Ozonation of Water and Wastewater

- molecular mass: 48.0 g
- melting point: -193 °C

- UV absorption band in water:

 ϵ_{max} at 258 nm: 3150 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ [15] (this broad absorption band extends up into the region of 300 nm)

- visible absorption band:

 ε_{max} at 600 nm: about 1.2 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (calc. from ε_{gas}); concentrated solutions of O_3 appear blue

- Henry constants:

K_H (0 °C): 35 atm/(mol/l); K_F (20 °C): 100 atm/(mol/l);

 instant odor threshold conc. in air: about 40 µg m⁻³, but adaptation takes place within minutes [16];

- Maximal allowable conc. of ozone in air:

< 200 µg m⁻³ air (about 0.1 ppmv) for an 8-hour working day (comparable limits set by different countries); 240 µg m⁻³ in air are considered as nasal toxicant lowest observable level [17];

about 100 μ g m⁻³ air is the tropospheric threshold conc. for effects on plants (tobacco, etc.) [18]);

- Redoxpotential in aqueous solution:

 $(O_3)_{gas} + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow (O_2)_{gas} + H_2O = E_0^{H} = 2.07 V$ (for: pH = 0 and $[O_2/O_3]$ in gas), cf. [19].



- The name of ozone is derived from the 'ozein', which is a Greek word and means to smell
- Strong oxidizing agent (2.07 V), eye and skin irritation in high concentration
- Structure of ozone (O_3) (resonance structure); eletrophilic & nucleophilic, MW: 48
- Unstable. Auto decomposition in water as well as in air. Half life : 20-30 min at 20° C OH radical is generated in decomposition reaction, faster decomposition occurs in basic condition.
- maximum absorption wavelength: 250 nm (gas), 260 nm (water, $\varepsilon = 3150$ M-1.cm-1) H (Henry contants): 100 atm/M (20°C), 35 atm/M (0°C)

	H^{\star} atm	${H_u}^\dagger$ dimension- less	${H_D}^{\dagger}$ atm · L/mg	H_m^{\dagger} (atm)(m ³)/mol
Oxygen	4.3×10^{4}	3.21 × 10	2.42×10^{-2}	7.73×10^{-1}
Methane	3.8×10^{4}	2.84×10	9.71×10^{-2}	6.38×10^{-1}
Carbon dioxide	1.51×10^{2}	1.13×10^{-1}	6.17×10^{-5}	2.72×10^{-3}
Hydrogen sulfide	5.15×10^{2}	3.84×10^{-1}	2.72×10^{-4}	9.26×10^{-3}
Vinyl chloride	3.55×10^5	$2.65 imes 10^2$	1.02×10^{-1}	6.38
Carbon tetrachloride	1.29×10^{3}	9.63×10^{-1}	1.51×10^{-4}	2.32×10^{-2}
Trichloroethylene	5.5×10^{2}	4.1×10^{-1}	7.46×10^{-5}	9.89×10^{-3}
Benzene	2.4×10^2	1.8×10^{-1}	5.52×10^{-5}	4.31×10^{-3}
Chloroform	1.7×10^2	1.27×10^{-1}	2.55×10^{-5}	3.06×10^{-8}
Bromoform	3.5×10	2.61×10^{-2}	2.40×10^{-6}	6.29×10^{-4}
Ozone	5.0×10^{3}	3.71	1.87×10^{-3}	8.99×10^{-2}

TABLE 5.1	Henry	y's Lav	v Constants	at 20°C
-----------	-------	---------	-------------	---------

*H values from Ref. 2. $\dagger H_u$, H_D , and H_m calculated via Eqs. (5.7) to (5.9).

Resonance structure of ozone



Absorption spectrum of ozone Hartley band (gas phase)



Absorption spectrum of ozone (O_3) in water



1: 2.58x10⁻⁴ M (12.4 mg/L), 2: 1.29x10⁻⁴ M, 3: 1.20x10⁻⁴ M, 4: 0.775x10⁻⁴ M

Application of ozone in water and waste water

- Drinking water treatment
- Waste water
- Sewage water
- Water reclamation

Application of ozone in water treatment

Water treatment process



$$HO_{2} \xrightarrow{+M} M_{oxid} (+H_{2}O_{2} etc)$$

$$+Br^{-} BrO^{-} \xrightarrow{+O_{3}} \xrightarrow{+O_{3}} BrO_{3}^{-}$$

$$HO_{2} \xrightarrow{+H^{+}} O_{2} \xrightarrow{+M} M_{Br}$$

$$\xrightarrow{+M} M_{oxid} (+H_{2}O_{2})$$

$$OH^{*}(\xrightarrow{+H^{+}} O^{-})$$

$$+M \xrightarrow{+O_{2}} ROO^{*} \xrightarrow{+M} M_{oxid} (+H_{2}O_{2})$$

$$HCO_{3}^{-}/CO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{+M} M_{oxid}^{-}$$

$$+HCO_{3}^{-}/CO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{+M} M_{oxid}^{-}$$

$$+Mn^{2+} Mn(VII)$$

$$Br^{-} Br \xrightarrow{O_{3}} BrO_{3}^{-}$$

Fig. 2. Direct reactions of ozone with solutes (M or Br⁻) and reactions of dominant secondary oxidants (adapted from [20])

.

