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8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

- **Typical aeronautical structures**
  - Typical aeronautical structures --- Light-weight, thin walled, beam-like structure
    - Complex loading environment (combined axial, bending, shearing, torsional loads)
  - Closed or open sections, or a combination of both: profound implications for the structural response (shearing and torsion)
  - Thin-walled beams: specific geometric nature of the beam will be exploited to simplify the problem’s formulation and solution process

8.1: closed section  
8.2: open section  
8.3: combination of both  
8.4: multi-cellular section
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.1 The thin wall assumption

$C$: geometry of the section, along the mid-thickness of the wall
$s$: length along the contour, orientation along $C$
$t(s)$: wall thickness

- The thin wall assumption --- wall thickness is assumed to be much smaller than the other representative dimensions.

$$\frac{t(s)}{b} \ll 1, \quad \frac{t(s)}{h} \ll 1, \quad \frac{t(s)}{\sqrt{b^2 + h^2}} \ll 1$$ (8.1)
8.1.1 The thin wall assumption

- The thin-walled beam must also be long to enable the beam theory to be a reasonable approximation

\[
\frac{\sqrt{b^2 + h^2}}{L} \ll 1
\]
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.2 Stress flows

- The stress components acting in the plane of the cross-section are assumed to be negligible as compared to the others.

\[ \sigma_3 \ll \sigma_1, \quad \tau_{23} \ll \tau_{12}, \quad \tau_{23} \ll \tau_{13} \]

- Only non-vanishing components: axial stress \( \sigma_1 \) and transverse shear stress \( \tau_{12}, \tau_{13} \).

- It is preferable to use the stress components parallel and normal to \( C \).

- \( \tau_n, \tau_s \), rather than Cartesian components.

\begin{align*}
\tau_n &= \tau_{12} \cos \alpha + \tau_{13} \sin \alpha = \tau_{12} \frac{dx_3}{ds} - \tau_{13} \frac{dx_2}{ds} \quad (8.2a) \\
\tau_s &= -\tau_{12} \sin \alpha + \tau_{13} \cos \alpha = \tau_{12} \frac{dx_2}{ds} + \tau_{13} \frac{dx_3}{ds} \quad (8.2b)
\end{align*}

\[
\cos \alpha = \frac{dx_3}{ds}, \sin \alpha = -\frac{dx_2}{ds} \quad \text{Sign convention for } s
\]
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.2 Stress flows

- Principle of reciprocity of shear stress → normal shear stress

- \( \tau_n \) must vanish at the two edges of the wall because the outer surfaces are stress free.

- No appreciable magnitude of this stress component can build up since the wall is very thin.

- \( \tau_n \) vanishes through the wall thickness.

- The only non-vanishing shear stress component: \( \tau_s \), tangential stress

Inverting Eq. (8.2a), (8.2b), and \( \tau_n \approx 0 \)

\[
\tau_{12} \approx \tau_s \frac{dx_2}{ds}, \quad \tau_{13} \approx \tau_s \frac{dx_3}{ds} \quad (8.3)
\]
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.2 Stress flows

Thin-walled beams:

It seems reasonable to assume that $\tau_s$ is uniformly distributed across the wall thickness since the wall is very thin.

Concept of “stress flow”

\[
\begin{align*}
n(x_1, s) &= \sigma_1(x_1, s)t(s) \quad (8.4a) \\
f(x_1, s) &= \tau_s(x_1, s)t(s) \quad (8.4b)
\end{align*}
\]

$n$ : “axial stress flow,” “axial flow”

$f$ : “shearing stress flow,” “shear flow”

• Only necessary to integrate a stress flow along $C$, instead of over an area, to compute a force.
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.3 Stress resultant

- Integration over the beam’s cross-sectional area → integration along curve $C$
- Infinitesimal area of the cross-section $dA = tds$

• Axial force

$$N_1(x_1) = \int_A \sigma_1 dA = \int_C \sigma_1 tds = \int_C nds$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.5)

• Bending moments

$$M_2(x_1) = \int_C nx_3 ds \quad M_3(x_1) = -\int_C nx_2 ds$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.6)

• Shear forces

$$V_2(x_1) = \int_C f \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds \quad V_3(x_1) = \int_C f \frac{dx_3}{ds} ds$$ \hspace{1cm} (8.7)
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.3 Stress resultant

- Torque about origin $O$, 

$$
\vec{M}_O(x_1) = \int_C \vec{r}_P \times fds
$$

$$
\vec{r}_P = x_2 \vec{i}_2 + x_3 \vec{i}_3 \quad : \text{position vector of point } P
$$

$$
d\vec{s} = dx_2 \vec{i}_2 + dx_3 \vec{i}_3 \quad : \text{increment in curvilinear coord.}
$$

At point $P_o$,

$$
r_o = x_2 \cos \alpha + x_3 \sin \alpha = x_2 \frac{dx_3}{ds} - x_3 \frac{dx_2}{ds}
$$

(8.8)
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.3 Stress resultant

- Magnitude of the torque

\[ M_{1O}(x_1) = \int_C f r_O ds, \quad r_O \neq |\vec{r}_P| \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.9)

--- Torque = magnitude of the force \( r_O \) \times perpendicular distance from the point to the line of action of the force

- Torque about an arbitrary point \( K \), of the cross-section

\[ M_{1k}(x_1) = \int_C f r_k ds \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.10) \quad and, \quad \begin{align*} r_k &= (x_2 - x_{2k}) \cos \alpha + (x_3 - x_{3k}) \sin \alpha = r_O - x_{2k} \frac{dx_3}{ds} + x_{3k} \frac{dx_2}{ds} \end{align*} \hspace{1cm} (8.11)

- \( r_k \): perpendicular distance from \( K \) to the line of action of the shear flow
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.4 Sign conventions

variable \( s \),

\[
\begin{align*}
x_2(s) &= a \left( 1 - \frac{s}{l} \right), \\
x_3(s) &= b \frac{s}{l}, \\
l &= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}
\end{align*}
\]

The perpendicular distance from \( O \), to the tangent curve \( C \), denote \( r_o \), becomes

\[
r_o = x_2 \frac{dx_3}{ds} - x_3 \frac{dx_2}{ds} = a \left( 1 - \frac{s}{l} \right) \frac{b}{l} - b \frac{s}{l} \left( -\frac{a}{l} \right) = \frac{ab}{l} \tag{8.12}
\]

variable \( s' \),

\[
\begin{align*}
x_2(s') &= a \frac{s'}{l}, \\
x_3(s) &= b \left( 1 - \frac{s'}{l} \right)
\end{align*}
\]

\( r'_o \) becomes,

\[
r'_o = x_2 \frac{dx_3}{ds'} - x_3 \frac{dx_2}{ds'} = a \frac{s'}{l} \left( -\frac{b}{l} \right) - b \left( 1 - \frac{s'}{l} \right) \frac{a}{l} = \frac{ab}{l} \tag{8.13}
\]
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.4 Sign conventions

- The sign convention for the torque is independent of the choice of the curvilinear variable, $s$

  $s$: counterclockwise, $s'$: clockwise

  \[ f'(s') = -f(s) \quad r'_o(s') = -r_o(s) \]

  However, the resulting torque is unaffected by this choice.

  \[ M_{10}(x_1) = \int_C f r_o ds = \int_C f' r'_o ds' \]
8.1 Basic equation for thin-walled beams

8.1.5 Local equilibrium equation

- A differential element of the thin-walled beam

--- all the forces acting along axis $\vec{i}_1$

$$-nds + \left(n + \frac{\partial n}{\partial x_1} dx_1\right)ds - f dx_1 + \left(f + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} ds\right)dx_1 = 0$$

After simplification,

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0 \quad (8.14)$$

- Any change in axial stress flow, $n$, along the beam axis must be equilibrated by a corresponding change in shear flow, $f$, along curve $C$ that defines the cross-section
8.2 Bending of thin-walled beams

- A thin-walled beam subjected to axial forces and bending moments
  --- Euler-Bernoulli assumptions are applicable for either open or closed cross-sections

- Assuming a displacement field in the form of Eq. (6.1)
  Stain field given by Eq. (6.2a) – (6.2c)
  --- axial stress distribution, from Eq. (6.15)

$$\sigma_1 = E \left[ \frac{N_1}{S} - \frac{x_2 H_{23}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} M_2 - \frac{x_2 H_{22}^c - x_3 H_{23}^c}{\Delta_H} M_3 \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.15)

$$S = \int_A E dA \hspace{0.5cm}, \hspace{0.5cm} \Delta_H = H_{22}^c H_{33}^c - (H_{23}^c)^2$$

$$H_{22}^c = \int_A Ex_3^2 dA \hspace{0.5cm}, \hspace{0.5cm} H_{33}^c = \int_A Ex_2^2 dA \hspace{0.5cm}, \hspace{0.5cm} H_{23}^c = \int_A Ex_2 x_3 dA$$

- axial flow distribution using Eq. (8.4a)

$$n(x_1, s) = E(s) t(s) \left[ \frac{N_1(x_1)}{S} - \frac{x_2(s) H_{23}^c - x_3(s) H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} M_2(x_1) - \frac{x_2(s) H_{22}^c - x_3(s) H_{23}^c}{\Delta_H} M_3(x_1) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.16)
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

- Bending moments in the thin-walled beams are accompanied by transverse shear force → give rise to shear flow distribution

- evaluated by introducing the axial flow, given by Eq. (8.16) into the local equilibrium eqn., Eq. (8.14)

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = -Et \left[ \frac{1}{S} \frac{dN_1}{dx_1} - \frac{x_2 H_{23}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} \frac{dM_2}{dx_1} - \frac{x_2 H_{22}^c - x_3 H_{32}^c}{\Delta_H} \frac{dM_3}{dx_1} \right]
\]  
(8.17)

- sectional equilibrium eqns, Eq. (6.16), (6.18), (6.20) substituting into (8.17), and assuming that \( p_1, q_2, q_3 = 0 \)

\[
\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = -E(s)t(s) \left[ -\frac{x_2 H_{23}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} V_3 + \frac{x_2 H_{22}^c - x_3 H_{32}^c}{\Delta_H} V_2 \right]
\]  
(8.18)

- Integration  -> shear flow distribution arising from \( V_2, V_3 \)

\[
f(s) = c - \int_0^s Et \left[ -\frac{x_2 H_{23}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} V_3 + \frac{x_2 H_{22}^c - x_3 H_{32}^c}{\Delta_H} V_2 \right] ds
\]  
(8.19)

c: integration constant corresponding to the value at \( s = 0 \)

The procedure to determine this depends on whether cross-section is closed or open.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

- Since $H^c_{\infty} V_2, V_3$ are function of $x_1$ alone

$$f(s) = c + \frac{Q_3(s)H^c_{23} - Q_2(s)H^c_{33}}{\Delta_H} V_3 - \frac{Q_3(s)H^c_{22} - Q_2(s)H^c_{23}}{\Delta_H} V_2$$

(8.20)

where “stiffness static moment” or “stiffness first constant”

$$Q_2(s) = \int_0^s Ex_3(s)tds \quad Q_3(s) = \int_0^s Ex_2(s)tds$$

(8.21)

--- static moments for the portion of the cross-section from $s = 0$ to $s$
**8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams**

**8.3.1 Shearing of open sections**

Principle of reciprocity of shear stress \( \tau_{12} = \tau_{21}, \tau_{23} = \tau_{32}, \tau_{13} = \tau_{31}, \)

\( \rightarrow \) shear flow vanishes at the end points of curve \( C \)

Shear flow must vanish at point A and D since edges AE and DF are stress free.

