재료상변태

Phase Transformation of Materials

2008. 11. 13.

서울대학교 재료공학부

1

Contents for previous class

- Equilibrium Shape and Interface Structure on an Atomic Scale
- Growth of a pure solid
- Heat Flow and Interface Stability

Equilibrium Shape and Interface Structure on an Atomic Scale



singular (smooth) interface anisotropic γ

atomically-disordered

atomically-flat

Apply thermodynamics to this fact and derive more information.



4.2 Growth of a pure solid

Two types of solid-liquid interface

1. Continuous growth

: Atomically rough or diffuse interface



2. Lateral growth

: Atomically flat of sharply defined interface





4.2.3 Heat Flow and Interface Stability - Planar interface

1) Superheated liquid 2) Supercooled liquid LIQUID SOLID SOLID LIQUID GL positive G, negative Gs positive G_S positive Temperature Temperature Distance Distance Interface Interface ~ 7m (a) $\sim T_{\rm m}$ (a) $T_{\rm local} < T_{\rm m}$ Tlocal > Tm LIQUID SOLID LIQUID SOLID LIQUID LIQUID SOLID SOLID SOLID LIQUID LIQUID SOLIDILIQUID SOLID Subsequent forms Interface Initial after shape instability Final form Interface Initial form of with shape form of interface with shape instability of interface has grown instability interface

Contents for today's class

"Alloy solidification"

- Solidification of single-phase alloys
- Eutectic solidification
- Off-eutectic alloys
- Peritectic solidification

4.3.1 Solidification of single-phase alloys



k : partition coefficient *X* : mole fraction of solute

In this phase diagram of straight solidus and liquidus, *k* is independent of *T*.



Planar S/L interface → unidirectional solidification



4.3.1 Solidification of single-phase alloys

• Three limiting cases

- 1) Equilibrium Solidification
- 2) No Diffusion in Solid, Perfect Mixing in Liquid
- 3) No Diffusion on Solid, Diffusional Mixing in the Liquid



- Sufficient time for diffusion in solid & liquid
- Relative amount of solid and liquid : lever rule
- Solidification starts at $T_1 (X_s = kX_0)$ and ends at $T_3 (X_L = X_0/k)$.

Composition vs x at T₂



Fig. 4.20 Unidirectional solidification of alloy X₀ in Fig. 4.19. (a) A planar S/L interface and axial heat flow. (b) Corresponding composition profile at T₂ assuming complete equilibrium. Conservation of solute requires the two shaded areas to be equal.

2) No Diffusion in Solid, Perfect Mixing in Liquid

: high cooling rate, efficient stirring

- Separate layers of solid retain their original compositions mean comp. of the solid $(\overline{X_s}) < X_s$
- Liquid become richer than $X_0/K \rightarrow X_E$
- Variation of X_s: solute rejected to the liquid Т solid $\rightarrow \overline{X}_{s} < X_{s}$ liquid $> X_{0}/k \rightarrow X_{E}$ T_1 XL Xs T_2 T_3 \overline{x}_{s} $T_{\rm E}$ X₀ Xmax X_{F} (a) X_{solute} -----







Mass balance: non-equilibrium lever rule (coring structure)

When cooled by dT from any arbitrary T, determine the followings.

- solute ejected into the liquid = ?
 - \rightarrow solute increase in the liquid

Ignore the difference in molar volume between the solid and liquid.

 f_s : volume fraction solidified

solute ejected into the liquid=? solute increase in the liquid=? → proportional to what?→ proportional to what?

kX.

X,

TEL



XE

$$(X_L - X_S)df_S = (1 - f_S)dX_L$$

when
$$f_S = 0 \rightarrow X_S$$
, X_L ?

Solve this equation.

 $X_{max} \frac{X_0}{L}$

Liquidus

$$X_{s} = kX_{0}$$
 and $X_{L} = X_{0}$

11

X_{Solute}



: non-equilibrium lever rule. (Scheil equation)

If K<1: predicts that if no diff. in solid, some eutectic always exist to solidify.

3) No Diffusion on Solid, Diffusional Mixing in the Liquid

: high cooling rate, no stirring -> diffusion



No Diffusion on Solid, Diffusional Mixing in the Liquid





- Fig. 4.22 Planar front solidification of alloy X_0 in Fig. 4.19 assuming no diffusion in solid and no stirring in the liquid.
- (a) Composition profile when S/L temperature is between T_2 and T_3 in Fig. 4.19.
- χ_{max} (b) Steady-state at T₃. The composition solidifying equals the composition of liquid far ahead of the solid (X₀).

(c) Composition profile at T_E and below, showing
the final transient.

No Diffusion on Solid, Diffusional Mixing in the Liquid

During steady-state growth

(Diffusion in solid)

Rate at which solute diffuses down the concentration gradient = Rate at which solute is rejected from the solidifying liquid (Liquid \rightarrow Solid)





$$X_{L} = X_{O} [1 + \frac{1 - k}{k} \exp(-\frac{x}{(D/\nu)})]$$

- The concentration gradient in liquid in contact with the solid :

$$J = -DX'_{L} = v(X_{L} - X_{S}) \qquad X'_{L} = -\frac{X_{L} - X_{S}}{D/v}$$



Cellular and Dendritic Solidification

Fast Solute diffusion similar to the conduction of latent heat in pure metal, possible to break up the planar front into dendrites.