Water treatment plants using ozonation process

수계	정수장명	취수원	시설용량 (톤/일)	고도정수공정	사업비 (백만원)	진행사항	비고
한강	동두천	한탄강표류수	60,000	입상활성탄	6,701	운전중	-
	원주 제2	섬강표류수	85,000	활성탄	11,750*	운전중	-
	인천 부평	한강 잠실	500,000 (130,000)	산소, 활성탄		운전중	2006년까지 오존 및 활성탄 시설추가 도입예정
	부산 화명	낙동강	600,000	전·후오존 활성탄		운전중	오존시설 노후화로 교체 및 보수 고려중
	부산 덕산	매리취수장	1,555,000 (1,050,000)	전·후오존 활성탄	114,500	운전중	-
	부산 명장	회동정수장	277,000	전·후오존 활성탄	22,166	운전중	-
	대구 두류 (낙동강제1수원지)	강정취수장	310,000	후오존, 활성탄	27,400	운전중	전오존시설은 도입계획중
	대구 매곡 (낙동강제2수원지)	매곡취수장 (다사취수장)	800,000	후오존, 활성탄	63,800	운전중	전오존시설은 도입계획중 활성탄 재생시설 포함
낙	경산 하양	강정취수장	10,000	활성탄	980	운전중	-
동강	마산 칠서	칠서취수장	400,000	전·후오존 활성탄	33,520	운전중	-
	진해 석동	성주취주장 본포수원지	70,000	전·후오존 활성탄	10,275*	운전중	-
	김해 삼계	창암취수장	105,000	전·후오존 활성탄	12,440	운전중	-
	양산 범어	물금취수장	37,500	후오존, 활성탄	7,068	운전중	_
	양산 웅상	원동취수장	55,000	후오존, 활성탄	11,400	운전중	-
	울산 회야	회야댐, 원동취수장	270,000	후오존, 활성탄	20,622*	운전중	_
	울산 천상	대암댐	60,000	후오존, 활성탄	34,380*	운전중	-
금강	공주 옥룡	금강표류수, 복류수	28,000	전·후오존 활성탄	8,904	운전중	_
ъ'd	군산 제2	대아저수지	25,000	분말, 입상활성탄	5,678*	운전중	분말활성탄주입시설은 38,000톤/일 용량

Ozone generation SS electrode 0^2

Ozone generation

Firstly, ozone was synthetically discovered through the electrolysis of sulfuric acid. Ozone can be produced Several ways, although one method, Corona discharge, predominates in Ozone generation industry

Corona discharge

Corona discharge consists of passing an oxygen-containing gas through two electrodes separated by dielectric and a discharge gap. These electrons provide the energy to disassociate the oxygen molecules, leading to the formation of ozone

Ozone system schematic

Simplified Ozone System schematic



Ozone system schematic

Duksan water treatment, Busan, Korea

1. Ozone generator

2. Ozone contactor



Mass transfer in one phase

Two-film or two-resistance theory



The resistance in each phase is made up of two parts: the diffusional resistance in the laminar film and the resistance in the bulk fluid.

 $k \propto D^n$

k = film mass transfer coefficient D = molecular diffusion coefficient n = $0.1 \sim 1.0$; depending on system turbulence

$$\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathbf{a} \left(\mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{Li}} - \mathbf{c}_{\mathrm{L}} \right)$$

m = specific mass transfer rate $a = A/V_L$ = volumetric interfacial area V_L = volume of liquid 2.1 Types of Molecular Ozone Reactions

2.1 Types of Molecular Ozone Reactions

1.1. Y. 1.

b) Oxygen-Atom Transfer Reactions (3) 02 $OH^- + O_3$ HO₂ + hydroperoxide anion FeO, (4) $Fe^{2+} + O_3$ 02 + Fe^(IV) . (5) $NO_2^- + O_3$ NO3 02 +

2.1 Types of Molecular Ozone Reactions

Ozone oxidation

Reaction of olefines with ozone



Initiation by the formation of an ozonide (1), an unstable cyclic trioxide It decomposes into a carbonyl compound (2) and a hydroxyhydroperoxide (3) This hydroxyhydroperoxide then slowly decomposes into a carbonyl compound (4) and hydrogen peroxide (5)

Organic removal pathway by ozone



Mechanism of Ozone Decomposition





Fig. 8. Scheme of reaction of aqueous O_3 . O_3 reacts either directly with a solute M (reactionrate constant k_d) or it reacts with OH⁻ or M to initiate a radical-type chain reaction. Some types of M can also act as chain promoters by transforming non-selective OH⁺ into highly O_3 selective radicals (k_M), but some (e.g. bicarboante) can quench the chain reaction by just scavenging the chain carrier, OH⁺ (k_s). For further details see text. From [49], including newer data from [23]

Reaction Diagram and Rate Constants for Ozone Decomposition Process



Mechanism of ozone decomposition (Hoigne ' mechanism)

The pH of the water is important because OH- initiates ozone decomposition

 $O_3 + OH^- \rightarrow HO_2^- + O_2$ $k = 70 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $O_3 + HO_2^- \rightarrow OH^- + O_2^- + O_2^-, \quad k = 2.8 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$

 $O_3 + O_2^{-.} \rightarrow O_3^{-.} + O_2$, $k = 1.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$

The initiation of ozone decomposition can be artificially accelerated by increasing the pH or by the addition of hydrogen peroxide, which leads to an AOP (advanced oxidation processes) pKa (H_2O_2) = 11.6 pKa (HO_2 ·) = 4.8 Hydorperoxide radical, O_2 ·-: superoxide radical, O_3 -·: ozonide radical (pKa = 6.1)

Mechanism of ozone decomposition

pH < 8 $O_3^{-} + H^+ \iff HO_3^{-}, k_+ = 1.6 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, k_- = 3.3 \times 10^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$

