Chap. 4-6. Implicit Time Integration

• AF-ADI Scheme

- Approximate factorization along ξ and η directions $\left[\mathbf{I} + \mu \Delta t \left(D_{\xi}^{-} \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{+} + D_{\xi}^{+} \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-}\right)\right] \left[\mathbf{I} + \mu \Delta t \left(D_{\eta}^{-} \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{+} + D_{\eta}^{+} \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{-}\right)\right] \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n} = -\Delta t \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{i,j}^{n}$ with the factorization error $= \mu^{2} \Delta t^{2} \left(\partial \overline{A}^{n} / \partial \xi\right) \left(\partial \overline{B}^{n} / \partial \eta\right)$
- The resulting formulation requires block tri-diagonal matrix inversion along ξ and η directions.

• ξ -sweep (relaxation along the ξ -direction)

$$-\left(\mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\xi}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i-1,j}^{*}\right)\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i-1,j}^{*} + \left[\mathbf{I} + \mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\xi}\left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{*} - \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{-}\right)\right]\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{*} + \left(\mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\xi}\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i+1,j}^{-}\right)\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i+1,j}^{*} = -\Delta t\overline{\mathbf{R}}_{i,j}^{n}$$

• η -sweep (relaxation along the η -direction)

$$-\left(\mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\eta}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j-1}^{+}\right)\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j-1}^{n} + \left[\mathbf{I} + \mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\eta}\left(\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{-}\right)\right]\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n} + \left(\mu\frac{\Delta t}{\Delta\eta}\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j+1}^{-}\right)\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j+1}^{n} = \Delta\mathbf{U}_{i,j}^{*}$$

$$\rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n+1} = \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n} + \Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n}$$

Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring

Chap. 4-6. Implicit Time Integration

• For 2-D N x N mesh with single unknown at each grid point, operating count = $O(N^2)$

$$\mu \text{ for C-N} \leftrightarrow \text{ fully implicit} : \frac{1}{2\Delta\xi} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\Delta\xi}, \ \frac{1}{2\Delta\eta} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\Delta\eta}$$

- 3 types of errors
 - Linearization error for the implicit part
 - Factorization error to approximate the block penta-diagonal term
 - Discretization error of the residual term
- Factorization error dominant at high frequency range, and parallelization issue

• LU-SGS scheme

- Instead of dimensional splitting, a variant of Gauss-Seidel relaxation is applied to Eq. (2) in symmetric forward & backward manner.
 - See the works by Yoon and Jameson(1988), and others
- Ex) LU-SGS for 1-D case

From
$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{U}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial x} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \frac{\Delta \mathbf{U}^n}{\Delta t} + (1-\mu)\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^n}{\partial x} + \mu\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^{n+1}}{\partial x} = \mathbf{0}$$

With $\mathbf{F}^{n+1} \cong \mathbf{F}^n + \mathbf{A}^n \Delta \mathbf{U}^n, \quad \mathbf{A}^n = \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \mathbf{U}}\right)^n \rightarrow \frac{\Delta \mathbf{U}^n}{\Delta t} + \mu\left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^n}{\partial x}\right)\Delta \mathbf{U}^n = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}^n}{\partial x} = -\mathbf{R}\left(\mathbf{U}^n\right).$
From $\mathbf{A}^n = \mathbf{A}^+ + \mathbf{A}^-, \quad \left[\mathbf{I} + \mu\Delta t\left(D^-\mathbf{A}^+ + D^+\mathbf{A}^-\right)\right]\Delta \mathbf{U}^n = -\Delta t\mathbf{R}^n \quad \text{or}$