If the origin of \( s \) is chosen to be located at such a stress free edge, the integration constant \( c \) in

\[
 f(s) = c + \frac{Q_3(s)H_{23}^c - Q_2(s)H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} V_3 - \frac{Q_3(s)H_{22}^c - Q_2(s)H_{23}^c}{\Delta_H} V_2
\]

must vanish.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.1 Shearing of open sections

Procedure to determine the shear flow distribution over cross-section

1. Compute the location of the centroid of the cross-section, and select a set of centroid axes, \( \tilde{i}_2 \) and \( \tilde{i}_3 \), and compute the sectional centroidal bending stiffness \( H_{22}^c, H_{33}^c \) and \( H_{23}^c \). (principal centroidal axes \( \rightarrow H_{23}^c = 0 \))

2. Select suitable curvilinear coord. \( s \) to describe the geometry of cross-section.

3. Evaluate the 1\(^{st}\) stiffness moments using

\[
Q_2(s) = \int_0^s E x_3(s) t ds \\
Q_3(s) = \int_0^s E x_2(s) t ds
\] (8.21)

4. \( f(s) \) is determined by

\[
f(s) = c + \frac{Q_3(s)H_{23}^c - Q_2(s)H_{33}^c}{\Delta_H} V_3 - \frac{Q_3(s)H_{22}^c - Q_2(s)H_{23}^c}{\Delta_H} V_2 \] (8.20)
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.2 Evaluation of stiffness static moments

homogeneous, thin-walled rectangular strip oriented at an angle $\alpha$

\[ Q_2(s) = \int_0^s Ex_3 tds = E\int_0^s (d_3 + s \sin \alpha) tds = Est(d_3 + \frac{s}{2} \sin \alpha) \quad (8.22) \]

Young’s modulus $\times$ the area of strip $\times$ coord. of the centroid of the local area

similar result of the other stiffness static moment,

\[ Q_3(s) = Est(d_2 + \frac{s}{2} \cos \alpha) \quad (8.23) \]

Since the strip is made of a homogeneous material, $E$ factors out of integral.

\[ Q_2(s) = E \int_0^s x_3 tds \]

Area static moment
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.2 Evaluation of stiffness static moments

Thin-walled homogeneous circular arc of radius $R$

$$ds = Rd\theta$$

$$Q_2(s) = \int_0^s Ex_3 ds = E\int_0^\theta (d_3 + R\sin \theta)R d\theta = Etr^2\left(\frac{d_3}{R}\theta + 1 - \cos \theta\right)$$

$$Q_3(s) = EtR^2\left[\left(1 + \frac{d_2}{R}\right)\theta - \cos \theta\right] \quad (8.24)$$

stiffness static moment = $E \times$ area $\times$ distance to the area centroid

$$Q_2(s) = EAx_3 \quad Q_3(s) = EAx_2$$

• “Parallel axis theorem”, but in this case, only the transport term remains since the static moment about the area centroid itself is zero, by definition.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.3 Shear flow distributions in open sections

- Example 8.1 Shear flow distribution in a C-channel
  - uniform thickness $t$, vertical web height $h$, flange width $b$, subject to a vertical shear force $V_3$
  - centroid:
    $$d = \frac{b}{2 + \frac{h}{b}}$$
  - symmetric about axis $\bar{I}_2$, principal axes of bending, $H_{23}^c = 0$

$$f(s) = c - \frac{Q_2(s)}{H_{22}^c} V_3 \quad (8.25) \quad H_{22}^c = E \left[ \frac{th^3}{12} + 2bt \left( \frac{h}{2} \right)^2 \right] = E \left( \frac{h^3}{12} + \frac{bh^2}{2} \right) t$$

Fig. 8.24. Cantilevered beam with a C-channel cross-section.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.3 Shear flow distributions in open sections

- Example 8.1 Shear flow distribution in a C-channel

\[ f(s_1) = c_1 - \frac{Q_2(s_1)}{H_{22}^c} V_3 = 0 - \frac{Ets_1}{2} \frac{h}{H_{22}^c} V_3 = - \frac{Ehts_1}{2} \frac{V_3}{H_{22}^c} \quad (8.26) \]

Because, \( f(s_1 = 0) = 0 \)

\[ Q_2(s_2) = Ets_2 \frac{h-s_2}{2} \]

\[ f(s_2) = c_2 - \frac{h-s_2}{2} ts_2 \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} = - \frac{1}{2} [bh + s_2(h-s_2)] \frac{tEV_3}{H_{22}^c} \quad (8.27) \]

Because, \( f(s_2 = 0) = f(s_1 = b) \)

\[ f(s_3) = c_3 + \frac{Ets_3}{2} \frac{h}{H_{22}^c} V_3 = \frac{hs_3}{2} \frac{tEV_3}{H_{22}^c} \quad (8.28) \]
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.3 Shear flow distributions in open sections

- Example 8.1 Shear flow distribution in a C-channel
- upper and lower flange: linearly distributed, 0 at the edges
- vertical web: varies in a quadratic manner, shear flow and the stress pointing upward
- max. shear flow: mid-point of the vertical web
8.3.3 Shear flow distributions in open sections

- 2-wall joint: equilibrium of forces along the beam’s axis $\rightarrow -f_1 + f_2 = 0$, or $f_1 = f_2$.
  The shear flow must be continuous at the junction $J$.

- 3-wall joint: $-f_1 - f_2 - f_3 = 0$, or more generally

\[ \sum f_i = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.29)

- “sum of the shear flows converging to a joint must vanish.”
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

- Problem is not precisely defined --- Whereas the magnitudes of the transverse shear forces are given, their lines of action are not specified. -> It is not possible to verify the torque equilibrium of the cross section.

- Definition of the shear center

- subjected to horizontal and vertical shear force \( V_2, V_3 \) with lines of action passing through \( K, (x_{2K}, x_{3K}) \), no external torque applied, \( M_{IK} = 0 \)
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

- 3 equipollence conditions
  1. Integration of the horizontal component of the shear flow over cross-section must equal the applied horizontal shear force
     \[
     \int_C f \left( \frac{dx_2}{ds} \right) ds = V_2
     \]
     will be satisfied since it simply corresponds to the definition of shear force
     \[
     V_2(x_1) = \int_C f \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds
     \]
  2. Integration of the vertical component of the shear flow over cross-section must equal the applied vertical shear force
     \[
     \int_C f \left( \frac{dx_3}{ds} \right) ds = V_3
     \]
3 equipollence conditions

3. Torque generated by the distributed shear flow is equivalent to the externally applied torque, about the same point.

--- does require the line of action of the applied shear forces about point \( K \), the torque,

\[
M_{1k} = \int_C f r \, ds 
\]

(8.10)

torque generated by the external forces w.r.t. point \( K = 0 \)

\[
M_{1k} = 0 + 0 \cdot V_2 + 0 \cdot V_3
\]

\[
M_{1k} = \int_C f r \, ds = 0 
\]

(8.39)
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

--- point $K$ cannot be an arbitrary point, its coords must satisfy the torque equipollence condition

$$M_{1k} = \int_C f r_k \, ds = 0 \quad (8.39)$$

“Definition of the shear center location”
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

- **Alternative definition**

  Perpendicular distance from an arbitrary point \( A \) to the line of action

  \[
  r_a = r_o - x_{2a} \frac{dx_3}{ds} + x_{3a} \frac{dx_2}{ds}
  \]

  \((x_{2a}, x_{3a}) : \text{coord. of point } A\)

  Subtracting this equation from Eq. (8.11)

  \[
  r_k = r_a - (x_{2k} - x_{2a}) \frac{dx_3}{ds} + (x_{3k} - x_{3a}) \frac{dx_2}{ds}
  \]

Substituting into the torque equipollence condition, Eq. (8.39)

\[
\int_C fr_a ds - (x_{2k} - x_{2a}) \left[ \int_C f \frac{dx_3}{ds} ds \right] + (x_{3k} - x_{3a}) \left[ \int_C f \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds \right]
\]

\[
= \int_C fr_a ds - (x_{2k} - x_{2a})V_3 + (x_{3k} - x_{3a})V_2 = 0
\]
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

Torque generated about point $A$ by the shear flow distribution

$$M_{1a} = \int_C f r_a \, ds = (x_{2k} - x_{2a}) V_3 - (x_{3k} - x_{3a}) V_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.40)

--- moment at $A$ due to force and moment resultant at point $K$

$$M_{1a} = M_{1k} + (x_{2k} - x_{2a}) V_3 - (x_{3k} - x_{3a}) V_2$$

$$M_{1k} = 0 \quad \text{By Eq. (8.39)}$$

Eqs. (8.39), (8.40) ---

Torque generated by the shear flow distribution associated with transverse shear force must vanish w.r.t. the shear center.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

Summary

• A beam bends without twisting if and only the transverse shear loads are applied at the shear center.