 $\text{HO}_3^{\cdot} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{O}_2$, $k = 1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$

pH > 8 $O_3^{-} \Leftrightarrow O_2^{-} + O_2^{-}$, $k_+ = 2.1 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $k_- = 3.3 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$

 $O^{-} \rightarrow OH + OH^{-}, \qquad k = 10^8 \text{ s}^{-1}$

 $\cdot \text{OH} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 \cdot + \text{O}_2 \qquad k = 0.1 \sim 2 \times 10^9 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$

The last reaction is a fast process and is important for waters with low scavenger concentration. It leads to the consumption of ozone and OH radicals and lowers the oxidation capacity in the system Effect of bicarbonate on the stability of ozone

 $\cdot OH + CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow CO_3^{--} + OH^{--}$ k=3.9 x 10⁸ M⁻¹S⁻¹

 $\cdot OH + HCO_3^- \rightarrow CO_3^- + H_2O$ k=8.5 x 10⁶ M⁻¹S⁻¹

 \sim The presence of bicarbonates in the water may inhibit the free radical reaction chain, hence slowing down decomposition of ozone in the water

 \sim

Mechanism of ozone decomposition

NOM (natural organic matter) can affect the ozone stability It can either (i) directly react with ozone or indirectly affect its stability through scavenging.

(1) $O_3 + NOM_1 \rightarrow NOM_{1ox}$

(2) $O_3 + NOM_2 \rightarrow NOM_2^+ + O_3^-$

These reactions are generally attributed to double bonds, activated aromatic systems, amines and sulfides

(3) $\cdot OH + NOM_3 \rightarrow NOM_3^{\cdot} + H_2O \text{ or } NOM_3^{\cdot} + OH^{-1}$ $NOM_3^{\cdot} + O_2 \rightarrow NOM - O_2^{\cdot} \rightarrow NOM_3^{+} + O_2^{-1}$ ~ propagation reaction \Rightarrow accelerated ozone decrease (4) $\cdot OH + NOM_4 \rightarrow NOM_4^{\cdot} + H_2O$ $NOM_4^{\cdot} + O_2 \rightarrow NOM_4 - O_2^{-1} \rightarrow no O_2^{-1}$ formation

~ Inhibitors are entities that do not liberate superoxide after reaction with OH radicals

Mechanism of Ozone Decomposition





Fig. 9. Pathways for transformation of O_3 by reactions with pollutants (P), OH⁻, H₂O₂, or by UV photolysis. Reactions with OH⁻ as well as UV photolyses produce primarily H₂O₂. In natural waters the initiated chain reaction is promoted by some reactions with DNOM and inhibited, e.g. by some other reactions with DNOM and by HCO₃ (adapted and updated from [22]). Not shown is the initiation by activated carbon (cf. Sect. 7.5)

Ozone/Hydrogen Peroxide(O₃/H₂O₂)

 $H_2O_2 \leftrightarrow HO_2^- + H^+ \qquad pK_a = 11.8$ $HO_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow HO_2^\circ + O_3^{\circ -} \qquad k_9 = 2.2 \ 10^6 \ M^{-1} \ s^{-1}$

 $H_2O_2 + O_3 \rightarrow H_2O + 2 O_2$ $k_{10} < 10^{-2} M^{-1} s^{-1}$

 $2 \text{ O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ OH}^\circ + 3 \text{ O}_2$



Hydrogen peroxide initiates the ozone decomposition by formation of OH radical and superoxide which further reacts with molecular ozone

$$H_2O_2 + H_2O \iff HO_2^- + H_3O^+$$

$$HO_2^- + O_3 \rightarrow OH + O_2^- + O_2$$

The yield of this reaction sequence is one OH radical per decomposed ozone molecule. This is somewhat higher than the yield achieved in low DOC waters in conventional ozonation porcesses

Ozone / H_2O_2

• Oxidation of pCBA with ozone and OH radical



AOP : Ozone + H_2O_2 SW : Surface water GW : Groundwater

The oxidation of pCBA is faster for both waters for the AOP. The degree of oxidation in the two waters is related to the concentration of scavengers in the water, i.e. higher in the surface water.

Ozone Decomposition Process by Hydroperoxide Ion





 $\begin{array}{c} h\nu\\ O_3 + H_2O \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2\end{array}$



hv $H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ OH}^\circ$ $\varepsilon_{254 \text{ nm}} = 18.6 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$
Ozone Decomposition Process by Photolysis at 253.7 nm



Ozone/UV AOP & Peroxone AOP



Comparison of various AOPs



Figure 2-3: Advanced oxidation processes.

Table 2-2: Theoretical amount of oxidants and UV required for the formation of hydroxyl radicals in ozone-peroxide-UV systems (Glaze et al., 1987).

System	Moles of oxidants consumed per mole of OH ^o formed			
	ο,	UV "	H,O,	
Ozone-hydroxide ion **	1.5	5 5	21-	
Ozone-UV	1.5	0.5	(0.5) ^{ei}	
Ozone-hydrogen peroxide ¹⁴	1.0		0.5	
Hydrogen peroxide-UV		0.5	0.5	

Moles of photons (Einsteins) required for each mole of OH° formed

Assumes that superoxide O₂^{or} is formed which yields one OH^o per O₂^{or}, may not be the case in certain waters

Hydrogen peroxide formed in situ

Table 2-3: Theoretical formation of hydroxyl radicals from the photolysis of ozone and hydrogen peroxide (Glaze et al., 1987).

