- + Higher order extension: $i \rightarrow L$, $i+1 \rightarrow R$ by monotonic interpolations
- Three-wave approximation with \tilde{u} and \tilde{c}
 - No built-in mechanism to distinguish expansion shock and compression shock (violation of entropy condition)

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Entropy fix}} |\lambda_i'| = \begin{cases} |\lambda_i| & \text{if } |\lambda_i| \ge \varepsilon \\ \frac{|\lambda_i|^2 + \varepsilon^2}{2\varepsilon} & \text{if } |\lambda_i| < \varepsilon \end{cases}$$

but more rigorous remedy is necessary.

- Do not satisfy the positivity condition (or failure of linearization)
 - \rightarrow problems in high-expansion region
- Suffering from shock instability known as carbuncle phenomenon



Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring

• Two-wave Approximate Riemann Solver

- A two-wave approximation to increase the robustness of Roe's approximate Riemann solver
 - See the works by Harten, Lax and van Leer(1983), and others
 - Assume three Riemann-states divided by minimum and maximum wave (λ_L, λ_R) speeds and obtain a cell-interface flux by integrating conservation laws directly.
 - Three Riemann-states (or two-wave) approximation

By integrating
$$\mathbf{U}_{t} + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{U})_{x} = \mathbf{0}$$
 over $(x_{L} \sim x_{R}) \times (0 \sim \Delta t)$,

$$\int_{x_{L}}^{x_{R}} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx = \int_{x_{L}}^{x_{R}} \mathbf{U}(x, 0) dx - \left(\int_{0}^{\Delta t} \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{U}(x_{R}, t)] dt - \int_{0}^{\Delta t} \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{U}(x_{L}, t)] dt\right)$$
Using cell-averaged values $(\mathbf{U}_{L}, \mathbf{U}_{R})$ and interface fluxes $(\mathbf{F}_{L}, \mathbf{F}_{R})$

$$\int_{x_{L}}^{x_{R}} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx = x_{R} \mathbf{U}_{R} - x_{L} \mathbf{U}_{L} - \Delta t(\mathbf{F}_{R} - \mathbf{F}_{L})$$

$$\int_{x_{L}}^{x_{R}} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx = \int_{\lambda_{L}\Delta t}^{\lambda_{R}\Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx + (\lambda_{L}\Delta t - x_{L})\mathbf{U}_{L} + (x_{R} - \lambda_{R}\Delta t)\mathbf{U}_{R}$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{\lambda_{L}\Delta t}^{\lambda_{R}\Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx = \int_{\lambda_{L}\Delta t}^{\lambda_{R}\Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx + (\lambda_{L}\Delta t - x_{L})\mathbf{U}_{L} + (x_{R} - \lambda_{R}\Delta t)\mathbf{U}_{R}$$
Thus, we can obtain the averaged-state in the star region: $\mathbf{U}_{*} = (\lambda_{R}\mathbf{U}_{R} - \lambda_{L}\mathbf{U}_{L} + \mathbf{F}_{L} - \mathbf{F}_{R})/(\lambda_{R} - \lambda_{L})$
• Flux at a cell-interface using \mathbf{U}_{*}
Integration over $(x_{L} \sim 0) \times (0 \sim \Delta t)$ to obtain
 $-\lambda_{L}\Delta t(\mathbf{U}_{*} - \mathbf{U}_{L}) + \Delta t(\mathbf{F}_{0L} - \mathbf{F}_{L}) = \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{0L} = \mathbf{F}_{L} + \lambda_{L}(\mathbf{U}_{*} - \mathbf{U}_{L})$

Similarly, integration over $(0 \sim x_R) \times (0 \sim \Delta t)$ gives $\mathbf{F}_{0R} = \mathbf{F}_R + \lambda_R (\mathbf{U}_* - \mathbf{U}_R)$.

