*, which says:
 - Every non-empty set of non-negative integers has a minimum (smallest) element.
 - $\forall \emptyset \subset S \subseteq \mathbf{N} : \exists m \in S : \forall n \in S : m \leq n$
- Implies $\{n | \neg P(n)\}$ has a min. element m , but then $P(m-1) \rightarrow P((m-1)+1)$ contradicted.

Outline of an Inductive Proof

- Want to prove $\forall n P(n)$...
- *Base case (or basis step)*: Prove $P(0)$.
- *Inductive step*: Prove $\forall n P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$.
 - *E.g.* use a direct proof:
 - Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, assume $P(n)$. (*inductive hypothesis*)
 - Under this assumption, prove $P(n+1)$.
- Inductive inference rule then gives $\forall n P(n)$.

Generalizing Induction

- Can also be used to prove $\forall n \geq c P(n)$ for a given constant $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, where maybe $c \neq 0$.
 - In this circumstance, the base case is to prove $P(c)$ rather than $P(0)$, and the inductive step is to prove $\forall n \geq c (P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1))$.
- Induction can also be used to prove $\forall n \geq c P(a_n)$ for an arbitrary series $\{a_n\}$.
- Can reduce these to the form already shown.

Second Principle of Induction

- Characterized by another inference rule:

$$\frac{P(0) \quad \overbrace{P \text{ is true in all previous cases}}}{\forall n \geq 0: (\forall 0 \leq k \leq n P(k)) \rightarrow P(n+1)} \\ \therefore \forall n \geq 0: P(n)$$

- Difference with 1st principle is that the inductive step uses the fact that $P(k)$ is true for *all* smaller $k < n+1$, not just for $k=n$.

Induction Example (1st princ.)

- Prove that the sum of the first n odd positive integers is n^2 . That is, prove:

$$\forall n \geq 1: \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n (2i-1)}_{P(n)} = n^2$$

- Proof by induction.
 - Base case: Let $n=1$. The sum of the first 1 odd positive integer is 1 which equals 1^2 .
- (Cont...)

Example cont.

- Inductive step: Prove $\forall n \geq 1: P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$.
 - Let $n \geq 1$, assume $P(n)$, and prove $P(n+1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (2i-1) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (2i-1) \right) + (2(n+1)-1) \\ &= n^2 + 2n + 1 \\ &= (n+1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

By inductive hypothesis $P(n)$

Another Induction Example

- Prove that $\forall n > 0, n < 2^n$. Let $P(n) = (n < 2^n)$
 - Base case: $P(1) = (1 < 2^1) = (1 < 2) = \mathbf{T}$.
 - Inductive step: For $n > 0$, prove $P(n) \rightarrow P(n+1)$.
 - Assuming $n < 2^n$, prove $n+1 < 2^{n+1}$.
 - Note $n + 1 < 2^n + 1$ (by inductive hypothesis)
 $< 2^n + 2^n$ (because $1 < 2 = 2 \cdot 2^0 \leq 2 \cdot 2^{n-1} = 2^n$)
 $= 2^{n+1}$
 - So $n + 1 < 2^{n+1}$, and we're done.

Example of Second Principle

- Show that every $n > 1$ can be written as a product $p_1 p_2 \dots p_s$ of some series of s prime numbers. Let $P(n) = “n \text{ has that property}”$
- Base case: $n=2$, let $s=1$, $p_1=2$.
- Inductive step: Let $n \geq 2$. Assume $\forall 2 \leq k \leq n: P(k)$. Consider $n+1$. If prime, let $s=1$, $p_1=n+1$. Else $n+1=ab$, where $1 < a \leq n$ and $1 < b \leq n$. Then $a=p_1 p_2 \dots p_t$ and $b=q_1 q_2 \dots q_u$. Then $n+1=p_1 p_2 \dots p_t q_1 q_2 \dots q_u$, a product of $s=t+u$ primes.

Another 2nd Principle Example

- Prove that every amount of postage of 12 cents or more can be formed using just 4-cent and 5-cent stamps.
- Base case: $12=3(4)$, $13=2(4)+1(5)$, $14=1(4)+2(5)$, $15=3(5)$, so $\forall 12 \leq n \leq 15, P(n)$.
- Inductive step: Let $n \geq 15$, assume $\forall 12 \leq k \leq n P(k)$. Note $12 \leq n-3 \leq n$, so $P(n-3)$, so add a 4-cent stamp to get postage for $n+1$.