	ε _{254 /m} in M⁻¹ cm⁻'	Stoichiometry	OH° formed per incident photon *
H ₂ O ₂	20	$H_{2}O_{2} \rightarrow 2 OH^{\circ}$	0.09
о,	3 300	O, → 2 OH°	2.00

* assumes 10 cm path length; $c(O_3) = c(H_2O_2) = 10^4$ M

Mechanism of ozone decomposition



Application of ozone in water and waste water

Desired and undesired effect of ozonation processes



Disinfection and oxidation are the main goals for the application of ozone.

Both processes are accompanied by the undesired formation of disinfection by-products

Oxidation of inorganic and organic compounds

The kinetics of the reactions of ozone with inorganic and organic compounds is typically second order, first order in ozone and first order in the compound.

$$S + O_3 \rightarrow Products$$

 $-d[S]/dt = k[S][O_3]$

For a batch-type or plug-flow reactor this yields:

$$\ln\left([S]/[S]_0\right) = -k \int [O_3] dt$$

The ozonation time required to decrease the concentration of S to 50% of initial value becomes

 $t_{1/2} = 0.69 / (k[O_3])$

Kinetics of ozone reaction

Primary reaction of ozone with a compound S



(1) Oxygen atom transfer to anionic, uncharged and cationic species

- (2) Electron transfer (3) Formation of an oxyl radical
- (4) Ozone insertion (5) Ring formation

2.1 Types of Molecular Ozone Reactions

 O_3 reacts with reactants primarily with its end-standing electrophilic O-atoms. The most often described types of reactions of aqueous O_3 are:

a))Electron-Transfer Reactions

2.1 Types of Molecular Ozone Reactions

1.1. Y. 1.

b) Oxygen-Atom Transfer Reactions (3) 02 $OH^- + O_3$ HO₂ + hydroperoxide anion FeO, (4) $Fe^{2+} + O_3$ 02 + Fe^(IV) . (5) $NO_2^- + O_3$ NO3 02 +

Reaction of olefines with ozone



Initiation by the formation of an ozonide (1), an unstable cyclic trioxide It decomposes into a carbonyl compound (2) and a hydroxyhydroperoxide (3) This hydroxyhydroperoxide then slowly decomposes into a carbonyl compound (4) and hydrogen peroxide (5)

Linear free energy relation between two electron oxidation potential and the rate constant by ozone



- the rate constants over 7 orders of magnitude
- protonation of a species decreases the rate of oxidation due to a decrease in nucleophilicity
- iodide \rightarrow a different mechanism ?



Fig. 4. Examples for aqueous second-order rate constants k_M for direct reactions of ozone with inorganic solutes as a function of pH (cf. Eq. (10). Right-hand scale: $t_{M,37\%}$ is the reaction time (r) required to reduce the concentration of the indicated solute by a factor e (i.e. to 37%) (cf. Eq. 14). Vertical arrows indicate pK, values. Assumptions: $[O_3] = 10 \,\mu M$ (0.5 mg/l); no interferences by secondary oxidants; batch-type or plug-flow reactor. Data from [28], Fig. adapted from [20]



Fig. 5. Examples for aqueous second-order rate constants k_M for direct reactions of ozone with non-dissociating organic solutes (cf. Eq. (10), Right-hand scale: $t_{M, 37\%}$ is the reaction time (r) required to reduce the concentration of the indicated solute by a factor e (i.e. to 37%) (cf. Eq. 14). Assumptions as for Fig. 4. Data from [27], Fig. adapted from [20]



zone where "Rad-call Type Mechanism" generally dominates

Fig. 6. Examples for aqueous second-order rate constants k_M for direct reactions of ozone with dissociating organic solutes as a function of pH (cf. Eq. (10). Right-hand scale: $t_{M,37\%}$ is the reaction time (r) required to reduce the concentration of the indicated solute by a factor e (i.e. to 37%) (cf. Eq. 14). Assumptions as for Fig. 4. Data from [27], Fig. adapted from [20]

$k_{\rm OH}\,({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$ $k_{O_3} (M^{-1} s^{-1})$ $t_{1/2}^{c}$ Compound 3.7×10^{5} 6×10^{9} Nitrite (NO₂) $0.1 \, s$ 9.7×10^{7} d Ammonia (NH₃/NH₄⁺) 20/096 h $10^3 - 10^5 a$ 8×10^{9} Cyanide (CN⁻) ~15 >7^b 8.5×10^{9} c Arsenite (H₂AsO₃) 82 min 1.1×10^{9} Bromide (Br⁻) 160 215 s Sulfide $\approx 3 \times 10^4$ 1.5×10^{10} ~18 H-S \$2- 3×10^9 9×10^{9} 20 µs 1.5×10^{3} 2.6×10^{7} Manganese (Mn(II)) ~238 8.2×10^{5} 0.07 s 3.5×10^{8} Iron (Fe(II))

• Kinetics of inorganic compounds with ozone and •OH

^aCyanide reacts with ozone via a radical chain reaction, rate constant given is a result of the overall process ^bestimated from ref. 2.

^cHalf-life time at pH 7 for $[O_3] = 1 \text{ mgl}^{-1}$ (ozone reaction only).

^dRate constant for NH₃.

^eRate constant for H₃AsO₃.

In the case of short half-lives ($t_{1/2} < 5 \text{ min}$), ozonation is very efficient for the transformation of a compounds because it occurs mainly via the direct ozone reaction For slower processes, OH radicals play an important role

■ Kinetics of organic compounds with ozone and •OH

Compound	$k_{\rm O_3}({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$	$t_{1/2}^{\mathbf{c}}$	$k_{\rm OH} ({\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1})$
Algal products			
Geosmin	$< 10^{a}$	>1 h	8.2×10^9
2-Methylisoborneol (MIB)	$< 10^{a}$	>1 h	$\approx 3 \times 10^9$
Mycrocystin-LR	$3.4 imes 10^4$	1 s	



Geosmin

Earthy-musty taste and odor compounds such as Geosmin and MIB are difficult to oxidize with ozone, because they consist of saturated ring systems.