• If the transverse loads are not applied at the shear center, the beam will both bend and twist.

• If the cross-section features a plane of symmetry, the shear center must lie in that plane of symmetry.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

- Example 8.6 Shear center for a C-channel
  - axis $i_2$: axis of symmetry -> shear center lies at a point along this axis
  - It is necessary to evaluate the shear flow distribution by $V_3$, to determine the shear center location
  - Resultant force in each segment: by Eqs. (8.30) – (8.32)

$$R_1 = \int_0^b f(s_1)ds_1 = \frac{hb^2t EV_3}{4 H_{22}^c}$$

$$R_2 = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} f(s_2)ds_2 = V_3$$

$$R_3 = \int_0^b f(s_3)ds_3 = \frac{hb^2t EV_3}{4 H_{22}^c} = R_1$$

- 3 equipollence conditions

$$R_1 - R_3 = 0$$

$$R_2 = V_3$$
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.5 Shear center for open sections

\[ \int_C f r_k \, ds = -R_1 \frac{h}{2} + R_2 e - R_1 \frac{h}{2} = 0 \]

\[ e = \frac{h R_1}{R_2} = \frac{h^2 b^2}{4} \frac{E}{H_{22}^c} = \frac{3b}{6 + \frac{h}{b}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.41)

- Example 8.8 Shear center for a thin-walled right-angle section

Figure 8.33 - Shear center in thin-walled right-angle section

Lines of actions of two resultant of the shear flow distributions, \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \), will intersect at point \( K \) → procedures no torque about this point → must then be the shear center
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

Same governing equation
\[ f(s) = c - \int_0^s E t \left[ -\frac{x_2 H_{23}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta H} V_3 + \frac{x_2 H_{22}^c - x_3 H_{33}^c}{\Delta H} V_2 \right] ds \] (8.19)

still applies, but no boundary condition is readily available to integrate this equation.

Exception: axis of symmetry

If \( V_3 \) acts in the plane of symmetry \( (\vec{i}_1, \vec{i}_3) \)

→ mirror image of shear flow distribution

point A : joint equilibrium condition

\[ f_1 + f_2 = 0 \]  \[ f_1 = f_2 = 0 \]

symmetry condition : \( f_1 = f_2 \)

shear flow vanishes at A and similarly B
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

1\textsuperscript{st} step: Beam is cut along its axis at an arbitrary point.
→ "auxiliary problem," shear flow distribution $f_0(s)$

2\textsuperscript{nd} step: $f_0(s)$ creates a shear strain $\gamma_s \rightarrow$ infinitesimal axial strain $du_1$

$$
du_1 = \gamma_s ds = \frac{\tau_s}{G} ds = \frac{f_0(s)}{Gt} ds \quad (8.43)
$$

*Fig. 8.35. (A): a general closed section. (B): the auxiliary problem created by cutting the section open. (C): the constant closing shear flow.*
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

3rd step: total relative axial displacement at the cut

\[ u_0 = \int_c \frac{f_0(s)}{Gt} ds \]

4th step: \( f_c \) is applied to eliminate the relative axial displacement, thereby returning the section to its original, closed state (\( f_c \): “closing shear flow”)

total shear flow \( f(s) = f_0(s) + f_c(s) \)

\[ u_i = \int_c \frac{f_0(s) + f_c}{Gt} ds = 0 \quad (8.44) \]

displacement compatibility eqn. for the closed section

\[ f_c = -\frac{\int_c \frac{f_0(s)}{Gt} ds}{\int_c \frac{1}{Gt} ds} \quad (8.45) \]
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

- **Summary**
  - \( f_0(s) \) for an auxiliary problem
  - \( f_c(s) \) by \( f_c = - \frac{\int_c \frac{f_0(s)}{Gt} ds}{\int_c \frac{1}{Gt} ds} \)
  - \( f(s) = f_0(s) + f_c(s) \)
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

- Example 8.9 Shear flow distribution in a closed triangular section

  - shear flow distribution for open section: already computed in Example 8.4

\[
f_0(s_1) = \frac{13}{360} \left( \frac{s_1}{39t} \right)^2 \frac{V_3}{t}
\]

\[
f_0(s_2) = \frac{13}{360} \frac{V_3}{t} + \frac{1}{72} \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{s_2}{15t} \right)^2 \right] \frac{V_3}{t}
\]

\[
f_0(s_3) = -\frac{13}{360} \left( \frac{s_3}{39t} \right)^2 \frac{V_3}{t}
\]

- constant closing shear flow: by Eq. (8.45)

\[
\int_c \frac{f_0}{Gt} ds = \int_0^{39t} \frac{f_0(s_1)}{Gt} ds_1 + \int_{-15t}^{15t} \frac{f_0(s_2)}{Gt} ds_2 - \int_0^{39t} \frac{f_0(s_3)}{Gt} ds_3 = \frac{23V_3}{10Gt}
\]

\[
\int_c \frac{ds}{Gt} = \frac{1}{Gt} (39t + 30t + 39t) = \frac{108}{G}
\]

\[
f_c = -\frac{23V_3}{10Gt} = -\frac{23V_3}{1080t}
\]
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.7 Shearing of closed sections

- Example 8.9 Shear flow distribution in a closed triangular section

- Final shear flow distribution
  \[ f(s) = f_0(s) + f_c \]

- Both shear flow in the auxiliary section and the closing shear flow are (+) when pointing along the local curvilinear variable.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

- Procedure similar to that used for a single closed section must be developed. One cut per cell is required.

- Shear flow distribution in the resulting open sections is evaluated using the procedure in sec. 8.3.1 \( f_0(s_1), f_0(s_2), f_0(s_3) \) along \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \)

- Closing shear flows are applied at each cut. \( : f_{c1}, f_{c2} \)

- Then, shear flow distribution: 
  \[
  f_0(s_1) + f_{c1}, \quad f_0(s_2) + f_{c2}, \quad f_0(s_3) + (f_{c1} + f_{c2})
  \]
  along \( C_1, C_2, C_3 \).
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8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

Front cell: clockwise / aft cell: counterclockwise

\[ u_{t1} = \int_{c_1} \frac{f_0(s_1) + f_{c1}}{Gt} ds_1 + \int_{c_3} \frac{f_0(s_3) + (f_{c1} + f_{c2})}{Gt} ds_3 = 0 \]

\[ u_{t2} = \int_{c_2} \frac{f_0(s_2) + f_{c2}}{Gt} ds_2 + \int_{c_3} \frac{f_0(s_3) + (f_{c1} + f_{c2})}{Gt} ds_3 = 0 \]

- Extension to multi-cellular section with \( N \) closed cells
  - Open section by \( N \) cut, one per cell: shear flow distribution in open section by the procedure in sec 8.3.1
  - Closing shear flows are applied at each cut and displacement compatibility conditions are imposed: \( N \) simultaneous equations.
  - Total shear flow distribution is found by adding the closing shear flow to that for the open section.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

Example 8.11 Shear flow in thin-walled double-box section

- multi-cellular, thin-walled, double-box section subjected to a vertical shear force, $V_3$
- right cell wall thickness $2t$, while the remaining three walls of the left cell wall thickness $t$

- Due to symmetry, $i_2$: principal axis of bending -> $H_{23}^c = 0$
- bending stiffness based on thin-wall assumption

$$H_{22}^c = E \left[ 2 \left(\frac{2tb^3}{12}\right) + \frac{tb^3}{12} + 2(bt + b \times 2t) \left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^2 \right] = \frac{23}{12} tb^3 E$$

- 1st step: transformed into an open section by cutting the two lower flanges

Fig. 8.40. A thin-walled double-box section.
8.3 Shearing of thin-walled beams

8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

- shear flow distribution for open section

\[ f_0(s_1) = \frac{6V_3}{23b} \frac{s_1}{b}, \quad f_0(s_3) = \frac{6V_3}{23b} \left(1 - \frac{s_3}{b}\right), \quad f_0(s_4) = \frac{12V_3}{23b} \frac{s_4}{b} \]

\[ f_0(s_2) = \frac{6V_3}{23b} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{s_2}{b}\right) \frac{s_2}{b}\right], \quad f_0(s_5) = \frac{12V_3}{23b} \left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{s_5}{b}\right) \frac{s_5}{b}\right] \]

\[ f_0(s_6) = \frac{12V_3}{23b} \left(1 - \frac{s_6}{b}\right), \quad -f_0(s_7) = \frac{12V_3}{23b} \left(1 - \frac{s_7}{b}\right) \frac{s_7}{b} \]

- 2\text{nd} step: closing shear flows, \(f_{c1}, f_{c2}\), are added to the left and right cells

- axial displacement compatibility at left cell

\[
u_{l1} = \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_1) + f_{c1}}{Gt} ds_1 + \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_2) + f_{c1}}{Gt} ds_2 + \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_3) + f_{c1}}{Gt} ds_3
\]

\[-\int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_7) - f_{c1} - f_{c2}}{G \times 2t} ds_7 = \frac{b}{Gt} \left(\frac{7f_{c1}}{2} + \frac{f_{c2}}{2} + \frac{12V_3}{23b}\right) = 0\]
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8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

- axial displacement compatibility at right cell

\[ u_{r2} = \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_4) + f_{c2}}{G \times 2t} \, ds_4 + \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_5) + f_{c2}}{G \times 2t} \, ds_5 + \int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_6) + f_{c2}}{G \times 2t} \, ds_6 \]

\[-\int_0^b \frac{f_0(s_7) - f_{c1} - f_{c2}}{G \times 2t} \, ds_7 = \frac{b}{Gt} \left( \frac{f_{c1}}{2} + 2f_{c2} + \frac{12V_3}{23b} \right) = 0 \]

- sol. of two simultaneous eqn.: \( f_{c1} = -8 \frac{V_3}{69b}, f_{c2} = -16 \frac{V_3}{69b} \)

- total shear flow in each segment of the section

\[ f(s_1) = -\frac{2V_3}{69b} \left( 4 - 9 \frac{s_1}{b} \right), \quad f(s_2) = \frac{2V_3}{69b} \left[ 5 + 9 \frac{s_2}{b} - 9 \left( \frac{s_2}{b} \right)^2 \right] \]

\[ f(s_3) = \frac{2V_3}{69b} \left( 5 - 9 \frac{s_3}{b} \right), \quad f(s_4) = -\frac{4V_3}{69b} \left( 4 - 9 \frac{s_4}{b} \right) \]

\[ f(s_5) = \frac{4V_3}{69b} \left[ 5 + 9 \frac{s_5}{b} - 9 \left( \frac{s_5}{b} \right)^2 \right], \quad f(s_6) = \frac{4V_3}{69b} \left( 5 - 9 \frac{s_6}{b} \right) \]

\[ f(s_7) = \frac{12V_3}{69b} \left[ 2 + 3 \frac{s_7}{b} - 3 \left( \frac{s_7}{b} \right)^2 \right] \]
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8.3.8 Shearing of multi-cellular sections

- Shear flows in the webs vary quadratically, while those in flanges linearly.

