Chapter 3 Parallel Algorithm Design

Parallel Programming in C with MPI and OpenMP Michael J. Quinn Wonyong Sung Modification

Parallel Programming

Load balancing

Best with data-level parallel processing

Low communication overhead

Architecture dependent

Precedence relation and scheduling
Memory/cache/IO consideration

Partitioning

Dividing both computation and data into pieces Domain (x-axis, data) decomposition ◆ Divide data into pieces – in many case best partitioning SPMD (Single Processor Multiple Data) paradigm • Good for massively parallel distributed memory multicomputer systems Functional decomposition Divide computation into pieces May good for heterogeneous multiprocessors

Partitioning Checklist

- At least 10x more primitive tasks than processors in target computer
- Minimize redundant computations and redundant data storage
- Primitive tasks roughly the same size
- Number of tasks an increasing function of problem size (scalable partitioning)

Communication

Determine values passed among tasks Local communication ◆ Task needs values from a small number of other tasks Create channels illustrating data flow Global communication • Significant number of tasks contribute data to perform a computation Don't create channels for them early in design

Communication Checklist

- Communication operations balanced among tasks
- Each task communicates with only small group of neighbors
- Tasks can perform communications concurrently
- Task can perform computations concurrently

Agglomeration

- Grouping tasks into larger tasks
- Goals
 - Eliminate communication between primitive tasks agglomerated into consolidated task Maintain scalability of program
 - Combine groups of sending and receiving tasks
- In MPI programming, goal often to create one agglomerated task per processor

Agglomeration Checklist

- Locality of parallel algorithm has increased
- Replicated computations take less time than communications they replace
- Data replication doesn't affect scalability
- Agglomerated tasks have similar computational and communications costs
- Number of tasks increases with problem size
- Number of tasks suitable for likely target systems
- Tradeoff between agglomeration and code modifications costs is reasonable

Mapping

Process of assigning tasks to processors

- MPI and OpenMP programming model
 - MPI: purely parallel, need parallel algorithm from the start-up
 - OpenMP: Fork-join model, starting-from sequential version and incremental parallelization
- Conflicting goals of mapping
 - Maximize processor utilization
 - Minimize interprocessor communication
- NP-hard problem

Mapping Decision Tree

- Static number of tasks Structured communication Constant computation time per task • Agglomerate tasks to minimize comm • Create one task per processor Variable computation time per task Cyclically map tasks to processors Unstructured communication • Use a static load balancing algorithm
- Dynamic number of tasks

Mapping Strategy

Static number of tasks Dynamic number of tasks Frequent communications between tasks ◆ Use a dynamic load balancing algorithm – analyzes the current tasks and produces a new mapping of tasks to processors Many short-lived tasks Use a run-time task-scheduling algorithm

Task Scheduling

Centralized method

- One manager and many workers
- When a worker processor has nothing to do, it requests a task from the manager.

◆ Sometimes, the manager can be a bottleneck

Distributed

Each processor maintains its own list of tasks

 Push (processors with too many send to some others) and pull strategies

Hybrid method

Mapping Checklist

Considered designs based on one task per processor and multiple tasks per processor Evaluated static and dynamic task allocation If dynamic task allocation chosen, task allocator is not a bottleneck to performance If static task allocation chosen, ratio of tasks to processors is at least 10:1

Case Studies

Boundary value problem
Finding the maximum
The n-body problem
Adding data input

Partitioning

One data item per grid point
Associate one primitive task with each grid point

Two-dimensional domain decomposition

Communication

- Identify communication pattern between primitive tasks
- Each interior primitive task has three incoming and three outgoing channels

Sequential execution time

- $\sim \chi$ time to update element
- \square *n* number of elements
- \square *m* number of iterations
- Sequential execution time: $m(n-1)\chi$

Parallel Execution Time

p – number of processors
 λ – message latency
 Parallel execution time m(χ[(n-1)/p]+2λ)

Finding the Maximum Error

Computed	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.19
Correct	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18
Error (%)	0.00%	0.00%	6.25%	5.26%

6.25%

Reduction

Given associative operator \oplus $a_0 \oplus a_1 \oplus a_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus a_{n-1}$ Examples ◆ Add ♦ Multiply ♦ And, Or ♦ Maximum, Minimum

Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.

Binomial Trees



Subgraph of hypercube





8 (17)



Binomial Tree

Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.

Agglomeration



Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.

Agglomeration



Partitioning

Domain partitioning
Assume one task per particle
Task has particle's position, velocity vector
Iteration

Get positions of all other particles
Compute new position, velocity

Gather



Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.

All-gather









Scatter



Copyright © The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. Permission required for reproduction or display.

Scatter in log p Steps



Summary: Task/channel Model

Parallel computation ◆ Set of tasks Interactions through channels Good designs Maximize local computations Minimize communications ◆ Scale up

Summary: Design Steps

Partition computation
Agglomerate tasks
Map tasks to processors
Goals

Maximize processor utilization
Minimize inter-processor communication

Summary: Fundamental Algorithms

Reduction
Gather and scatter
All-gather