Since these compounds have high rate constants for the oxidation by OH radicals, AOP (O_3 / H_2O_2) is well suited for their oxidation. Only partial oxidation of the compounds is necessary to eliminate taste and odor problems.

■ Kinetics of organic compounds with ozone and •OH

Compound	$k_{\rm O_3}({\rm M^{-1}s^{-1}})$	$t_{1/2}^{c}$	$k_{\rm OH} ({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$
Pesticides			
Atrazine	6	96 min	$3 imes 10^9$
Alachlor	3.8	151 min	$7 imes 10^9$
Carbofuran	620	56 s	$7 imes 10^9$
Dinoseb	$1.5 imes10^{5}$ b	0.23 s	4×10^9
Endrin	< 0.02	> 20 d	1×10^9
Methoxychlor	270	2 min	$2 imes 10^{10}$

The rate constants for the oxidation of pesticides with ozone cover a range of more than five orders of magnitude. The lowest reactivity is observed for endrin, a cyclic compound with a chloro substituted double bond.

These electron-withdrawing groups lead to the low reactivity.

• Kinetics of organic compounds with ozone and •OH

Compound	$k_{\rm O_3}({\rm M^{-1}s^{-1}})$	$t_{1/2}^{c}$	$k_{\rm OH} \ ({\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1})$
Solvents			
Chloroethene	$1.4 imes 10^4$	2.5 s	$1.2 imes10^{10}$
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	540	64 s	$3.8 imes 10^9$
Trichloroethene	17	34 min	$2.9 imes 10^9$
Tetrachloroethene	< 0.1	>4d	2×10^9
Chlorobenzene	0.75	13 h	$5.6 imes 10^9$
p-Dichlorobenzene	≪3	≥3 h	$5.4 imes 10^9$

The second-order rate constant for the reaction of olefines with ozone decreases by a factor of ≥ 10 for each additional chlorine substituent.

The reactivity of tri-and tetrachloroethene with ozone is substantially lower and especially in the case of tetrachloroethene, the oxidation is governed by OH radicals

Kinetics of organic compounds with ozone and •OH

Compound	$k_{O_3}(M^{-1} s^{-1})$	$t_{1/2}^{c}$	$k_{\rm OH} ({\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1})$
Fuel (additives)			
Benzene	2	4.8 h	$7.9 imes 10^9$
Toluene	14	41 min	5.1×10^{9}
o-Xylene	90	6.4 min	$6.7 imes 10^9$
MTBE	0.14	2.8 d	1.9×10^{9}
t-BuOH	$\sim 3 imes 10^{-3}$	133 d	$6 imes 10^8$
Ethanol	0.37	26 h	$1.9 imes 10^9$

The reactivity of benzene, toluene, ehylbenzene and xylene with ozone increases with the degree of substitution with methyl group.

This fast reaction means that the reaction of ozone with aromatic ring system is highly electrophilic and also highly selective. Electron donor groups on the aromatic ring lead to an enhanced rate, whereas electron-withdrawing groups cause a slower Rate.

Diagram of Bromide Oxidation Cycle



Stock-Brown Plot of Ko Values of Substituted Benzenes



τ (B or K) r (D or K) in mol / mol in mol / mol -11 COOC.H. -10 [O_j/[m] (mol/mol) Needed for 90% Destruction of Starting Compound -NH 9 **Ozone** Dosage $1.0 \times 10^{-1} < O_0 < 2 \times 10^{-1} M$ $130 < O_0 < 160 mg/h$ $150 < O_0 < 230 mg/h$ pH of solutions in H₂O Needed to Obtain 90 Percent 7 NO. Aromatic Clearance 5 CHLOOOH ∞ 000 Br-S COHOCOH з



Ozone Action on Humic Substances Containing Several Reactive Sites



Scheme of Competition for reaction with •OH



Fig. 12. Scheme of competition for reaction with OH radicals. A trace compound P (probe or pollutant) is highly protected by the sum of further OH radical scavengers S

$$-\frac{d[P]}{dt} = \eta \frac{d[\Delta O_3]}{dt} \frac{k_p[P]}{\sum (k_{OH,s}[S_i])} = \frac{d[\Delta O_3][P]}{dt\Omega_p}$$
$$\ln \frac{[P]_t}{[P]_0} = -\frac{\Delta O_3}{\Omega_p}$$

$$= (\sum (k_{OH,S}[S_i])) / (\eta \cdot k_{OH,P})$$

 $(\Delta O_3)_{37\%,P}$: the amount of O_3 required to reduced the concentration P to 37% of the initial value independent of P concentration



Fig. 11. Examples for aqueous second-order rate constants $k_{OH, M}$ for reactions of OH' with different solutes M (cf. Eq. (18). Right-hand scale: $(\Delta O_3)_{M, 37\%}$ is the dosage of ozone to be transformed into OH' to decrease the concentration of the indicated substrate compound M to 37% of its primary concentration. This scale is calibrated for ozonation of a eutrophic lakewater (DOC = 4 mg/l), [HCO₃] = 1.6 mM, pH = 8.3). Assumptions: $\eta = 0.5$; batch-type or plug-flow reactor. Adapted from [20]