- Net resultant of the shear flows in the flanges must vanish because no shear forces is externally applied in the horizontal direction.

- Resultant of the shear flows in the webs must equal the externally applied vertical shear force, $V_3$.
8.4 The shear center

8.4 Shear Center

- Chap. 6... Assumption that transverse loads are applied in “such a way that the beam will bend without twisting”
  - More precise statement: the lines of action of all transverse loads pass through the shear center
  - If the shear forces are not applied at the shear center, the beam will undergo both bending and twisting

8.4.1 Calculation of the shear center location

- Involves two linearly independent loading cases
  1. $(\cdot)^{[2]}$, unit shear force $V_2^{[2]} = 1$, no shear force along $\bar{r}$, $V_3^{[2]} = 0$
     \[ \text{shear flow } f^{[2]}(s) \]
  2. $(\cdot)^{[3]}$, $V_3^{[3]} = 1, V_2^{[3]} = 0 \rightarrow f^{[3]}(s)$

- From Eq. (8.7), shear forces equipollent to $f^{[2]}(s)$

\[ V_2^{[2]} = \int_c f^{[2]} \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds = 1, \quad V_3^{[2]} = \int_c f^{[3]} \frac{dx_3}{ds} ds = 0 \quad (8.51) \]
8.4 The shear center

- shear center location \( K(x_{2K}, x_{3K}) \) : Eq (8.10) →

\[
M_{1K} = \int_c f^{[2]} r_0 ds = \int_c f^{[2]} (r_0 - x_{2K} \frac{dx_3}{ds} + x_{3K} \frac{dx_2}{ds}) ds
\]

\( r_K \) : distance from \( K \) to the tangent to contour \( C \), Eq. (8.11)

- Rearranging

\[
-x_{2K} \left[ \int_c f^{[2]} \frac{dx_3}{ds} ds \right] + x_{3K} \left[ \int_c f^{[3]} \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds \right] = \int_c f^{[2]} r_0 ds
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\uparrow & \quad \uparrow \\
0 & \quad 1
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\rightarrow x_{3K} = -\int_c f^{[2]} r_0 ds \quad (8.52)
\]

similarly, \( x_{2K} = \int_c f^{[3]} r_0 ds \quad (8.53) \)
8.4 The shear center

- alternate torque equipollence condition, Eq.(8.40)

\[
x_{3K} = x_{3a} - \int_c f^{[2]} r_a ds
\]

\[
x_{2K} = x_{2a} - \int_c f^{[3]} r_a ds
\]

\[(x_{2a}, x_{3a})\]: coordinate of an arbitrary point A

General procedure for determination of the shear center

1. compute the x-s centroid and select a set of centroidal axes
   (sometimes convenient with principal centroidal axes)

2. compute \( f^{[2]}(s) \) corresponding to \( V_2^{[2]} = 1, V_3^{[2]} = 0 \)

3. compute \( f^{[3]}(s) \) corresponding to \( V_2^{[3]} = 0, V_3^{[3]} = 1 \)

4. compute the coordinate of shear center using Eqs (8.52) and (8.53) or (8.54) and (8.55)

- If the x-s exhibits a plane of symmetry, simplified

plane \((\overline{I_2}, \overline{I_3})\) is a plane of symmetry, the s.c. must be located in that plane.

\( \rightarrow x_{3K} = 0 \), Eq. (8.52) can be bypassed.
Example 8.12 Shear center of a trapezoidal section

- closed trapezoidal section
- shear flow distribution generated by a vertical shear force, $V_3$

: sum of the shear flow distribution in the auxiliary open section and the closing shear flow

$$f(s) = f_0(s) + f_c$$

$$f_0(s_1) = \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} \left[ \frac{h_2 - h_1}{2l} s_1^2 - h_2 s_1 \right], \quad f_0(s_2) = \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} \left[ s_2^2 - h_1^2 - (h_1 + h_2)l \right]$$

$$f_0(s_3) = \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} \left[ \frac{h_2 - h_1}{2l} s_3^2 + h_1 s_3 - \frac{h_1 + h_2}{2} l \right], \quad f_0(s_4) = \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} \left[ -s_4^2 + h_2^2 \right]$$

$$f_c = \frac{EV_3}{H_{22}^c} \frac{2(h_1^3 - h_2^3) + (h_1 + 2h_2)l^2 + 3(h_1 + h_2)lh_1}{6(l + h_1 + h_2)}$$

Fig. 8.38. Thin-walled trapezoidal section subjected to a vertical shear force, $V_3$
8.4 The shear center

- Location of the shear center: by Eq. (8.49)

\[ x_{2k} = \int_C \left( f_o^{[2]}(s) + f_c^{[2]} \right) r_o ds \]

\[ f_o^{[2]}(s) = f_o(s)/V_3, \quad f_c^{[2]} = f_c/V_3, \quad V_3 = 1 \]

- Evaluation of integral

\[ x_{2k} = \frac{b}{4} \frac{h_2 - h_1}{l} \left( \frac{1 - (h_1 + h_2)/l}{1 + (h_1 + h_2)/l} \right) \]

\[ 1 + l(h_2^2 - h_1^2)/(h_1^3 - h_1^3) \]

- Due to the symmetry of the problem, \( x_{3k} = 0 \)
- If \( h_2 = h_1, \quad x_{3k} = 0 \) by symmetry

Fig. 8.38. Thin-walled trapezoidal section subjected to a vertical shear force, \( V_3 \)
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

- Chap. 7... Saint-Venant’s theory of torsion for x-s of arbitrary shape. Solution of PDE is required to evaluate the warping or stress function. However, approximate solution can be obtained for thin-walled beams.

8.5.1 Torsion of open section

- Sec. 7.4 ... Torsional behavior of beams with thin rectangular x-s

- Sec. 7.5 ... Thin-walled, open x-s of arbitrary shape, shear stresses are linearly distributed through the thickness, torsional stiffness $\sim (\text{wall thickness})^3$ (Eq. (7.61)), very limited torque carrying capability
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

8.5.2 Torsion of closed section

Fig. 8.50... thin-walled, closed x-s of arbitrary shape subjected to an applied torque, assumed to be in a state of uniform torsion, axial strain and stress components vanish $\rightarrow n(s) = 0$

- Local equilibrium eqn. for a differential element, Eq.(8.14) $\rightarrow$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0 \quad (8.59)$$

$\rightarrow$ shear flow must remain constant along curve $C$

$$f(s) = f = \text{const.} \quad (8.60)$$

- Constant shear flow distribution generates a torque $M_1$

$$M_1 = \int_c f(s)r_0(s)ds = f\int_c r_0(s)ds \quad (\text{Eq. (8.56)})$$

$$M_1 = 2Af \quad (A : \text{enclosed area by } C) \quad (8.61)$$

"Bredt-Batho formula"
Torsion of thin-walled beams

- shear stress $\tau_s$ resulting from torque $M_1$

$$\tau_s(s) = \frac{M_1}{2At(s)} \tag{8.62}$$

- twist rate vs. applied torque... simple energy argument

- strain energy stored in a differential slice of the beam of length $dx_1$

$$dA = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_c \gamma_s \tau_s tds \right] = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_c \frac{\tau_s^2}{G} tds \right] dx_1 \tag{8.63}$$

- introducing shear stress distribution, Eq.(8.62)

$$dA = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{M_1^2}{4A^2} \int_c \frac{ds}{Gt(s)} \right] dx_1 \tag{8.64}$$

- work done by the applied torque

$$dW = \frac{1}{2} M_1 d\Phi_1 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_1 \frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1} \right] dx_1 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} M_1 \kappa_1 \right] dx_1 \tag{8.65}$$

where twist rate $\kappa_1 = \frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1}$
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

- 1st law of thermodynamics... \( dW = dA \)

\[
\kappa_i = \frac{M_1}{4A^2} \int_c \frac{ds}{Gt}
\]

(8.66)

→ proportionality between \( M_1 \) and \( \kappa_i \), torsional stiffness

\[
H_{11} = \frac{4A^2}{\int_c \frac{ds}{Gt}}
\]

(8.67)

- arbitrary shaped closed x-s of const. wall thickness, homogeneous material

\[
H_{11} = \frac{4GtA^2}{l}, \quad l: \text{ Perimeter of } C
\]

(8.68)

... maximum \( H_{11} \) → thin-walled circular tube (maximize the numerator)
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

- Sign convention
  \( A \) : area enclosed by curve \( C \) that defines the section’s configuration
  \[
  2A = \int_C r_0(s)ds
  \]
  \( r_0(s) \): perpendicular distance from the origin, \( O \), to the tangent to \( C \), its sign depends on the direction of the curvilinear variable, \( s \)
  \( A \) is (+) when \( s \) describes \( C \) while leaving \( A \) to the left
  (-) in the opposite.
  \[
  f > 0, A > 0 \rightarrow M_1 = 2Af > 0
  \]
  - \( s' \) : clockwise direction, \( f' = -f \), \( A' = -A \)
  \[
  M_1 = 2A'f' = 2Af > 0
  \]
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