Chap. 4-6. Implicit Time Integration $\Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} - \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^{+} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i-1}^{n} \right) + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i+1}^{-} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1}^{n} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} \right) = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}, \quad \lambda = \mu \Delta t / \Delta x$ $\rightarrow -\lambda \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^{+} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i-1}^{n} + \left[\mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-} \right) \right] \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} + \lambda \mathbf{A}_{i+1}^{-} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1}^{n} = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n} \text{ or } A \Delta \mathbf{U}^{n} = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}^{n}$ Gauss-Seidel relaxations in a symmetric fashion as follows • (forward sweep) $-\lambda \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^{+} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i-1}^{*} + \left| \mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-} \right) \right| \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{*} = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ • (backward sweep) $\left[\mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-}\right)\right] \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} + \lambda \mathbf{A}_{i+1}^{-} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1}^{n} - \lambda \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^{+} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i-1}^{*} = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$ By substracting forward sweep from backward sweep, $\left[\mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-}\right)\right] \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{n} + \lambda \mathbf{A}_{i+1}^{-} \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i+1}^{n} = \left[\mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_{i}^{+} - \mathbf{A}_{i}^{-}\right)\right] \Delta \mathbf{U}_{i}^{*} \text{ or } U \Delta \mathbf{U}^{n} = D \Delta \mathbf{U}^{*}$ From $\Delta \mathbf{U}^* = D^{-1}U\Delta \mathbf{U}^n$ and $L\Delta \mathbf{U}^* = -\Delta t\mathbf{R}^n$, we have $LD^{-1}U\Delta \mathbf{U}^n = -\Delta t\mathbf{R}^n$ \rightarrow a variant of LU decomposition to invert $A\Delta \mathbf{U}^n = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}^n$ with $L = -\lambda \mathbf{A}_{i-1}^+ + \mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_i^+ - \mathbf{A}_i^- \right), D = \mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_i^+ - \mathbf{A}_i^- \right), U = \mathbf{I} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{A}_i^+ - \mathbf{A}_i^- \right) + \lambda \mathbf{A}_{i+1}^-.$ Symmetric G-S relaxation to Eq. (2) The same procedure for 2-D case with $\left| \mathbf{I} + \mu \Delta t \left(D_{\varepsilon}^{-} \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{+} + D_{\varepsilon}^{+} \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-} + D_{n}^{-} \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{+} + D_{n}^{+} \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{-} \right) \right| \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}^{n} = -\Delta t \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{i,i}^{n} \text{ or } A\Delta \mathbf{U}^{n} = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}^{n}$ • (forward sweep) $|\mathbf{I} + \Delta t \left\{ \left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{-} \right) + \left(\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{-} \right) \right\} \right| \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{*} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i-1,j}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i-1,j}^{*} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j-1}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j-1}^{*} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j-1}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j-1}^{+} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j-1}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j-1}^{+} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{$ $= -\Delta t \mathbf{R}_{i}^{n}$

Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring

Chap. 4-6. Implicit Time Integration

• (backward sweep)

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} + \Delta t \left\{ \left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i,j}^{-} \right) + \left(\overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j}^{-} \right) \right\} \end{bmatrix} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j}^{n} + \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i+1,j}^{-} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i+1,j}^{n} + \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j+1}^{-} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j+1}^{n} \\ - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{i-1,j}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i-1,j}^{*} - \Delta t \overline{\mathbf{B}}_{i,j-1}^{+} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{U}}_{i,j-1}^{*} = -\Delta t \overline{\mathbf{R}}_{i,j}^{n}$

Subtracting forward from backward sweep gives $LD^{-1}U\Delta\overline{\mathbf{U}}^{n} = -\Delta t\overline{\mathbf{R}}^{n}$. with $L = \mathbf{I} + \Delta t \left(D_{\xi}^{-}\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{+} + D_{\eta}^{-}\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-} - \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{-} \right), \quad D = \mathbf{I} + \Delta t \left(\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{+} - \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{-} \right),$ $U = \mathbf{I} + \Delta t \left(D_{\xi}^{+}\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-} + D_{\eta}^{+}\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{-} + \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{+} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{+} \right).$

• Instead of λ -based splitting $\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{\pm} = \overline{R}_A \Lambda_A^{\pm} \overline{R}_A^{-1}$, $\overline{\mathbf{B}}^{\pm} = \overline{R}_B \Lambda_B^{\pm} \overline{R}_B^{-1}$, a ρ -based splitting is introduced to avoid block matrix inversion and to maintain diagonal dominance.

$$\overline{\mathbf{A}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathbf{A}} \pm \rho(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) \mathbf{I} \right), \quad \overline{\mathbf{B}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{\mathbf{B}} \pm \rho(\overline{\mathbf{B}}) \mathbf{I} \right) \text{ with } \rho(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) = k \left| \lambda(\overline{\mathbf{A}}) \right|_{\max} \text{ and } k = 1 + \alpha (>0)$$

- A variant of LU decomposition to efficiently invert $A\Delta U^n = -\Delta t \mathbf{R}^n$
- Complete vectorization along diagonals of i+j=const (or coloring scheme)
- Easily extendable to complex flows, such as chemical reacting flows
 - λ -based splitting can be used for non-calorically perfect gas.
- Parallelization issue and temporal accuracy
- LU-SGS / AF-ADI relaxation combined with MG
 - After transferring solution and residuals onto coarse grid, compute the multi-grid forcing term (**P**) and update solution $\rightarrow A\Delta U^n$ by LU-SGS or AF-ADI = $-\Delta t(\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{P})$ by multi-grid

Chap. 4-7. Time-Accurate Schemes

• TVD R-K scheme

Higher-order R-K schemes preserving TVD stability

- See the works by Shu and Osher(1988), and others
- Linearly stable R-K schemes are not sufficient to support monotonic solutions.
 - Ex: computed solutions with linearly(LS)/non-linearly(NLS) stable R-K methods

$$u_t + uu_x = 0$$
 with $u_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \le 0 \\ -0.5, & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$