 $(\Delta O_3)_{37\%,P} = (\Sigma (k_{OH,S} [S_i]))/(\eta \cdot k_{OH,P})$



Fig. 13. Elimination of an ozone-resistant organic micropollutant M (benzene) which was spiked as a probe to samples of water from eutrophic Lake Greifensee (DOC = 3.6 mg/l; [HCO₃] = 1.9 mM; pH = 8). The reaction has been shown to be only controlled by OH radical reactions [45]. (For large series of comparable measurements also see references given in [53])









Fig. 14. $(\Delta O_3)_{37\%}$ and corresponding $(OH^*)_{37\%}$ values required to eliminate pollutants P that react with OH^{*} with different reaction-rate constants to 37% of the preceeding concentration. The values are plotted vs the rate constant with which OH^{*} become consumed by OH^{*} scavengers. The series of abscissa compare the concentration effects of different types of scavenging solutes. Extracted from Fig. 1, Ref. [53]





Assumptions: A: 2.4 mg/l O₃ are transformed within 100 s (i.e. 0.5 μ M · s⁻¹) to produce OH with a yieldfactor of 0.5; OH*-scavenging rate-coefficient from Fig. 14. B: As for A, and: half of the OH* produced react with HCO₃ to produce HCO₃/CO₃⁻; HCO₃/CO₃⁻-scavenging rate-coefficient are from Fig. 16



Fig. 16. $(CO_3^-)_{37\%}$ required to eliminate pollutants P that react with different reaction-rate constants to 37% of the preceeding concentration. The values are plotted vs the rate constant with which HCO₃/CO₃⁻ become scavenged. The series of abscissa compares the concentration effects of different solutes acting as HCO₃/CO₃⁻ scavengers. The rate constants are from Ref. [14], except for DNOM-DOC and formate ion (HCOO⁻) that are from [25] and [70], respectively
Quantification of the oxidation by ozone and by OH radicals

The oxidation of a micropollutant S during an ozonation process can be formulated as follows:

 $-d[S]/dt = k_{ozone} [S][O_3] + k_{OH} [S][\cdot OH]$

The ratio R_{ct} of the concentrations of ozone and OH radicals can be measured by adding an ozone-resistant probe compound (e.g. pCBA)

$$R_{ct} = [\cdot OH] / [O_3]$$

-d[S]/dt = (k_{ozone} + k_{OH} R_{ct}) [S][O_3]
Ln ([S]/[S]_0) = -(k_{ozone} + k_{OH} R_{ct}) \int [O_3]dt

The fraction f_{OH} of S reacting with OH radicals can be calculated as

 $f([\cdot OH]) = k_{OH} R_{ct} / (k_{ozone} + k_{OH} R_{ct})$

Quantification of oxidation by ozone and by OH radicals



Fraction of compounds by OH radicals for a typical range of R_c values in natural waters





Mechanism of ozone decomposition

Ozone decomposition – buffer solution (FIA Assay)



2. FIRST-ORDER OZONE DECAY

1. OZONE RESIDUAL

Mechanism of ozone decomposition

Ozone decomposition – Raw water (FIA Assay)





Initial ozone : 2.7 mg/l pH 7.1, Temp. 20°C Han river, DOC: 2.4 mg/l Suwannee, DOC: 1.5 mg/l Aldrich, DOC: 1.0 mg/l

Mechanism of ozone decomposition

Ozone decomposition – Role of ozone and OH radical



- 1. Presence of large IOD constitution $(30 \sim 50 \% \text{ of the initial ozone dose})$
- 2. Suwannee River : a large portion of the OH radical mediated reaction for the IOD
- 3. Han River : the absence of OH radical mediated reaction for the IOD

R_{ct} **Concept**



R_{ct} concept; the ratio of ozone exposure to that of OH radicals



 R_{ct} was calculated to 4.25 \times 10⁻⁷ in Han River water

Quantification of OH radicals would be calculated using the R_{ct} concept, which is defined as the ratio of ozone exposure to that of OH radicals

Role of NOM on ozone disinfection

Han River water

HS (scavenging) >> HS (promoting) $R_{ct} = 4.3 \times 10^{-7}$, (pH 8.2, [DOC]₀ = 2.4 mg/l)

Aldrich humic acid

HS (promoting) >> HS (scavenging) $R_{ct} = 5.5 \times 10^{-5}$, (pH 8.2, [DOC]₀ = 1.5 mg/l)

NOM can contribute to enhancing microbial inactivation by generating more OH radical formation