8.5.3 Comparison of open and closed sections

- Closed section: shear stress is uniformly distributed through the thickness
- Open section: shear stress is linearly distributed
- Torsional stiffness \( \propto \) (enclosed area)\(^2\) for closed section, Eq(8.67)
- Torsional stiffness \( \propto \) (thickness)\(^3\) for open section, Eq(7.64)

- Fig. 8.51. Circular shape, thin-walled tube of mean radius \( R_m \)

\[
H_{\text{open}}^{11} = 2\pi G R_m t^3 / 3, \text{ Eq}(7.64) \\
H_{\text{closed}}^{11} = 2\pi G R_m^3 t, \text{ Eq}(7.19) \\
H_{\text{closed}}^{11} / H_{\text{open}}^{11} = 3 \left( \frac{R_m}{t} \right)^2
\]  

(8.69)

- Maximum shear stress \( \tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{open}} \) subjected to the same torque, \( M_1 \)

\[
\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{open}} = G \kappa_1^{\text{open}} t = G \frac{M_1 t}{H_{\text{open}}^{11}} = 3 \frac{M_1}{2\pi R_m t^2} \\
\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{closed}} = R_m \kappa_1^{\text{closed}} = G \frac{M_1 R_m}{H_{\text{closed}}^{11}} = \frac{M_1}{2\pi R_m^2 t} \\
\frac{\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{open}}}{\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{closed}}} = 3 \left( \frac{R_m}{t} \right)
\]  

(8.70)

Fig. 8.51 A thin-walled open tube and closed tube
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- Example: \( R_m = 20t \)

  1. \( H_{11} \)  ... that of closed section will be 1,200 times larger than that of the open section

  2. \( \tau_{\text{max}} \)  ... that of open section will be 60 times larger than that of the closed section

→ closed section can carry a 60 times larger torque

8.5.4 Torsion of combined open and closed sections

- \( x \)-s presenting a combination of open and closed curves (Fig. 8.52)
  - twist rate is identical for
    \[ \begin{cases} 
      &\text{the trapezoidal box} \\
      &\text{rectangular strips} 
    \end{cases} \]

- Torques they carry  
  \[ \begin{align*}
  M^\text{box}_1 &= H^\text{box}_{11} \kappa_1 \\
  M^\text{strip}_1 &= H^\text{strip}_{11} \kappa_1 
  \end{align*} \]

Fig. 8.52 Thin-walled trapezoidal beam with overhangs
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- Torsional stiffness
  \[ H_{11}^{\text{box}} = 4GtA^2 / l \]  Eq.(8.68)
  \[ H_{11}^{\text{strip}} = Gwt^2 / 3 \]  Eq.(7.64)

- Total torque
  \[ M_1 = M_1^{\text{box}} + 2M_1^{\text{strip}} \]

\[
M_1 = H_{11}^{\text{box}} \left( 1 + 2 \frac{H_{11}^{\text{strip}}}{H_{11}^{\text{box}}} \right) \kappa_1 = H_{11}^{\text{box}} \left( 1 + \frac{2wlt}{3(b_1 + b_2)^2} \left( \frac{t}{h} \right)^2 \right) \kappa_1
\]

... for thin-walled section, \[ \frac{t}{h} \ll 1, \ H_{11} \approx H_{11}^{\text{box}} \]

→ torsional stiffness of the section is nearly equal to that of the closed trapezoidal box alone.

\[
M_1^{\text{box}} = H_{11}^{\text{box}} \kappa_1 \approx H_{11}^{\text{box}} \frac{M_1}{H_{11}^{\text{box}}} = M_1
\]

\[
M_1^{\text{strip}} = H_{11}^{\text{strip}} \kappa_1 \approx \frac{H_{11}^{\text{strip}}}{H_{11}^{\text{box}}} M_1
\]
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

- Max. shear stress ... from Eqs. (8.62), (7.65)

\[
\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{box}} = \frac{M_{1}^{\text{box}}}{2At} \approx \frac{1}{2At} M_{1} \\
\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{strip}} = \frac{3M_{1}^{\text{strip}}}{20t^2} \approx \frac{3}{wt^2} \frac{H_{11}^{\text{strip}}}{H_{11}^{\text{box}}} M_{1}
\]

- ratio

\[
\frac{\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{strip}}}{\tau_{\text{max}}^{\text{box}}} = \frac{l}{b_1 + b_2 \left( \frac{t}{h} \right)}
\]

... the max. shear stress in the strip is far smaller than that in the trapezoidal box
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8.5.5 Torsion of multi-cellular sections

- 4-cell, thin-walled x-s subjected to a torque $M_i$ (Fig. 8.53)
  - only uniform torsion exists, and hence the axial stress flow vanishes
  : Eq.(8.14) reduces to $\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0$
  → shear flow is constant

- Free-body diagrams of the portion of the section
  - Fig. 8.54-(1) ... axial stress flow=0, $f_A = f_B$
  - Fig. 8.54-(2) ... $f_C = f_D$
  - Fig. 8.54-(3) ... $f_C + f_F + f_G - f_B = 0$, $\sum f_i = 0$  \hspace{1cm} (8.71)
  "the sum of the shear flows going into a joint must vanish"
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8.5.5 Torsion of multi-cellular sections

- Const. shear flows are assumed to act in each cell of the section (Fig. 8.55)

\[ M_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} M_i^{[i]} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} A^{[i]} f^{[i]} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.72)

- Determination of the const. shear flow in each cell

Const. shear flows are assumed to act in each cell of the section

Determination of the const. shear flow in each cell

1. Total torque = sum of the torques carried by each individual cell
   “Bredt-Batho formula”
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② compatibility condition ... twist rates of the various cells are identical.

\[ \kappa_{1}^{[1]} = \kappa_{1}^{[2]} = \cdots = \kappa_{1}^{[i]} = \cdots = \kappa_{1}^{[N]} \]  

(Eq. 8.73)

- Eq. (8.66) \Rightarrow

\[ \kappa_{1}^{[i]} = \int_{c^{[i]}} \frac{M_{1}^{[i]}}{4(A_{1}^{[i]})^2} \, ds = \int_{c^{[i]}} \frac{2A_{1}^{[i]} f^{[i]}_{1}}{4(A_{1}^{[i]})^2} \, ds = \int_{c^{[i]}} \frac{f^{[i]}_{1}}{2A_{1}^{[i]} Gt} \, ds \]  

(Eq. 8.74)

- Eqs. (8.72), (8.73) ... \( N_{\text{cells}} \) eqn.s for \( N_{\text{cells}} \) shear flows
8.5 Torsion of thin-walled beams

- Example 8.17 Two-cell cross-section

- Two-cell cross-section (Fig. 8.56): highly idealized airfoil structure
- Eq. (8.72): total torque carried by the section is the sum of the torques carried in each cell

\[ M_1 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{cell}}} A^{[i]} f^{[i]} = \pi R^2 f^{[1]} + 6R^2 f^{[2]} \]  (8.75)

- Eq. (8.73): twist rates for the two cells are identical.

  twist rate for the front cell

\[ \kappa_1^{[1]} = \frac{1}{2A^{[1]}} \int_{c_1} \frac{f}{Gt(s)} \frac{f^{[1]} - f^{[2]}}{3t} = \frac{1}{G\pi R t} \left[ \frac{f^{[1]} - f^{[2]}}{3t} \frac{\pi R}{2} \frac{2R}{3t} \right] = \frac{1}{G\pi R t} \left[ f^{[1]} - \frac{2}{3} (f^{[1]} - f^{[2]}) \right]. \]

  twist rate for the aft cell

\[ \kappa_1^{[2]} = \frac{1}{2A^{[2]}} \int_{c_2} \frac{f}{Gt(s)} \frac{f^{[2]} - f^{[1]}}{3t} = \frac{1}{6G\pi R t} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (f^{[2]} - f^{[1]}) + f^{[2]} \frac{2\sqrt{10}}{R} \right] \]
Example 8.17 Two-cell cross-section

- Equating the two twist rate -> second eqn. for the shear flow

\[
\frac{1}{\pi} \left[ \pi f^{[1]} + \frac{2}{3} (f^{[2]} - f^{[1]}) \right] = \frac{1}{6} \left[ \frac{2}{3} (f^{[2]} - f^{[1]}) + f^{[2]} 2\sqrt{10} \right]
\]

- which simplifies to

\[ f^{[1]} = 1.04 f^{[2]} \]

- This can be used to solve for \( f^{[1]} \) and \( f^{[2]} \)

- largest contribution to the torsional stiffness comes from the outermost closed sections, which is the union of the front and aft cells.

The largest shear flow circulates in this outmost section, leaving the spar nearly unloaded.

- torsional stiffness

\[
H_{11} = \frac{M_1}{\kappa_1^{[1]}} = \frac{(\pi R^2 1.04 + 6R^2) f^{[2]}}{1 / (\pi GRt) [1.04\pi + 2/3(1.04 - 1)]} = 2.81\pi GR^3 t
\]
8.6 Coupled bending-torsion problems

- Chap. 6... arbitrary x-s subjected to complex loading conditions
  2 important restrictions
  ① no torques
  ② transverse shear forces are assumed to be applied in such a way that the beam will bend without twisting
  → Now can be removed

- Fig. 8.65 ... concentrated transverse load $P_2$ acting at the tip and it point of application, $A$, with coord. $(x_{2a}, x_{3a})$, $p_1(x_i)$, $p_2(x_i)$, $p_3(x_i)$ ... distributed loads

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 8.65 Beam under a complex loading condition
8.6 Coupled bending-torsion problems

- Solution procedure
  ① Compute location of the centroid, \( C(x_{2c}, x_{3c}) \)
  ② Compute orientation of the principal axes of bending \( \bar{i}_1^*, \bar{i}_2^*, \bar{i}_3^* \)
     and the principal bending stiffness (sec. 6.6)
  ③ Compute location of the shear center, \( K(x_{2k}, x_{3k}) \) (sec. 8.4)
  ④ Compute torsional stiffness (chap. 7, or sec. 8.5.2)
  ⑤ Solve the extensional problems Eqs. (6.31), (6.32) in principal centroidal axes of
     bending planes
  ⑦ Compute torsional problem

\[
\frac{d}{dx_i^*} \left( H_{11}^* \frac{d\Phi_1^*}{dx_i^*} \right) = -\left[ g_1^*(x_1^*) + (x_{2a}^* - x_{2k}^*) p_3^*(x_1^*) - (x_{3a}^* - x_{3k}^*) p_2^*(x_1^*) \right] \tag{8.76}
\]