From conservative requirement ($\mathbf{F}_{0L} = \mathbf{F}_{0R} \equiv \mathbf{F}_{HLL}$), we have

$$\mathbf{F}_{HLL} = \frac{\lambda_R \mathbf{F}_L - \lambda_L \mathbf{F}_R + \lambda_L \lambda_R (\mathbf{U}_R - \mathbf{U}_L)}{\lambda_R - \lambda_L}.$$

Thus, the final flux form is given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{i+1/2} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{L} & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda_{L}, \\ \mathbf{F}_{HLL} & \text{if } \lambda_{L} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_{R}, \\ \mathbf{F}_{R} & \text{if } \lambda_{R} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

- Thee-state approximation improves robustness (ex: shock stability and positivity condition) significantly but contact discontinuity cannot be captured accurately.
 - Estimation of (λ_L, λ_R) crucial to determine the accuracy and robustness: $\lambda_L = \min(0, u_i c_i, \tilde{u} \tilde{c})$,

$$\lambda_{R} = \max(0, u_{i+1} + c_{i+1}, \tilde{u} + \tilde{c}) \text{ (Einfeldt et al.(1991))}$$

Modified HLL scheme

• Four Riemann-states by adding contact discontinuity into HLL scheme (HLLC scheme)

- See the works by Toro *et al.*(1994)
- Four Riemann-states approximation

Assuming that
$$\int_{\lambda_L \Delta t}^{\lambda_R \Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx = \int_{\lambda_L \Delta t}^{\lambda_* \Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx + \int_{\lambda_* \Delta t}^{\lambda_R \Delta t} \mathbf{U}(x, \Delta t) dx,$$
$$(\lambda_R - \lambda_L) \Delta t \mathbf{U}_* = (\lambda_* - \lambda_L) \Delta t \mathbf{U}_{*L} + (\lambda_R - \lambda_*) \Delta t \mathbf{U}_{*R}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_{*} = \frac{\lambda_{*} - \lambda_{L}}{\lambda_{R} - \lambda_{L}} \mathbf{U}_{*L} + \frac{\lambda_{R} - \lambda_{*}}{\lambda_{R} - \lambda_{L}} \mathbf{U}_{*R}.$$
 From the Rankine-Hugoniot relation, $[\mathbf{F}] = S[\mathbf{U}]$, across $\lambda_{L/*/R}$,

$$\mathbf{F}_{*_{L}} = \mathbf{F}_{L} + \lambda_{L}(\mathbf{U}_{*_{L}} - \mathbf{U}_{L}), \ \mathbf{F}_{*_{R}} = \mathbf{F}_{*_{L}} + \lambda_{*}(\mathbf{U}_{*_{R}} - \mathbf{U}_{*_{L}}) \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_{*_{R}} = \mathbf{F}_{R} - \lambda_{R}(\mathbf{U}_{R} - \mathbf{U}_{*_{R}}).$$

Aerodynamic Simulation & Design Laboratory, SNU

 λ_L $\bigwedge^t \lambda_* \quad \lambda_R$

By imposing
$$u_{*L} = u_{*R} = u_*$$
, $p_{*L} = p_{*R} = p_*$ and $\lambda_* = u_*$ on
 $\lambda_L \mathbf{U}_{*L} - \mathbf{F}_{*L} = \lambda_L \mathbf{U}_L - \mathbf{F}_L$, $\lambda_R \mathbf{U}_{*R} - \mathbf{F}_{*R} = \lambda_R \mathbf{U}_R - \mathbf{F}_R$, we have

$$\mathbf{U}_{*L/*R} = \rho_{L/R} \frac{(\lambda_{L/R} - u_{L/R})}{\lambda_*}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\$$

The final flux form is then given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{i+1/2} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{F}_{L} & \text{if } 0 \leq \lambda_{L}, \\ \mathbf{F}_{*L} = \mathbf{F}_{L} + \lambda_{L} (\mathbf{U}_{*L} - \mathbf{U}_{L}) & \text{if } \lambda_{L} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_{*}, \\ \mathbf{F}_{*R} = \mathbf{F}_{R} + \lambda_{R} (\mathbf{U}_{*R} - \mathbf{U}_{R}) & \text{if } \lambda_{*} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_{R}, \\ \mathbf{F}_{R} & \text{if } \lambda_{R} \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Estimation of
$$\lambda_L$$
, λ_R and λ_*
From $\lambda_L \mathbf{U}_{*L} - \mathbf{F}_{*L} = \lambda_L \mathbf{U}_L - \mathbf{F}_L$, $\lambda_R \mathbf{U}_{*R} - \mathbf{F}_{*R} = \lambda_R \mathbf{U}_R - \mathbf{F}_R$, we have
 $p_{*L} = p_L + \rho_L (\lambda_L - u_L) (\lambda_* - u_L)$, $p_{*R} = p_R + \rho_R (\lambda_R - u_R) (\lambda_* - u_R)$.
Also from $p_{*L} = p_{*R}$, $\lambda_* = \frac{p_R - p_L + \rho_L u_L (\lambda_L - u_L) - \rho_R u_R (\lambda_R - u_R)}{\rho_L (\lambda_L - u_L) - \rho_R (\lambda_R - u_R)}$

Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring

• RoeM

- Cure the shock instability of Roe's FDS while maintaining the accuracy
 - See the work by Kim *et al.*(2003), and others
 - Introduce a multi-dimensional dissipation term controlled by Mach number-based weighting functions to cure shock instability
- Behavior of numerical mass flux and its connection to shock instability $\mathbf{F}_{i+1/2,j} = 0.5(\mathbf{F}_{i,j} + \mathbf{F}_{i+1,j}) \mathbf{D}_{i+1/2,j}$

$$\mathbf{D}_{i+1/2,j_Roe} = 0.5 \left| \tilde{A}_{i+1/2,j} \right| \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2,j} = 0.5 \left[\hat{M} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{i+1/2,j} - \tilde{c} \left(\hat{M}^2 - 1 \right) \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2,j} - \tilde{c} \left(1 - \left| \hat{M} \right| \right) \mathbf{B} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2,j} \right] \\ \mathbf{D}_{i+1/2,j_HLL} = 0.5 \left[\hat{M} \Delta \mathbf{F}_{i+1/2,j} - \tilde{c} \left(\hat{M}^2 - 1 \right) \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2,j} \right] \quad \text{with } \hat{M} = sign \left(\tilde{M} \right) \times \min \left(1, \left| \tilde{M} \right| \right), \\ \tilde{M} = \tilde{U} / \tilde{c}, \text{ and } \mathbf{B} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2,j} = \left(\Delta \rho - \frac{\Delta p}{\tilde{c}^2} \right) \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \\ 0.5 (\tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{v}^2) \end{array} \right] + \tilde{\rho} \left[\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \Delta u - n_x \Delta U \\ \Delta v - n_y \Delta U \\ \tilde{u} \Delta u + \tilde{v} \Delta v - \tilde{U} \Delta U \end{array} \right].$$

Compare the mass flux of
$$\mathbf{F}_{i+1/2}^{(\rho)} = 0.5 \left[\left(\rho U \right)_i + \left(\rho U \right)_{i+1} \right] - 0.5 \left| D^{(\rho)} \Delta \rho + D^{(U)} \Delta U + \frac{D^{(p)}}{\tilde{c}^2} \Delta p \right|$$

• Roe's FDS: $D_{Roe}^{(\rho)} = \tilde{c} |\tilde{M}|, \quad D_{Roe}^{(P)} = \tilde{c} (1 - |\tilde{M}|) \rightarrow \text{shock instability but exact capturing of CD}$

• HLL:
$$D_{HLL}^{(\rho)} = \tilde{c}, \ D_{HLL}^{(P)} = 0 \rightarrow \text{shock stability but no exact capturing of CE}$$

- Linear stability analysis with $\begin{cases} \rho_i^n = \rho + \tilde{\rho}^n, \ p_i^n = p + \tilde{\rho}^n, \ u_i^n = u^0 = 0, \ v_i^n = v^0 = 0, \ \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ \rho_i^n = \rho \tilde{\rho}^n, \ p_i^n = p \tilde{\rho}^n, \ u_i^n = u^0 = 0, \ v_i^n = v^0 = 0, \ \text{if } i \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$ HLL: $\tilde{\rho}^{n+1} = (1-2v_y)\tilde{\rho}^n, \ \tilde{p}^{n+1} = (1-2v_y)\tilde{p}^n \text{ with } v_y = \frac{c\Delta t}{\Delta v}$
 - \rightarrow pressure field and density field are not coupled and simultaneously damped out.
 - \rightarrow no shock instability