WATERS (pH 8, 15°C, AND NATURAL CARBONATE ALKALINITY).								UKAL		
City	Source	pH ^a	• T ^a (°C)	DOC (mg/L)	Alkalinity (mM) ^b	UV254 (m ⁻¹)	$k_{sec} \left(s^{\prime l} \right)^{c}$	R _{ct} sec c	f [O3]dt ^c (M•min) / (mg/L•min)	/[•OH]dt * (M•min)
Zürich-Lengg ^h	Lake Zürich ^d	7.8	6.1	1.3	2.5	2.4	2.0×10 ⁻³	1.5×10 ⁻⁸	9.8×10 ⁻⁵ /4.7	1.5×10 ⁻¹²
Horgen	Lake Zürich *	7.67	5.7	1	2.8	3.1	9.5×10 ⁻⁴	9.5×10 ^{.9}	2.3×10 ⁻⁴ /11	1.9×10 ⁻¹²
Küsnacht	Lake Zürich ⁽	7.41	6.3	1.1	2.8	3.3	1.0×10 ⁻³	1.0×10 ⁻⁸	2.1×10 ⁻⁴ /10	2.2×10 ⁻¹²
Zürich-Limmat ¹	Limmat River ⁸	8.34	14.5	1.5	2.1	2.4	2.1×10 ⁻³	1.8×10 ⁻⁶	4.5×10 ⁻⁵ /2.2	8.3×10 ⁻¹³
Kreuzlingen	Lake Constance	7.85	9.4	1.2	2.5	3.2	1.4×10 ⁻³	1.2×10 ⁻⁸	1.5×10 ⁻⁴ /7.0	1.7×10 ⁻¹²
Lugano	Lake Lugano	7.8	6.9	1	2.4	2.6	6.3×10 ⁻³	1.2×10 ⁻⁹	4.0×10 ⁻⁴ /19	8.3×10 ⁻¹³
Bern	groundwater	7.4	7.0	0.7	6.7	1.2	1.4×10 ⁻³	7.0×10 ^{.10}	1.8×10 ⁻⁵ / 85	1.5×10 ⁻¹²
Biel	Lake Biel	7.67	7.9	1.6	3.6 .	5.8	2.4×10 ⁻³	1.0×10 ⁻⁸	9.4×10 ⁻⁵ /4.5	7.9×10 ⁻¹³
Lausanne	Lake de Brêt	7.48	14.8	3.2	3.4	10.6	1.5×10 ⁻²	4.0×10 ⁻⁸	1.3×10 ⁻⁵ /0.6	2.4×10 ⁻¹³
Porrentruy	groundwater	7.2	10.8	0.9	5.4	2.0	2.7×10 ⁻⁴	1.3×10.9	7.9×10 ⁻⁴ /38	1.2×10 ⁻¹²
Zürich-Sihl ^J (50% diluted) ^k	Sihl River	8.3 [8.2-8.5]	11	1.5	1.6	3.5	6.1×10 ⁻³	5.8×10 ^{-#} [2.4-12	2.5×10 ⁻⁵ /1.2	1.4×10 ⁻¹²

TABLE I. WATER OUALITY DATA AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR SURVEY OF OZONATION OF NATURAL

Notes: All experiments performed at pH 8, 15°C, and natural carbonate alkalinity. *Natural temperature and pH of water at time of collection. * Alkalinity as mM HCO_1/CO_2^2 . O₁ decay rate, R_N value, O₃-exposure and -OH-exposure for secondary reaction phase. North side of Lake Zürich, water taken from 32-m depth. West side of Lake Zürich. South side of Lake Zürich. River draining the surface water from Lake Zürich. 13-month average (6.96-6.97). Data from 10.96 and [min-max of 13-month range] when applicable. Sihl River water was diluted 50% with double distilled water.

[1.3-1.8]

2.64

[2.2-5.5]

2.3

[2.5-17 × 10-3]

6.2×10⁻⁴

×10-81

4.4×10⁻⁹

5.0×10⁻⁴/24

2.9×10⁻¹²

Vevey

[8.2-8.5]

8.11

Lake Geneva

[0.2-21]

6.8

[1.0-2.3]

0.8

Degradation of Bisphenol A by ozone





Endocrine disruptor

Widely used as a raw material of polycarbonate plastics & epoxy resin

Recently, detected in baby bottles

5mg/L of Bisphenol A was successfully oxidized within 10 min at 2mg/min (0.91mg/Lmin)

Degradation of Bisphenol A by ozone and by OH radicals

Ozone Reaction Characteristics



$$\frac{d[bisphenol A]}{dt} = -(k_d[O_3] + k_{OH}[\cdot OH])[biophenol A]$$

Effect of pH on Bisphenol A Degradation



 $(C_0 = 1 \text{ mg/L}, F_{O3} = 1 \text{ mg/L}, 10 \text{ mg/L t-BuOH})$

At pH 12, the O_3 initiated radical reaction exists (shadowed area, 10-20%) At pH 7, the O_3 initiated radical reaction is negligible.

Comparative kinetic study for ozone rate constant



Effect of H₂O₂ on Bisphenol A Degradation



In spite of the enhanced generation of OH radical with H_2O_2 addition, the degradation of bisphenol A did not increased

The direct reaction dominates the reaction pathway of bisphenol A degradation

Effect of H₂O₂ on Bisphenol A Degradation



The direct reaction dominates the reaction pathway of bisphenol A degradation

• Oxidation of atrazine during O₃ and O₃/H₂O₂



Addition of H_2O_2 : enhance the production of OH radical

Symbol : measured result; line : calculated using R_c value and ozone residual The good agreement between measured and calculated data confirm the R_c concept

Bromine species formed during bromate formation

Bromate is formed in ozonation processes from the oxidation of bormide through a Combination of ozone and OH radical reactions.

Species	Chemical formula	Bromine oxidation state	Controlling oxidizing
Bromide	Br ⁻	-I	O3, •OH
Bromine radical	Br•	0	O ₃
Hypobromous acid	HOBr	+1	'OH
Hypobromite	OBr ⁻	+ I	O ₃ , •OH, CO ₃ •-
Bromine oxide radical	BrO'	$+ \Pi$	_
Bromite	BrO ₂	+ III	O ₃
Bromate	BrO ₃	$+\mathbf{V}$	—

Its formation includes up to six oxidation states of bromine

Because both oxidants can act simultaneously or in sequence on various oxidation levels, the whole reaction system is extremely complicated and highly non-linear.