B.C. \( \Phi_1^* = 0 \) at root

\[
H_{11}^* \frac{d\Phi_1^*}{dx_i^*} = Q_1^* + (x_{2a}^* - x_{2k}^*) P_3^* - (x_{3a}^* - x_{3k}^*) P_2^* \quad @ \text{at tip} \tag{8.77}
\]

... : axis system defined by the principal centroidal axes of bending
→ More convenient to recast the governing eqn. in a coord. system for which
   axis \( \bar{i}_1^* \) is aligned with the axis of a beam
8.6 Coupled bending-torsion problems

- Knowledge of centroid and shear center $\rightarrow$ complete decoupling of a problem
  $\rightarrow$ 4 independent problems
    $\begin{cases} 
    \text{axial problem} \\
    \text{bending problem} \\
    \text{torsional problem} 
    \end{cases}$

- If no torque and all transverse loads are applied at the s.c.
  $\rightarrow$ R.H.S of Eq(8.77) = 0 $\rightarrow \Phi_i(x_i) = 0$, the beam does not twist
  If not, the beam twists, rigid body rotation $\Phi_i(x_i)$ about the s.c.
Example 8.18 Wing subjected to aerodynamic lift and moment

- Wing coupled bending-torsion problem (Fig. 8.66)
- principal axes of bending $i_2$ and $i_3$: their origin at shear center
- axis $i_1$: along the locus of the shear centers of all the cross-sections
  -> straight line called the "elastic axis"
- aerodynamic loading: lift per unit span $L_{AC}$, applied at the aerodynamic center
  aerodynamic moment per unit span $M_{AC}$

- differential eqn for bending in plane $(i_2, i_3)$

\[
\frac{d^2}{dx_1^2} \left( H_{22} \frac{d^2 \bar{u}_3}{dx_1^2} \right) = L_{AC}
\]  

(8.79)

BC: $\bar{u}_3 = \frac{d\bar{u}_3}{dx_1} = 0$ at the root, $\frac{d^2\bar{u}_3}{dx_1^2} = \frac{d^2\bar{u}_3}{dx_3^2} = 0$ at the unloaded tip

- governing eqn for torsion

\[
\frac{d}{dx_1} \left( H_{11} \frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1} \right) = -(M_{AC} + eL_{AC})
\]

BC: $\Phi_1 = 0$ at the root, $\frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1} = 0$ at the tip

e: distance from the aerodynamic center to the shear center
Example 8.18 Wing subjected to aerodynamic lift and moment

- typical transport aircraft: $e = 25 - 35\%$ chord
- it is convenient to select the origin of the axes at the s.c., rather than at the centroid: bending problem is decoupled from the axial problem. Beam will rotate about the origin of the axes system.

- The rotation $\Phi_1$ of the section is, in fact, the geometric angle of attack of the airfoil.
- lift, $L_{AC}$, is a function of the angle of attack

- aerodynamic problem: computation of the lift as a function of the angle of attack
- elastic problem: computation of wing deflection and twist as a function of the applied loads
- aeroelasticity: study of this interaction

Fig.8.66. The wing bending torsion coupled problem
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

- Thin-walled beam subjected to an applied torque

  → Shear stress generated
  → Out-of-plane deformations, “warping”, in x-s: magnitude is typically small, but dramatic effect on the torsional behavior

  - Particularly pronounced for non-uniform torsion of open sections
    - Twist rate varies along the span
  ↔ Contrasts with Saint-Venant theory
    - Uniform torsion, constant twist rate
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.1 Kinematic description

- **Fig 8.70**: Thin-walled beam subjected to a tip concentrated torque $Q_1$

  ![Thin-walled beam subjected to applied torque](image)

  **Displacement field**
  - Similar to that for Saint-Venant solution
  - Each $x$-s is assumed to rotate like a rigid body about $R$ ("center of twist", $(x_{2r}, x_{3r})$) ← unknown yet

  - Unknown warping function

  \[ u_1(x_1, s) = \Psi(s) \kappa_1(x_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.80a)
  \[ u_2(x_1, s) = -(x_3 - x_{3r})\Phi_1(x_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.80b)
  \[ u_3(x_1, s) = (x_2 - x_{2r})\Phi_1(x_1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.80c)
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

- Strain field

\[
\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} = \Psi(s) \frac{d \kappa_1}{dx_1}, \quad \gamma_{23} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} = 0
\]

\[
\varepsilon_2 = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} = 0 \quad \gamma_{12} = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} = \left[ \frac{d \Psi}{dx_2} - (x_3 - x_3) \right] \kappa_1
\]

\[
\varepsilon_3 = \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_3} = 0 \quad \gamma_{13} = \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_3} + \frac{\partial u_3}{\partial x_2} = \left[ \frac{d \Psi}{dx_3} + (x_2 - x_2) \right] \kappa_1
\]

- Non-uniform torsion is assumed \( \rightarrow \frac{d \kappa_1}{dx_1} \neq 0 \)

\( \rightarrow \) axial strain \( \neq 0 \)

In-plane strain components = 0 since rigid body rotation assumed
Shear strain components \( \rightarrow \) partial derivatives of warping function and twist rate
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.2 Stress-strain relations

- **Non-vanishing components of the stress**

  \[ \sigma_i = E\varepsilon_i = E\Psi(s)\frac{dK_i}{dx_i} \]

  \[ \tau_{12} = G\gamma_{12} = \left[ \frac{d\Psi}{dx_2} - (x_3 - x_{3r}) \right]GK_1 \]

  \[ \tau_{13} = G\gamma_{13} = \left[ \frac{d\Psi}{dx_3} + (x_2 - x_{2r}) \right]GK_1 \]  

  \[ (8.82) \]

- **Only non-vanishing shear stress component for thin-walled beams** → \( \tau_s \)

  \[ \tau_s = \tau_{12}\frac{dx_2}{ds} + \tau_{13}\frac{dx_3}{ds} \]

  \[ = \left[ \frac{d\Psi}{dx_2}\frac{dx_2}{ds} + \frac{d\Psi}{dx_3}\frac{dx_3}{ds} + (x_2 - x_{2r})\frac{dx_3}{ds} - (x_3 - x_{3r})\frac{dx_2}{ds} \right]GK_1 \]

  Total derivative of \( \Psi \) w.r.t. \( s \) \hspace{1cm} Distance from the twist center to the tangent to \( C \), Eq.(8.11)

  \[ \tau_s = \left( \frac{d\Psi}{ds} + r_r \right)GK_1 \rightarrow \text{for open and closed sections} \quad (8.83) \]
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.3 Warping of open sections

- **Shear stress distribution in open-section**
  - linearly distributed across the wall thickness and 0 along the wall mid-line

  - \( \tau_s = 0 \) along curve \( C \), Eq.(8.83)

\[
\tau_s = \left( \frac{d\Psi}{ds} + r_r \right) G \kappa_1 = 0
\]  

(8.84)

- Warping function relation

\[
\frac{d\Psi}{ds} = -r_r = -\left( r_o - x_{2r} \frac{dx_3}{ds} + x_{3r} \frac{dx_2}{ds} \right)
\]  

(8.85)

- Purely geometric function, \( \Gamma(s) \)

\[
\frac{d\Gamma}{ds} = -r_o
\]  

(8.86)

- Warping function

\[
\Psi(s) = \Gamma(s) + x_{2r}x_3 - x_{3r}x_2 + c_1
\]  

(8.87)
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.3 Warping of open sections

- **Uniform torsion**, \( \frac{d\kappa_1}{dx_1} = 0 \) → axial strain/stress = 0

  \( c_1 \) and \((x_2, x_3)\) cannot be determined, simply represents a rigid body displacement field, does not affect the state of stress/strain

- Non-uniform torsion

  \( \begin{cases} & \text{varying applied torque} \\ & \text{constrained warping displacement at a boundary or at some point} \end{cases} \)

  → non-vanishing axial strain/stress although acted upon by a torque alone

  but, still \( N_1, M_2, M_3 = 0 \)

- Axial force \( N_1 = 0 \) → Eq. (8.82a), (8.87)

  \[ \int_c \sigma_1 tds = 0 \]
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.3 Warping of open sections

\[ \int_C E\Gamma tds + x_{2r} \int_C E x_3 tds - x_{3r} \int_C E x_2 tds + c_1 \int_C E tds = 0 \]

\[ c_1 = -\frac{1}{S} \int_C E\Gamma tds \quad (8.88) \]

- Bending moment \( M_2 = \int_C \sigma_1 x_3 tds = 0 \)

\[ \int_C E\Gamma x_3 tds + x_{2r} \int_C E x_3^2 tds - x_{3r} \int_C E x_2 x_3 tds + c_1 \int_C E x_3 tds = 0 \]

\[ H_{22}^C = 0 \quad H_{23}^C = 0 \quad (principal \ centroidal \ axes \ of \ bending) \]
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.3 Warping of open sections

\[ x_{2r} = -\frac{1}{H_{22}} c \int_C E \Gamma x_3 t ds \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.89)

\[ M_3 = 0 \]

\[ x_{3r} = -\frac{1}{H_{33}} c \int_C E \Gamma x_2 t ds \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.90)
Example 8.20 Warping of a C-channel

- C-channel cross section subjected to a tip torque (Fig. 8.24)
- axes in the figure: principal centroidal axes of bending $i_2$ and $i_3$
- axis $i_2$: axis of symmetry
- 1\textsuperscript{st} step: compute the purely geometric function, $\Gamma(s)$

\[
\frac{d\Gamma}{ds} = -r_o
\]  

(8.86)

$r_o$: normal distance from the origin of the axes to the tangent of the curve $C$

Fig. 8.71. The warping function for a C-channel
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

- For the lower flange \( (s_1) \)
  where, \( r_o = -h/2 \)

  \[
  \Gamma(s_1) = -hs_1/2 + c
  \]

  applying boundary condition, \( \Gamma(s_1) = 0 \) at \( s_1 = 0 \) then,

  \[
  \Gamma(s_1) = -hs_1/2
  \]