- One-step Euler-forward from the semi-discrete form of $u_t = L(u)$ by evaluating $F_{i+1/2}$ with a 2nd-order monotonic flux $\rightarrow u^{n+1} = u^n + \Delta t L(u^n)$ with $TV(u^{n+1}) \leq TV(u^n)$ - 2-stage R-K time integrations

$$(LS) u^{(0)} = u^{n}, u^{(1)} = u^{(0)} - 20\Delta t L(u^{(0)}), u^{(2)} = u^{(0)} + \frac{41}{40}\Delta t L(u^{(0)}) - \frac{1}{40}\Delta t L(u^{(1)}) \rightarrow u^{n+1} = u^{(2)}$$
$$(NLS) u^{(0)} = u^{n}, u^{(1)} = u^{(0)} + \Delta t L(u^{(0)}), u^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}u^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t L(u^{(1)}) \rightarrow u^{n+1} = u^{(2)}$$

→ both are the same for linear case, but yield different solutions for non-linear case. TVD-stable, multi-stage R-K schemes by optimizing standard R-K coefficients • One-step TVD scheme: $u^{n+1} = u^n + \Delta t L(u^n) \equiv EL(u^n)$ with $TV(u^{n+1}) \leq TV(u^n)$

• Multi-stage TVD R-K schemes: from general *m*-stage R-K method as

$$u^{(0)} = u^{n}, \quad u^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\alpha_{jk} u^{(j)} + \beta_{jk} \Delta t L(u^{(j)}) \right) \text{ with } \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{jk} = 1, \ 1 \le k \le m, \quad u^{n+1} = u^{(m)}$$

Chap. 4-7. Time-Accurate Schemes

If α_{ik} and β_{ik} are all positive, $u^{(k)}$ can be regarded as a convex combination of *EL* operators as

$$u^{(k)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{jk} \left(u^{(j)} + \Delta t_j L(u^{(j)}) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{jk} EL(u^{(j)}) \text{ with } \Delta t_j = \frac{\beta_{jk}}{\alpha_{jk}} \Delta t. \text{ Thus, } TV(u^k) \le TV(u^{k-1})$$

For *m*-stage R-K methods, search optimal positive α_{jk} , β_{jk} yielding a maximal CFL time-step.

• 2-stage/2nd-order TVD R-K schemes

$$u^{(1)} = u^{(0)} + \Delta t L(u^{(0)}), \quad u^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}u^{(0)} + \frac{1}{2}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta t L(u^{(1)})$$

• 3-stage/3rd-order TVD R-K schemes

$$u^{(1)} = u^{(0)} + \Delta t L(u^{(0)}), \quad u^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4}u^{(0)} + \frac{1}{4}u^{(1)} + \frac{1}{4}\Delta t L(u^{(1)}), \quad u^{(3)} = \frac{1}{3}u^{(0)} + \frac{2}{3}u^{(2)} + \frac{2}{3}\Delta t L(u^{(2)})$$

• *m*-stage/*m* th-order TVD R-K schemes?

- 5-stage/4th-order SSPRK scheme

- Non-linearly stable R-K schemes with maximal CFL number and minimal complexity

• Dual Time Stepping with 2nd-order Backward Differencing Formula

$$\frac{2^{nd}\text{-order A-stable BDF}}{\frac{dU}{dt}} = -\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}) \rightarrow \frac{3}{2\Delta t}\mathbf{U}^{n+1} - \frac{2}{\Delta t}\mathbf{U}^n + \frac{1}{2\Delta t}\mathbf{U}^{n-1} + \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U}^{n+1}) = \mathbf{0}$$

• (Dahlquist's stability barrier theorem) For $y_t = f(y,t)$, general linear multi-step methods

of
$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \alpha_{i} y_{n+i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k} h \beta_{i} f_{n+i}$$
 can acheive 'A-stability' with the maxim order of '2'.

Advanced Computational Fluid Dynamics, 2019 Spring

Chap. 4-7. Time-Accurate Schemes

- Instead of linearizing the implicit residual term, solve the full non-linear BDF by introducing a pseudo-time derivative.
 - Define extended residual, $\boldsymbol{R}^{*}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}})\!,$ in terms of $\tilde{\boldsymbol{U}}$

$$\mathbf{R}^{*}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) \equiv \frac{3\tilde{\mathbf{U}} - 4\mathbf{U}^{n} + \mathbf{U}^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} + \mathbf{R}(\tilde{\mathbf{U}}) = \mathbf{0}$$

 $\frac{\text{introduce a pseudo-time derivative term}}{\text{to update solution, }\tilde{U}, \text{ by sub-iterations in terms of }\tau} \rightarrow \frac{d\tilde{U}}{d\tau} + \mathbf{R}^{*}(\tilde{U}) = \mathbf{0} \qquad \text{Eq.(3)}$

- two-time scale: physical-time(t) for time accuracy and $pesudo-time(\tau)$ for convergence

• Solution of the original BDF can be recovered by converging Eq. (3).

- Explicit scheme
 - Modified R-K schemes, such as (4,2) and (5,3) schemes, with local time stepping
 - Implicit residual smoothing and multi-grid
- Implicit schemes, such as LU-SGS or AF-ADI with multi-grid
- With a fast convergence of inner iterations, an efficient 2^{nd} -order time-accurate scheme allowing a larger Δt can be obtained.