Bromine species formed during bromate formation

Bromate

 $Br + O_3 \rightarrow OBr (HOBr \leftrightarrow H^+ + OBr)$

 $OBr^- + O_3 \rightarrow BrO_3^-$

Molecular Ozone Pathway OBr⁻ + $O_3 \rightarrow BrO_3^-$

OH Radicals Pathway

 $Br + \cdot OH \rightarrow BrO_3^-$

1. OH radical reaction is dominant pathway in the presence of NOM 2. Br Conversion to BrO_3^{-1} : 0 – 50 % (ICR: < 10 %, on average)

Reaction scheme for bromate formation



(a) Reaction with ozone

(b) Reaction with ozone and OH radicals

The bold lines show the main pathway during the secondary phase of an ozonation process

■ Inactivation of *B. subtilis* spores and bromate formation



The occurrence of more resistant pathogens such as *C. parvum* oocysts leads to a demand for increased CT. Therefore, in waters with bromide levels above 50 ug/l, bromate formation may exceed the drinking water standard

One of the decisive factors is the temperature of the treated water. Both the efficiency of inactivation of microorganisms and bromate formation increase with increasing temperature

t-BuOH, an effective •OH scavenger ?

To be an effective •OH scavenger \rightarrow No effect of reaction product with •OH on the system



Why is t-BuOH commonly used as •OH scavenger?

• In the absence of oxygen \rightarrow stabilized through dimerization



■ In the presence of oxygen → peroxyradical (ROO•) 형성후 다양한 화합물 생성

$$H_{3}C \xrightarrow{CH_{3}}_{I} H_{3}C \xrightarrow{I}_{O}OH + O_{2} \rightarrow ROO \rightarrow [ROO-OOR] \rightarrow various \text{ products}$$

(다른 RO₂와는 달리 자체 분해를 통해 HO₂ 라디칼을 생성하지 않는다.)



Table 6-1: RCA cleaning (Ohmi, 1998; Kern, 1999)

Process	Procedure	Goals	
Preliminary Cleaning		and the second	
H₂SO₄/H₂O₂ (4:1) 120–150 °C	SPM (Sulfuric acid, hydrogen Peroxide, DI water Mixture), often called Piranha	Removal of organic carbon	
DI water	UPW (Ultra Pure Water)	Rinse	
HF (0.5 %)	DHF (Diluted Hydrofluoric acid)	Removal of oxide	
DI water	UPW	Rinse	
RCA Standard Clean 1 (SC1)			
NH₄OH/H₂O₂/H₂O (1 : 1 : 5), 70–90 °C	APM (Ammonium hydroxide hydrogen Peroxide, DI water Mixture)	Removal of particles, organics some metals	
DI water	UPW	Rinse	
Standard Clean 2 (SC2		26. 2411	
HCI/H ₂ O ₂ /H ₂ O (1:1:6), 70–90 °C HPM (Hydrochloric acid, hydro- aen Peroxide. DI water Mixture		Removal of metals	
DI water UPW		Rinse	
Oxide Growth (Possible afte	r SC1 or SC2		
HF (0.5 %)	DHF	Growth of oxide	

various concentrations and mixtures are used

Here, R and R' denote organic substituents or H-atoms. (For more details and critical information cf. Ref. [10]).

The ozonation of a primary amine in water can be described by the following example:

2.2 Rate Law for Molecular Ozone Reactions

$$O_3 + M \xrightarrow{k_M} M_{\text{oxid}}$$
 (9)

$$-d[M]_{t}/dt = (k_{M})[M]_{t}^{t} \cdot [O_{3}]_{t}^{t} = (\eta k_{O_{3}}) \cdot [M]_{t}^{t} \cdot [O_{3}]_{t}^{t}$$
(10)

$$\int ([O_3](t) \cdot dt) \rightarrow [\overline{O_3}] \cdot t = c \cdot t$$
⁽¹³⁾



Fig. 7. Second half-life of ozone $(t_{1/2})$ dosed to different types of water as a function of pH. Within this time the concentration of ozone (about 3 mg/l at beginning of measurement) declined from 50% to 25%. For details of measurement cf. [45, 46].

- distilled water: pH adjusted with phosphate buffer (0.05 M)
- karstic groundwater: DOC =1.2 mg/l, pH adjusted by NaOH and HCl
- Lake Zurich water: DOC = 1.2 mg/l; diluted to 70%, pH adjusted by NaOH and HCl
- Lac de Bret water: DOC = 3.2 mg/l; diluted to 70%, pH adjusted by NaOH and HCl
- secondary effluent of communal wastewater plant: DOC 7 mg/l, pH adjusted by borate buffer



Fig. 10. Reactions of OH' with an organic micropollutant P leading to a great diversity of oxidized compounds. Adapted from [53]

5.2 Rate-Law and Rate-Constants for OH Radical Reactions

$$OH^* + M \xrightarrow{k_M} M_{oxid}$$
(17)

$$-d [M]_t/dt = k_{OH',M} [M]_t^1 [OH']_t^1$$
(18)

or:

$$[M]_{t}/[M]_{O} = k_{M} \cdot [OH^{*}]_{ss} \cdot t$$

$$[M]_{t}/[M]_{O} = e^{-(k_{M} \cdot [OH^{*}]_{ss} \cdot t)}$$
(19)
(20)



Fig. 19. Reactions upon ozonation of bromide-containing freshwater. Reactions for molecular O3 (solid lines) and OH' and HCO; radicals (dashed lines) are shown [93]



Fig. 20. Model calculations based on 9 reactions for an extended ozonation of a bromide containing water at pH 7 that contains OH'-scavengers (absence of OH' mediated reactions). Concentrations of different species are given in percent of initial [Br] [62]