- \( r_o = -d \) and \( r_o = -h/2 \)

  \[
  \Gamma(s_2) = ds_2 + h(b + d)
  \]

- \( \Gamma(s_3) = hs_3/2 + h(b + 2d)/2 \)

- 2\(^{nd}\) step: evaluate the integration constants

  \[
  c_i = -\frac{Et}{S} \left[ \int_0^b \Gamma(s_1)ds_1 + \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Gamma(s_2)ds_2 + \int_0^b \Gamma(s_3)ds_3 \right] = -\frac{h}{2}(b + d)
  \]

- Final step: coord. of the twist center

  \[
  x_{2r} = -\frac{Et}{H_{22}^c} \left[ \int_0^b \Gamma(s_1)(-\frac{h}{2})ds_1 + \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Gamma(s_2)s_2ds_2 + \int_0^b \Gamma(s_3)\frac{h}{2}ds_3 \right]
  \]

  \[
  = -d - \frac{h^3b^2t}{4} \frac{E}{H_{22}^c}
  \]
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

\[ x_{3r} = -\frac{Et}{H_{22}^c} \left[ \int_0^b \Gamma(s_1)(b - d - s)ds_1 + \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \Gamma(s_2)(-d)ds_2 + \int_0^b \Gamma(s_3)(s - d)ds_3 \right] = 0 \]

\[ = -d - \frac{h^2b^2tE}{4H_{22}^c} \]

The warping function then follows from eq.(8.87) as

\[ \Psi(s_1) = \frac{h}{2}(s_1 + e - b); \quad \Psi(s_2) = -es_2; \quad \Psi(s_3) = \frac{h}{2}(s_3 - e) \]

where,

\[ e = h^2b^2tE / (4H_{22}^c) \]

- The location of shear center coincides that of the twist center.
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.5 Warping of closed sections

- **Shear stress distribution** → constant through the wall thickness in closed section

  \[
  \tau_s = \frac{M_1}{2At} = H_{11} \frac{\kappa_1}{2At} \quad A = \text{area enclosed by curve } C \quad (8.62)
  \]

- Eq. (8.83) → \[ \frac{d\Psi}{ds} = \frac{\tau_s}{G\kappa_1} - r_r = \frac{H_{11}}{2AGt} - r_r \] (8.94)
  - governing equation for \( \Psi(s) \) in closed sections

- Process of integration of Eq. (8.94) → similar to that for open section

  1. Purely geometric function \( \Gamma(s) \)

     \[
     \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} = \frac{H_{11}}{2AGt} - r_o \quad (8.95)
     \]
     - arbitrary B.C. is used to integrate Eq.(8.95)

  2. \( c_1 \) and \((x_2, x_3)\) can be determined by the vanishing of \( F_1, M_2, M_3 \)
8.7 Warping of thin-walled beams under torsion

8.7.6 Warping of multi-cellular sections

- **Section 8.5.5** → shear flow distribution $f(s)$ due to applied torque

$$f(s) = \mathcal{G}(s)k_1$$ \hspace{1cm} $$\tau_s = \mathcal{G}(s)\frac{K_1}{t}$$

- Governing equation for the warping function

$$\frac{d\Psi}{ds} = \frac{\mathcal{G}(s)}{Gt} - r_r \tag{8.97}$$

- Determination of the warping function --- exactly mirrors that for open and closed sections, except the following

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{ds} = \frac{\mathcal{G}(s)}{Gt} - r_o \tag{8.98}$$
8.8 Equivalence of the shear and twist centers

- **Shear center** → defined by torque equipollence condition, Eq.(8.39)

- **Center of twist** → introduced for the analysis of thin-walled beams under torsion
  
  Eq.(8.53) → Eq.(8.86)

\[
x_{2_k} = \int_C f^{[3]} r_o ds = -\int_C f^{[3]} \frac{d\Gamma}{ds} ds
\]

- Integrating by parts

\[
x_{2_k} = \int_C \Gamma \frac{df^{[3]}}{ds} ds - \left[ f^{[3]} \Gamma \right]_{\text{boundary}}
\]

by Eq.(8.58)

\[
x_{2_k} = -\int_C \frac{Et}{H_{22}} x_3 \Gamma ds = -\frac{1}{H_{22}} \int_C E\Gamma x_3 t ds = x_{2r}
\]

- Similarly, \( x_{3_k} = x_{3r} \)

→ Equivalence of the shear and twist center for open sections.
  
  Equivalence also holds for closed sections direct consequence of Betti’s reciprocity theorem. Eq.(10.117)
8.9 Non-uniform torsion

- Non-uniform torsion
  - Both shear and axial stresses generated by differential warping
    - Markedly different behavior from that under uniform torsion
  - Axial stress distribution → uniform across the wall thickness
    - Axial flow: \( n_w = t \sigma_1 \)
  - Although the axial stress does not vanish, the resulting axial force and bending moment do vanish → local equilibrium equation, Eq.(8.14), is not necessarily satisfied
  - For this local equilibrium to hold, a shear flow, \( f_w \), “warping shear flow” is generated to satisfy the local equilibrium
    \[
    \frac{\partial n_w}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_w}{\partial s} = 0
    \]
8.9 Non-uniform torsion

- Introducing Eq. (8.82a) for the case of open sections

\[ \frac{\partial f_w}{\partial s} = -Et\Psi \frac{d^2\kappa_1}{dx_1^2} \]  

(8.99)

\[ \rightarrow \text{can be integrated by the procedure in Section 8.3} \]

- Simple C-channel Fig. (8.75)

- Question of overall equilibrium

\[ \rightarrow \text{Does the warping shear flow generate resultant transverse shear force?} \]

Eq. (8.7) \[ V_{2w} = \int_C f_w \frac{dx_2}{ds} ds = -\int_C x_2 \frac{\partial f_w}{\partial s} ds + [x_2 f_w]_{\text{boundary}} \]

Integrating by parts \[ 0 \text{ since } f_w = 0 \text{ at the edge of the contour} \]

Eq. (8.99) \[ V_{2w} = \frac{d^2\kappa_1}{dx_1^2} \int_C E\Psi x_2 t ds = 0 \]

Similarly, \[ V_{3w} = 0 \]
8.9 Non-uniform torsion

- Torque resultant about the shear center generated by the warping shear flow

\[ M_{1w} = \int_C f_w r_k ds = -\int_C f_w \frac{d\Psi}{ds} ds \] (8.100)

Integrating by parts

\[ M_{1w} = \int_C \Psi \frac{df_w}{ds} ds - [f_w \Psi]_{\text{boundary}} \] (8.101)

Introducing Eq. (8.99)

\[ M_{1w} = -H_w \frac{d^2 \kappa_1}{dx_1^2} \quad H_w = \int_C E \Psi^2 tds \] (8.102)

- Total torque = that by the twist rate + that due to warping

\[ M_{1k} = H_{11} \kappa_1 - H_w \frac{d^2 \kappa_1}{dx_1^2} \] (8.104)

generated by shear stress distribution

Additional contribution from the warping shear flow, =0 for uniform torsion

- Equilibrium equation for a differential element of the beam under torsional load

\[ \frac{d}{dx_1} \left( H_{11} \frac{d \Phi_1}{dx_1} - H_w \frac{d^3 \Phi_1}{dx_1^3} \right) = -q_1 \] (8.105)

→ Eq. (7.15)
Example 8.23 Torsion of a cantilevered beam with free root warping

- Uniform cantilevered beam of length $L$ subjected to a tip torque, $Q$
- Root condition: No twisting is allowed, but warping is free to occur
  -> attaching the beam's root to a diaphragm that prevents any root rotation, but does not constrain axial displacement
- uniform properties along its length, Eq. (8.105) becomes

$$H_{11} \frac{d^2 \Phi_1}{dx_1^2} - H_w \frac{d^4 \Phi_1}{dx_1^4} = 0$$

- at the root: no twist occurs, $\Phi_1 = 0$
- free warping at the root: axial stress must vanish, $\frac{d^2 \Phi_1}{dx_1^2} = 0$
- at the tip: torque must equal the applied torque, $Q = H_{11} \frac{d \Phi_1}{dx_1} - H_w \frac{d^3 \Phi_1}{dx_1^3}$
- at the tip: axial stress must vanish once again, $\frac{d^2 \Phi_1}{dx_1^2} = 0$
- Introduction of non-dimensional span-wise variable, $\eta = x_1/L$
- Governing eqn.:

$$\Phi_1'''' - \frac{k^2}{L^2} \Phi_1'' = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.106)
8.9 Non-uniform torsion

- New BC’s: at the root, $\Phi_1 = 0, \Phi_1'' = 0$
  at the tip, $\Phi_1'' = 0, k^2 \Phi_1' - \Phi_1'' = QL^3/H_w$

$$\bar{k} = \frac{H_{11}L^2}{H_w}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.107)

: ratio of the torsional stiffness to the warping stiffness

- General sol. of the governing differential eqn.:

$$\Phi_1 = C_1 + C_2 \eta + C_3 \cosh \bar{k} \eta + C_4 \sinh \bar{k} \eta$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.108)

- Application of BC’s:

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{QL}{H_{11}} \eta$$  \hspace{1cm} (8.108)

-> identical to the uniform torsion solution

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1} = \frac{Q}{L} = \text{const}$$

- torsional warping stiffness, $H_w$, disappears from the solution.
8.9 Non-uniform torsion

Example 8.24 Torsion of a cantilevered beam with constrained root warping

- Same uniform cantilevered beam, but the root section is now solidly fixed to prevent any warping at the root
- at this built-in end, no twisting occurs \( \Phi_1 = 0 \)
  no axial displacement \( \kappa_1 = \frac{d\Phi_1}{dx_1} = 0 \)

- Governing eqn. is the same, Eq. (8.106). But BC’s are
  New BC’s: at the root, \( \Phi_1 = 0, \Phi_1' = 0 \)
  at the tip, \( \Phi_1'' = 0, k^2\Phi_1' - \Phi_1''' = QL^3/H_w \)

- General sol. is the same as Eq. (8.108)
- Application of BC’s:
  \[
  \Phi_1 = \frac{QL}{H_{11}} \left[ \eta - \frac{\sinh k - \sinh k(1 - \eta)}{k \cosh k} \right]
  \]

\( QL \) uniform torsion \( H_{11} \) influence of the non-uniform torsion induced by the root warping constraint
Fig. 8.76. Twist distribution for the closed rectangular section under non-uniform torsion. $\bar{k} = 16.54 (\diamond), \bar{k} = 8.27 (\triangle), \bar{k} = 5.04 (\square), \bar{k} = 2.52 (O)$.