 \rightarrow complicated by the possible temp. gradient in the liquid.



Constitutional Supercooling



Condition for a stable planar interface

 $T_{L}' > (T_{1}-T_{3})/(D/v)$ $T_{1}'/v > (T_{1}-T_{3})/D$

Fig. 4.23 The origin of constitutional supercooling ahead of a planar solidification front. (a) Composition profile across the solid/liquid interface during steady-state solidification. The dashed line shows dX_L/dx at the S/L interface. (b) The temperature of the liquid ahead of the solidification front follows line T_L . The equilibrium liquidus temperature for the liquid adjacent to the interface varies as Te. Constitutional supercooling arises when T_L lies under the critical gradient.

Cellular and Dendritic Solidification

- Constitutional supercooling : At the interface, $T_L = T_e$ (not T_E) = T_3 .
- Criterion for the planar interface :

T_L'/v>(T₁-T₃)/D : the protrusion melts back. 조성적 과생 X

(T₁-T₃: Equilibrium freezing range of alloy)

 \longrightarrow Large range of T₁-T₃ or high *v* promotes protrusions.

• Dendrites

Solute effect : low k enlarges T_1 - T_3 promotes dendrites.

→ Development of secondary arms and tertiary arms: <100>

Cooling rate effect : Fast cooling makes lateral diffusion of the rejected solutes difficult and promotes cell formation of smaller cell spacing.



Fig. 4.24 The breakdown of an initially planar solidification front into cells



Distance along AÁ



Fig. 4.26 Cellular microstructures.

- (a) A decanted interface of a cellularly solidified Pb-Sn alloy (x 120) (after J.W. Rutter in *Liquid Metals and Solidification*, American Society for Metals, 1958, p. 243).
- (b) Longitudinal view of cells in carbon tetrabromide (× 100) (after K.A. Jackson and J.D. Hunt, *Acta Metallurgica* 13 (1965) 1212).



Fig. 4.27Cellular dendrites in carbon tetrabromide.(After L.R. Morris and W.C. Winegard, Journal of Crystal Growth 6 (1969) 61.)24



1차 가지 성장 방향 변화 열전도 방향 → 결정학적 우선 방향

Fig. 4.28 Columnar dendrites in a transparent organic alloy. (After K.A. Jackson in Solidification, American Society for Metals, 1971, p. 121.)⁵

4.3.2 Eutectic Solidification

Normal eutectic



Fig. 4.30 Rod-like eutectic. Al₆Fe rods in Al matrix. Transverse section. Transmission electron micrograph (x 70000).

Anomalous eutectic



The microstructure of the Pb-61.9%Sn (eutectic) alloy presented a coupled growth of the (Pb)/bSn eutectic. There is a remarkable change in morphology increasing the degree of undercooling with transition from regular lamellar to anomalous eutectic.

26

http://www.matter.org.uk/solidification/eutectic/anomalous_eutectics.htm

4.3.2 Eutectic Solidification





Fig. 14 Schematic representation possible in eutectic structures. (a), (b) and (c) are alloys shown in fig. 13; (d) nodular; (e) Chinese script; (f) acicular;
(g) lamellar; and (h) divorced.

4.3.2 Eutectic Solidification (Thermodynamics)



Plot the diagram of Gibbs free energy vs. composition at T_3 and T_4 .

What is the driving force for the eutectic reaction (L $\rightarrow \alpha$ + β) at T₄ at C_{eut}?

What is the driving force for nucleation of α and β ?

Eutectic Solidification (Kinetics)

If α is nucleated from liquid and starts to grow, what would be the composition at the interface of α/L determined?

 \rightarrow rough interface & local equilibrium

How about at β/L ? Nature's choice?



What would be a role of the curvature at the tip?

→ Gibbs-Thomson Effect

Eutectic Solidification

How many α/β interfaces per unit length?

 $\rightarrow 1/\lambda \times 2$

For an interlamellar spacing, λ , there is a total of (2/ λ) m² of α/β interface per m³ of eutectic.



$\lambda^* = -\frac{2T_E \gamma V_m}{\Delta H \Delta T_0} \rightarrow identical to critical radius$

Gibbs-Thomson effect in a Δ G-composition diagram?





Fig. 4.33 (a) Molar free energy diagram at $(T_E - \Delta T_0)$ for the case $\lambda * < \lambda < \infty$, showing the composition difference available to drive diffusion through the liquid (ΔX). (b) Model used to calculate the growth rate.

Corresponding location at phase diagram?



Fig. 4.34 Eutectic phase diagram showing the relationship between ΔX and ΔX_0 (exaggerated for clarity)



4.3.3 Off-eutectic Solidification



4.3.4 Peritectic Solidification

-

