

Project Delivery Systems

Delivery Organizations, Functional/Contractual Relationships

401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects Mar. 13th, 2008



Moonseo Park
Associate Professor, PhD

395 433
Phone: 880-5848, Fax: 871-5518
E-mail: mspark@snu.ac.kr
Department of Architecture
College of Engineering
Seoul National University

1

Back to the SNU campus expansion pj...

The campus expansion program board has recently decided to award a **Design Build** contract for the IT research center project to 'X' construction company, hoping to deliver the project in time and within their budget.



Since 'X' company does not have an in-house design team to carry out such a mega project they hired 'Y' design company, which is renowned in the local area.

2

As project manager ...

Please find **potential** problems that might be caused by **functional and contractual relationships** among the project organizations.

Project Description: a new IT research center near the College of Engineering (37동) to be built with a budget of US\$ 100 M. The research center will consist of multiple intelligent buildings equipped with many high-tech facilities.

3

Lecture Outline

- ✓ Implications of Functional/Contractual Relationships
 - Delivery Organizations
 - Potential Benefits of Choosing an Appropriate Delivery Systems
 - Case Project

4


PJ Delivery Methods/ Organizations

(사업수행 방식/구제에 따른 분류)

- General Contractor (GC 일식도급/일반건설업체)
- Construction Manager (CM 건설사업관리형식/건설사업관리자)
- Multiple Primes (분할도급)
- Design-Build (DB 설계시공일괄도급)
- Turnkey
- Build-Operate-Transfer (BOT)

5

General Contractor



```

graph TD
  Owner[Owner] --- Architects[Architects Engineers]
  Owner --- GC[General Contractor]
  Owner --- Financing[Financing Teams]
  GC --- Sub1[Subcontractors]
  GC --- Sub2[Subcontractors]
  
```


A single business entity acting as the contractor in complete and sole charge of the field operations (Clough 1981).

6

Cats & Dogs Analysis

- The owner wants a project to be delivered with quality, in time, and within budget.
- Meanwhile, project functions pursuit profits from the project, while providing quality service in time.
- Then, given contractual and functional relationships, who would be cats and dogs, and
- What are potential problems that would be caused by contractual and functional relationships?

Cats & Dogs



- What if the designer provides low buildability design?
- What if the designer is too strict during monitoring the construction process?
- Who would be cats and dogs? And what would be potential problems?

General Contractor: Fixed Price

Advantages

- Selection of wide range of design professionals
- Having the design professional monitor construction with the owner
- Exploring design alternatives and making changes during design phase
- Total cost known at the start of construction
- Total site construction responsibility delegated to one entity

Disadvantages

- Depriving the owner of contractor's planning knowledge
- Possibly creating an adversarial relationships among parties
- Making design changes during construction expensive and difficult
- Linear nature of waiting to start construction
- having no share in any savings the contractor may find during construction

General Contractor: Reimbursable Price

- Only those different from GC working for a fixed price are listed below:


Advantages

- Not necessarily having design documents complete before awarding a contract, which allows the contractor to be involved in pre-construction planning and the use of fast-tracking
- Easy changes, provided that the portion of the work has not been awarded to a subcontractor

Disadvantages

- Less price accountability and possibly less efficiency, since the contractor has no motivation to limit costs
- Total construction cost not known until the end


Construction Manager



A single business entity acting as a construction consultant to the owner, either for a fixed fee or a fee as a percentage of the cost (Christopher, 1998) → CM for Fee (Agency CM)

*cf. CM at Risk (Constructor CM, CM/GC, Construction Manager as Constructor: CMc)

Cats & Dogs



- What if the designer provides low buildability design?
- For what kind of owners can CM be the most effective way?
- What if an unqualified CM is hired?
- Who would be cats and dogs? And what would be potential problems?

Construction Manager


Advantages

- Allowing fast-tracking, since the individual contracts can be awarded as soon as the design documents are complete
- Increasing flexibility for changes
- Reducing the potential for adversarial relationship among parties
- CM involved in pre-construction phases such as estimating, scheduling, value engineering, and labor issues
- Allowing the owner to directly access to material and sub-contractor markets, which can realize savings from bid packaging and contract types (portfolio effect by reducing owners dependence on one large contractor)

Disadvantages

- Total costs and schedule normally not known nor guaranteed at the start of construction
- Hiring an unqualified CM can result in chaos

Multiple Primes (Contractors)



The owner is responsible for overall project management and coordination. More than one contractor hold contracts directly with the owner to perform specific parts of the same project.

Multiple Primes


Advantages

- Allowing fast-tracking, since the individual contracts can be awarded as soon as the design documents are complete
- Increasing flexibility for changes
- Allowing the owner to directly access to material and sub-contractor markets, which can realize savings from bid packaging and contract types (portfolio effect by reducing owners dependence on one large contractor)

Disadvantages


- Total costs and schedule normally not known nor guaranteed at the start of construction
- Requiring owners' knowledge on construction and heavy involvement
- Having no pre-construction services from a contractor

Design-Build



A single business entity that performs both the design and construction of a project. The team can be one company or a partnership of firms (Christopher, 1998).

Cats & Dogs



* Contractual Relation

Money Service (with quality & in time)

* Functional Relation

- Can it be a productive way in terms of time?
- If the DB team provides low quality design, who will monitor?
- What if the designer provides low buildability design?
- Who might be cats and dogs? And what would be potential problems?

Design-Build: Fixed Price

Advantages

- Total cost known before the start of design and construction
- Enhanced teamwork between the designer and contractor
- The owner has no liability for change orders
- Allowing fast-tracking
- Total design and construction responsibility delegated to one entity
- No needs for a separated selection process for the designer and contractor

Disadvantages

- Making design changes often expensive and difficult for the owner
- Reduced flexibility in and control over the detailed design process
- Requiring owners' knowledge to establish the initial parameters and monitor the process
- Entirely dependent on one entity: low design quality
- having no share in any savings the contractor may find during construction

Design-Build: Reimbursable Price

* Only those different from DB working for a fixed price are listed below;


Advantages

- Expecting a high quality work, since design-build team selection is made only on qualifications
- Easy changes, provided that the portion of the work has not been awarded to a subcontractor

Disadvantages

- Less price accountability and possibly less efficiency, since the contractor has no motivation to limit costs
- Total construction cost not known until the end

Turnkey



DB+Financing, A single business entity that performs the design, construction and financing of a project. The project is turned over to the owner, when construction is complete (Christopher, 1998).

Turnkey: Fixed Price

Advantages

- Total cost known before the start of design and construction
- Enhanced teamwork between the designer and contractor
- The owner has no liability for change orders
- Allowing fast-tracking
- No needs for a separated selection process for the designer and contractor
- Total design, construction, short-term financing and responsibility delegated to one entity
- Maximizing the project value by the use of a cap, since the turnkey team carries the financing costs as well

Turnkey: Fixed Price

Disadvantages

- Making design changes often expensive and difficult for the owner
- Reduced flexibility in and control over the detailed design process
- Requiring owners' knowledge to establish the initial parameters and monitor the process
- Entirely dependent on one entity
- having no share in any savings the contractor may find during construction

Turnkey: Reimbursable Price

* Only those different from Turnkey working for a fixed price are listed below;


Advantages

- Expecting a high quality work, since design-build team selection is made only on qualifications
- Eliminate the lengthy proposal process
- Easy changes, provided that the portion of the work has not been awarded to a subcontractor

Disadvantages

- Less price accountability and possibly less efficiency, since the contractor has no motivation to limit costs
- Total construction cost not known until the end

Build-Operate-Transfer



Turnkey+Operation, A single business entity that performs the design, construction, financing and temporary operation of a project. The project is turned over to the owner at the end of the operation period (Christopher, 1998).

Build-Operate-Transfer

Advantages

- Total cost and financial arrangement known before the start of design and construction
- Enhanced teamwork between the designer, contractor and operator
- The owner has no liability for change orders
- Allowing fast-tracking
- Total design, construction, financing, and operation responsibility delegated to one entity
- No needs for a separated selection process for the designer, contractor, and operator, and financial arrangement
- Potentially introducing new technologies and management techniques


Build-Operate-Transfer

Disadvantages


- Making design changes often difficult for the owner
- Reduced flexibility in and control over the detailed design process
- Requiring owners' knowledge to establish the initial parameters and monitor the process
- Entirely dependent on one entity

Fast-Tracking

Sequential Delivery



Fast-Tracking



* Design phases are named according to the AIA Standard.

Lecture Outline

- ✓ Implications of Functional/Contractual Relationships
- ✓ Delivery Organizations
 - Potential Benefits of Choosing a Appropriate Delivery Systems
 - Case Project

Potential Benefits of Alternative Delivery Systems

- Shorten the project duration by increasing concurrency or eliminating bidding time.
- Provide flexibility for changes during construction.
- Create more designer-contractor teamwork by reducing adversarial relationships.

- Allow a contractor to participate in the design process for such tasks as value engineering, constructability analysis, and cost estimating.
- Provide incentives for the contractor, which also can save the owner money.
- Provide alternative financing methods.

Lecture Outline

- ✓ Implications of Functional/Contractual Relationships
- ✓ Delivery Organizations
- ✓ Potential Benefits of Choosing an Appropriate Delivery System
- Case Project

In Warsaw, Poland, in 1996...



Market Situation

- Privatization actively undergoing
- Steep increases in office rent in Warsaw expected within a few years
- A lot of office buildings already being developed by western construction companies (mostly the US and German companies)
- Land acquisition cost still cheap
- Shortage in local subcontractors and labor market

Warsaw Trade Tower



- Developer: D Corporation
- Building Type: Business Center (42 Floors)
- Budget: US\$120 M
- Construction Period: 36 Months (Planned)
- Delivery Method: Fast-tracking, Construction Management

Project Chronicle

- Land purchase in Jan, 1996
- Mobilizing a site office in Feb, 1996
- Groundbreaking in June, 1996
- Earth caving start in Dec., 1996
- Construction start for sub-structure of the building, in May, 1997
- Construction start for super-structure of the building, in Nov, 1997
- Construction completion, May, 2000 (one year delayed and within the budget)

View of Completed Project



Successes/Failures of the Project

- The construction of the project has not started yet, since its groundbreaking 6 months ago.
- Due to the late start of construction and frequent design changes during construction, the completion of the project has been one year delayed.
- However, despite delayed construction, the project has been completed within the initial budget.
- Why? delays, a lot of changes, but within the budget.

FT without Well Planned Strategies

Delays in the start of construction are mainly attributed to the following reasons:

- It took longer to get a construction permission than expected.
- Difficulties in hiring sub-contractors also contributed to the construction delays.
- Frequent owners' scope changes created a lot of design changes, which in turn resulted in subsequent construction changes.

Hiring CM was successful...

Construction completion with the initial budget was possible by adopting the construction management delivery method for the project.

- CM's good understanding on the local construction code made it easier to execute construction.
- CM played a role as a coordinator to mitigate possible conflicts among diversified project functions.
- All of these factors, together with management cost-saving, contributed to the construction completion within the initial budget.

Hiring CM was successful...

Staff Cost	Gen. Con.	CM
D Corporation	12 MIL	1.8 MIL
CM hired		3 MIL
Local	0.6 MIL	0.6 MIL
Total	12.6 MIL	5.4 MIL

Discussion

Numbers

100조	50만	14000
	7만	170만
15%	60%	30억
80%	87.745%	82
	10억	

Terminologies

발주 delivery system

입찰 bidding

낙찰 awarding

계약 contracting

*조달 procurement

Group X Assignment 1 © 공사규모별 발주관련 법규 제한사항

구분	30억	50억	74억	100억 대형공사	300억
발주 방법	DBB DB CM 건설사업관리(제출, 검토)하고 건축사 *국회법 사용권 없음		설계사업관리 협력		공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음
입찰 방법	2차(1차) 입찰 4차(3차) 입찰 *국회법 사용권 없음		설계입찰 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		입찰방법 *국회법 사용권 없음
입찰 서류	2차(1차) 입찰 4차(3차) 입찰 *국회법 사용권 없음		설계입찰 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		입찰서류 *국회법 사용권 없음
낙찰 기준	2차(1차) 입찰 4차(3차) 입찰 *국회법 사용권 없음		설계입찰 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		낙찰기준 *국회법 사용권 없음
DBB	*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음
DB	*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음
CM	*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음
PPP	*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음		*공공사업(2015. 12. 31. 현재) 발주 가능 *국회법 사용권 없음


Tradeoffs



BOT, BTO, BTL

	BOT	BTO	BTL
투자비회수			
대상시설			
사업리스크			
사업리스크 보장 방법			

BTL



GC vs CM at Risk

- CM for Fee 방식에서의 CM 기능 유지
 - 프로젝트 초기부터 계획 주도
 - 시공책임

	GC	CM at Risk	CM for Fee
Precon service	NA	CM 검토/조언	
Trade selection	GC*	CM 선정/ 발주자 승인	CM 조언/ 발주자 선정
Trade subcontracts	GC	CM	owner
Construction service	Direct control	Direct control	Admin contract
공사비보장	△	△	x
공기보장	o	△	x
품질보장	o	o	x
시공책임 (안전/공법)	o	o	x

*NSC: Nominated Sub-Contract

401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects 49

Group X Assignment 2

- **Black Box vs White Box**
- **업역에 대한 이해상충**

*NSC: Nominated Sub-Contract



401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects 50

JV vs Consortium

구분	Joint Venture (합작기업, 공동출자회사)	Consortium (공동기업체)
목적		
존속기간 (상대적)		
법적의미		
출자		

* 건설경영공학, 기문당 177p

401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects 51



References

- Avraham Shtub, Jonathan F. Bard, Shlomo Globerson, "Project management : engineering, technology, and implementation", Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1994
- Frederick E. Gould, Nancy Joyce, Chapter 8, "Construction project management", Upper Saddle River, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1999
- James M. Lynéis *, Kenneth G. Cooper, Sharon A. Els, "Strategic management of complex projects: a case study using system dynamics", System Dynamics Review, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2001
- Christopher M. Gordon, "Choosing appropriate construction contracting method", J. of Construction Engineering & Management, Vol. 120, No. 1, 1994
- Feniosky Pena-Mora, Jim Lynéis, "Project control and management", MIT 1.432J Lecture Material, 1998
- Barrie, D.S., and Paulson, B.C., "Professional Construction Management", McGraw Hill, 1992
- Halpin, D.W., "Financial and Cost concepts for construction management", John Wiley & Sons, 1995
- Yehiel Rosenfeld, "Project Management", MIT 1.401J Course Material, 2000
- Sarah Slaughter, "Innovation in construction", MIT 1.420 Course Material, 1999
- Gray and Hughes, "Building Design Management", .,
- Murdoch and Hughes, "Construction Contracts: Law and Management", E&FN SPON, 1996
- Gray, Hughes and Bennett, "The Successful Management of Design", Reading, 1994

401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects 54

A2:Big Dig

Recommending delivery systems for the
Central Artery/Tunnel Project in MA, US
(<http://www.masspike.com/bigdig/index.html>)



401.649 Cost Planning for Construction Projects

55