Fig. 8.77. Twist distribution for the C channel section under non-uniform torsion. $\bar{k} = 2.65 (\diamond), \bar{k} = 1.33 (\triangle), \bar{k} = 0.808 (\square), \bar{k} = 0.404 (O)$. 
8.10 Structural idealization

- **Actual thin-walled beam structures**
  - “stringers” added to increase the bending stiffness

- can be idealized by separating the axial and shear stress carrying components into distinct entities called **stringers** and **sheets**
  - Axial stress → assumed to be carried only in the stringers
  - Shear stress → assumed to be carried only in the sheets

“box beam”, “L” shaped longitudinal members located away from the centroid
→ much larger contribution to the bending stiffness

Sheet-stringer idealization
→ considerably simplified analysis procedure for stress distribution
8.10 Structural idealization

8.10.1 Sheet-stringer approximation of a thin-walled beam

- **Figure 8.80**

  - no discrete “stringers” or with far smaller x-s area
  - still possible to construct a sheet-stringer model

  - **Idealized structures**
    1. Axial stresses are carried solely by the stringers
    2. Shear stresses are carried solely by the sheets
8.10 Structural idealization

- Approach to estimate the areas of the stringers
  - Triangular equivalence method (sec. 6.8) → guarantee the same bending stiffness and centroid location
  - Linear distribution of axial stress, \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_1^{[1]} + (\sigma_1^{[1]} - \sigma_1^{[2]}) s/b \)
    - \( \sigma_1^{[1]} \): stresses of point A
    - \( \sigma_1^{[2]} \): stresses of point B
    - \( s \): local position along the contour of width \( b \)
  → the areas \( A^{[1]} \) and \( A^{[2]} \), of the stringers need to be determined.

2 constraints
1) Axial stresses at A and B are the same as the actual
2) Force and moment equivalences are maintained

- Force equivalence

\[
F_1 = \int_0^b \left[ \sigma_1^{[1]} + (\sigma_1^{[2]} - \sigma_1^{[1]}) s / b \right] tds = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sigma_1^{[1]} + \sigma_1^{[2]} \right) bt = \sigma_1^{[1]} A^{[1]} + \sigma_1^{[2]} A^{[2]}
\]

- Bending moment equivalence

\[
M_A = \int_0^b \left[ \sigma_1^{[1]} + (\sigma_1^{[2]} - \sigma_1^{[1]}) s / b \right] stds = \frac{b^2 t}{6} \left( \sigma_1^{[1]} + 2\sigma_1^{[2]} \right) = b\sigma_1^{[2]} A^{[2]}
\]

solution

\[
A^{[1]} = \frac{bt}{6} \left( 2 + \frac{\sigma_1^{[2]}}{\sigma_1^{[1]}} \right), \quad A^{[2]} = \frac{bt}{6} \left( 2 + \frac{\sigma_1^{[1]}}{\sigma_1^{[2]}} \right)
\]

(8.110)
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- 2 special cases
  1. Uniform axial stress \( \sigma_1^{[1]} = \sigma_2^{[2]} \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( A^{[1]} = A^{[2]} = \frac{bt}{2} \) (8.111)
  2. Pure bending \( \sigma_1^{[1]} = -\sigma_1^{[2]} \) \( \Rightarrow \) \( A^{[1]} = A^{[2]} = \frac{bt}{6} \) (8.112)

- Different stress distributions are considered, equivalent idealized area need to be recomputed

8.10.2 Axial stress in the stringers

- The same approach as developed in Chapter 6,

  axial stress \( \sigma_1^{[r]} \) acting in the \( r \)-th stringer

  \[
  \sigma_1^{[r]} = E^{[r]} \left[ \frac{N_1}{S} + x_3^{[r]} \frac{H_{33}^CM_2 + H_{23}^CM_3}{\Delta H} - x_2^{[r]} \frac{H_{23}^CM_2 + H_{22}^CM_3}{\Delta H} \right]
  \] (8.113)

  Uniform stress is assumed in a small “lumped” case

  \( \Rightarrow \) net axial force = \( A^{[r]} \sigma_1^{[r]} \)
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8.10.3 shear flow in the sheet components

- Local equilibrium condition, Eq. (8.14) → \( \frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = 0 \), since no axial stress → \( f = \text{const.} \) (8.114)

- **Stringer equilibrium**
  - Figure 8.81

\[
\left( \sigma_1 + \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial x_1} dx_1 - \sigma_1 \right) A^{[r]} + f_2 dx_1 - f_1 dx_1 = 0
\]

\[
\Delta f^{[r]} = f_2 - f_1 = -A^{[r]} \frac{\partial \sigma_1}{\partial x_1}
\] (8.115)

- Eq. (8.113) → (8.115)

\[
\Delta f^{[r]} = -E^{[r]} A^{[r]} \left[ \frac{H_{22}^C V_2 - H_{23}^C V_3 x_2^{[r]}}{\Delta H} - \frac{H_{23}^C V_2 - H_{33}^C V_3 x_3^{[r]}}{\Delta H} \right]
\]

\[
\Delta H = H_{22}^C H_{33}^C - (H_{23}^C)^2
\] (8.116)
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- General thin-walled x-s → shear flow distribution is governed by a differential equation, Eq. (8.20)
- Sheet-stringer idealization → shear flow distribution is governed by a difference equation, Eq. (8.116)

Integration constant needs to be determined
- Open section → 0 at stress-free edge
- Closed section → Section 8.3.7

Shear flow resultants
- Figure 8.82 → curved sheet carrying a constant shear flow \( f_{12} \), and connecting 2 stringers, shear force resultant

\[
V_3 = \int_1^2 i_3 \cdot f_{12} ds = f_{12} \int_1^2 dx_3 = f_{12} (x_3^{[2]} - x_3^{[1]})
\]

Similarly,
\[
V_2 = f_{12} (x_2^{[2]} - x_2^{[1]})
\]

\[
V = \sqrt{V_2^2 + V_3^2} = f_{12} \sqrt{(x_2^{[2]} - x_2^{[1]})^2 + (x_3^{[2]} - x_3^{[1]})^2} = f_{12} L_{12}
\]

direction parallel to the line connecting the 2 stringers \( (8.118) \)
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- Moment resulting from the shear flow distribution \( w, r, t \) point \( O \)

\[
M_0 = \int_{1}^{2} f_{12} r_o ds = f_{12} \int_{1}^{2} r_o ds = f_{12} \int A 2dA = f_{12} \hat{A}
\]

\( \hat{A} \) : area of the sector defined by the 2 stringers (Fig. 8.82)

- Distance \( e \) of line of action from \( O \)

\[
e = 2 \hat{A} \frac{f_{12}}{V} = \frac{2\hat{A}}{L_{12}}
\]  

\( (8.119) \)
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8.10.4 Torsion of sheet-stringer sections

- Open section → linear shear stress distribution through thickness, inefficient at carrying torsional loads

\[ H_{11} = G \frac{bt^3}{3} \]

If different thickness for individual sheets

\[ H_{11} = \sum_{\text{sheets}} H_{11i} = \sum_{\text{sheets}} \frac{G_i b_i t_i^3}{3} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8.120)
Example 8.25 Shear flow in a sheet-stringer C-channel section

- C-channel section subjected to a shear load, \( V_3 \), and a bending moment, \( M_2 \).
- \( i_2 \): axis of symmetry, principal centroidal axes.

- Under the bending moment, axial stress will be const. over the top flanges and bottom flanges, but will vary linearly in the web.
- Use Eqs. (8.111) and (8.112) to evaluate the stringers.

\[
A^{[1]} = \frac{1}{2} bt, \quad A^{[2]} = \frac{1}{2} bt + \frac{1}{6} ht,
\]
\[
A^{[3]} = \frac{1}{2} bt + \frac{1}{6} ht, \quad A^{[4]} = \frac{1}{2} bt
\]

- This idealization yields the same bending stiffness as that for the thin-walled section

\[
H_{22}^c = \frac{1}{2} Ebht^2 + \frac{1}{12} Eth^3 = \frac{1}{12} Ebht^2 \left( 6 + \frac{h}{b} \right)
\]
- Equilibrium condition for stringer $A^{[1]}$, Eq. (8.116), yields

\[ \Delta f^{[1]} = f_{12} - 0 \]

- Shear flow in the upper flange

\[ f_{12} = \Delta f^{[1]} = -\frac{V_3}{H_{22}^C} EA^{[1]} \frac{h}{2} = -\frac{3}{6 + h/b} \frac{V_3}{h} \]

- Shear flow in the vertical web

\[ f_{23} = f_{12} - \frac{V_3}{H_{22}^C} EA^{[2]} \frac{h}{2} = -\frac{3}{6 + h/b} \frac{V_3}{h} - \frac{3 + h/b}{6 + h/b} \frac{V_3}{h} = -\frac{V_3}{h} \]

- Shear flow in the lower flange

\[ f_{34} = -\frac{3}{(6 + h/b)} \frac{V_3}{h}, f_{34} = f_{12} \]

- Observation
  • shear flow is const. in each sheet in contrast with the thin-wall solution (Fig. 8.25)
  • Max. shear flow in the sheet-stringer idealization

\[ f_{\text{max}} = \frac{V_3}{h} \]
Max. shear flow in the thin-wall solution

\[ f_{\text{max}} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{(1+4b/h)V_3}{(1+6b/h)h} \]

Thus, sheet-stringer idealization underestimates the true shear flow and thus is not conservative.

- Sheet-stringer idealization exactly satisfy overall equilibrium requirements.
- Torque equipollence about an arbitrary point of the section yields the location of the shear center, \( K \). This result exactly matches the location found using the thin-wall solution.