•

• Roe's FDS:
$$\tilde{\rho}^{n+1} = \tilde{\rho}^n - \frac{2v_y}{\tilde{c}^2} \tilde{p}^n$$
, $\tilde{p}^{n+1} = (1 - 2v_y) \tilde{p}'$

- \rightarrow pressure field and density field are coupled, and they are out-of-phase.
- \rightarrow pressure perturbation feeding into density field to amplify density perturbation
- \rightarrow shock instability
- Mach-number-based weighting functions *f* and *g* to control the feeding rate of pressure field and the damping rate of density field.

•
$$D_{Roe}^{(P)} = \tilde{c}\left(1 - \left|\tilde{M}\right|\right) \rightarrow \tilde{c}f\left(1 - \left|\tilde{M}\right|\right): \tilde{\rho}^{n+1} = \tilde{\rho}^n - \frac{2v_y}{\tilde{c}^2}f\tilde{p}^n, \quad \tilde{p}^{n+1} = \left(1 - 2v_yf\right)\tilde{p}^n$$

Additional damping to deal with strong pressure field perturbation: $\mathbf{B}\Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2} \rightarrow \mathbf{g}\mathbf{B}\Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2}$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{n+1} = \left(1 - 2\nu_{y}\left(1 - g\right)\right)\tilde{\rho}^{n} - \frac{2\nu_{y}}{c^{2}}f\tilde{p}^{n}, \quad \tilde{p}^{n+1} = \left(1 - 2\nu_{y}f\right)\tilde{\rho}^{n} + 2\nu_{y}\left(\gamma - 1\right)\left|\frac{\tilde{u}^{2} + \tilde{v}^{2}}{2\tilde{c}^{2}}\right|(1 - g)f\tilde{p}^{n}$$

Numerical flux at a cell-interface

$${}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{b_1 \times \mathbf{F}_i - b_2 \times \mathbf{F}_{i+1}}{b_1 - b_2} + \frac{b_1 \times b_2}{b_1 - b_2} \Delta \mathbf{U}^* - \frac{b_1 \times b_2}{b_1 - b_2} \times \frac{1}{1 + |\tilde{M}|} \mathbf{B} \Delta \mathbf{U}^*$$

with
$$\Delta \mathbf{U}^* = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \rho \\ \Delta(\rho u) \\ \Delta(\rho v) \\ \Delta(\rho H) \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{B}\Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1/2} = \mathbf{g} \left(\Delta \rho - \mathbf{f} \frac{\Delta p}{\tilde{c}^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \tilde{u} \\ \tilde{v} \\ \tilde{H} \end{bmatrix} + \tilde{\rho} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \Delta u - n_x \Delta U \\ \Delta v - n_y \Delta U \\ \Delta H \end{bmatrix}$

• Design of f and g

$$-f = \begin{cases} 1 & \tilde{u}^2 + \tilde{v}^2 = 0 \\ \left| \tilde{M} \right|^h & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}, \quad h = 1 - \min\left(P_{i+1/2,j}, P_{i,j+1/2}, P_{i,j-1/2}, P_{i+1,j+1/2}, P_{i+1,j-1/2}\right)$$

with
$$P_{i+1/2,j} = \min\left(\frac{p_{i,j}}{p_{i+1,j}}, \frac{p_{i+1,j}}{p_{i,j}}, \frac{p_{i+1,j}}{p_{i,j}}\right)$$

 $\left(\left|\tilde{M}\right|^{1-P_{i+1/2,j}}, \tilde{M} \neq 0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

$$-g = \begin{cases} |M| & M \neq 0, \\ 1 & \tilde{M} = 0. \end{cases}$$

• The maximum and minimum wave speeds (b_1, b_2) are the same as (λ_L, λ_R) .

Characteristics of RoeM

- Total enthalpy preservation for inviscid steady flow (by formulation based on *H*)
- Cure of shock instability/carbuncle phenomenon (by *f*, *g*).
- Exclusion of expansion shock, stability of expansion (by *b*₁, *b*₂)
- Exact capturing of SW and CD \rightarrow good for N-S Computations (by *f*, *g*)



Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring