Fusion Reactor Technology 2 (459.761, 3 Credits)

Prof. Dr. Yong-Su Na (32-206, Tel. 880-7204)

Plasma Confinement

Without magnetic field



With magnetic field



Fluxes to the Surface

• Particle Fluxes

- Ions: diffusing fuel ions (H, D, T)
 - fusion alpha particles
 - diffusing impurities (wall materials, residual gases in
 - chamber adsorbed gases in surface)
 - energetic ions from NBI, ICRH
- Electrons: diffusing plasma electrons runaway electrons
- Neutrals: recycling neutrals
 - NBI neutrals i.e. shine-through
- Fusion neutrons

Fluxes to the Surface

Photon Fluxes

- Bremsstrahlung radiation
- Cyclotron radiation
- Impurity line radiations

• Energy Fluxes

- Particle energies
- Heat conduction
- Radiation energies

• Transient Flux Excursions

- Plasma instabilities can lead to transient heat load excursions.





• Transient Flux Excursions

- Plasma instabilities can lead to transient heat load excursions.



• Localised Flux Excursions



EF

 Loss of fast particles can lead to excessive local heat

loads.

- charge exchange neutrals by heating with NBI
- orbit losses of fast ions
- runaway electrons



• Importance of Plasma-Wall Interaction



KSTAR first H-mode plasma with good shape control (#4202)

Plasma-wall Interactions

Physical/chemical interaction between plasma and (surrounding) surface

- Reflection by backscattering
- Adsorption and desorption
- Physical sputtering: Erosion
- Chemical sputtering
- Vaporisation and melting
- Blistering and flaking
- Electron emission
- Radiation damage and transmutation by 14.1-MeV Neutron

Plasma Plasma Photons hv Berosion Erosion Wall

http://www-rcp.ijs.si/mic/our_work/applications/fusion/fusion.php

- Dust formation

Alteration of surface (wall erosion) and production of particle and photon fluxes → Impurities

- Reflection by Backscattering
- Adsorption and Desorption
 - Residual cooler gases implanted inside wall \rightarrow release of gases
- Physical Sputtering Erosion
 - Ejection of surface atom from (low-temperature) wall as a result of collision cascade in the lattice atoms by particles when acquired energy > surface binding energy
 - Sputtering yield:

$$Y \equiv \frac{ejected \ atoms}{incident \ particles} \ (atoms / particles)$$

$$Y(E) \approx \frac{20}{U_0} (Z_1 Z_2)^2 \frac{m_1}{m_2} \frac{E}{(E + 50 Z_1 Z_2)^2}$$
 semi-theoretical value

- Threshold incident energy to produce sputtering $E = \frac{(m_1 + m_2)^2}{4m_1m_2}U_0$ 굴러들어온 돌이 박힌 돌 빼낸다 . Bad money drives out good.

- Physical Sputtering Erosion₁₀
 - For beryllium and tungsten, theoretical and experimental yields agree very well.
- Carbon shows additional erosion with only weak dependency on impact energy
 - → Chemical erosion



Chemical Sputtering

- Chemical reaction of incident projectiles with target atoms
- Formation of a volatile chemical compound leaving the solid: occurs only for certain target-projectile combinations
- incident particle + surface atom \rightarrow chemical compound + reduced U_0





HFS inner wall

antenna protection/outer wall



• Chemical Sputtering

- Chemical erosion in fusion devices: formation of hydrocarbons: $H + C \rightarrow CH_4 (+C_x H_y)$ formation of carbon oxides: $0 + C \rightarrow CO + CO_2$ reaction with some metals: $O + Metal \rightarrow Metal(O)$ (W above 1000°C) $H + Metal(O) \rightarrow Metal(OH)$ $H + Metal(OH) \rightarrow Metal + H_2O$

- Chemical erosion vanishes at high surface temp.



Vaporisation and Melting

- disruptive instabilities \rightarrow thermal shock on the wall

 \rightarrow spalling, cracking, melting, evaporation

Blistering and Flaking

- Blistering: gas bubble in $\sim \mu$ -thick surface layer (insoluble, He)
- Flaking: blister rupture by lateral stress and surface layer break-

ing





flaking



Vaporisation and Melting

- disruptive instabilities \rightarrow thermal shock on the wall

 \rightarrow spalling, cracking, melting, evaporation

Blistering and Flaking

- Blistering: gas bubble in $\sim \mu$ -thick surface layer (insoluble, He)
- Flaking: blister rupture by lateral stress and surface layer breaking

Electron Emission

- Photoelectric, thermionic, X-ray, secondary

• Radiation Damage and Transmutation by 14.1-MeV Neutron

- Knock-on collision \rightarrow interstitial, spikes, voids, displacements, ...
- Neutron capture reactions: (n,p), $(n,\alpha) \rightarrow$ production of p, α in the first wall \rightarrow swelling, radiation damage of wall, diffusing back to

plasma

• Dust Formation

- ITER definition: solid particles/debris of size about 10 nm-100 µm
- Consequence of PWI/volume polymerization in edge plasma
- Safety and operational issue (limit)





JET IR camera observation after a major disruption

• Dust Formation

- ITER definition: solid particles/debris of size about 10 nm-100 μm
- Consequence of PWI/volume polymerization in edge plasma
- Safety and operational issue (limit)



Mobilised "dusts" (Tore Supra)



Nanoparticles/metal droplet (Tore Supra/JET/KSTAR)

Bremsstrahlung (Braking radiation)

- Process of radiation emission when a charged particle accelerates or decelerates
- Contribution from ions can be neglected due to their heavier mass $(m_p = 1836m_e)$ compared with that of electrons.
- Mainly due to e-i collisions:

in e-e or i-i collisions, radiation fields exactly cancel.

- X-ray wavelength range ($\lambda \sim 10^{-9}$ m): readily escaping from a plasma

$$P_{br} \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-38} n_i n_e Z^2 \sqrt{kT_e} ~(W/m^{-3})$$



• Line Radiation

- Due to radiative decay after electron collision excitation

$$A_{0}^{+z} + e \rightarrow (A_{0}^{+z})^{*} \rightarrow A_{0}^{+z} + \gamma + E_{zl}$$
$$P_{L} \approx 1.8 \times 10^{-38} n_{Z} n_{e} Z^{4} \sqrt{T_{e}} \quad (W/m^{-3})$$

Cyclotron Radiation

- Due to the centripetal acceleration of charged particles owing to the helical motion by magnetic field lines
- contribution from ions can be neglected due to their heavier mass compared with that of electrons.
- In the far infrared radiation spectrum ($\lambda = 10^{-3}$ -10⁻⁴ m): partially re-absorbed in a plasma
- The emitted radiation may be reflected from the surrounding wall in a magnetic confinement fusion device and thereby re-enter the plasma P

$$P_{cyc}^{net} \approx 6.23 \times 10^{-20} n_e B^2 k T_e \psi \quad (W/m^{-3})$$



 Ψ accounting for the complex processes of reflection and reabsorption of cyclotron radiation

http://www.astro.wisc.edu/~bank/index.html

Maximal Permissible Impurity Concentration



At 10 keV

- Low Z (~10): (n_Z/n_{DT})_{max}~10% (Ne)
- Medium Z (~26): (n_z/n_{DT})_{max}~0.5% (Fe)
- High Z (~74): (n_Z/n_{DT})_{max}~0.01% (W)



sustained ignited plasma 2

• Maintain



Wall Surface Control

- Suppression of high-Z impurity formation or impurity formation itself

- 1) Low-Z wall surface
- $\sim \mu m$ coating, < 1 cm curtain or shingle
- C: high chemical sputtering, tritium retention, swelling by neutrons
- Li: evaporation easily
- Be: toxic
- B: He, H production by neutrons $((n,\alpha), (n,p))$

Maximal Permissible Impurity Concentration

Carbon: Why no more interested in fusion reactors?

- Chemical reactions
- Retention
- Swelling by neutrons





Some allotropes of carbon: a) diamond; b) graphite; c) lonsdaleite; d–f) fullerenes (C60, C540, C70); g) amorphous carbon; h) carbon nanotube.

• Wall Surface Control

- Suppression of high-Z impurity formation or impurity formation itself
- 2) Wall modification
- Bake-out (baking)
- Discharge cleaning
- ICRH, ECRH, LH, BNI conditioning
- Boronisation, Siliconisation
- Gettering
- Honey comb surface



Ion Cyclotron Wall Conditioning (ICWC) in KSTAR

ICWC may provide an effective means for remov al of fuel buried in co-deposited layers

JET ICRF conditioning at 25 MHz at 3.3 T and 1.65 T mimicked the ITER situation at full and half field using 40 MHz RF and central resonance



- ICWC procedure developed at JET could remove 52% of the permanent retention, which would correspond to the full expected fuel retention in the main vessel including the divertor apron
- ICWC between or after a series of D-T pulses may offer a potentially a very promising...strategy for T inventory control on ITER

• Boronisation





VEST?

• Boronisation

#25229 (No Boronization)

#25234 (Boronization)



#25229 (No Boronization)



#25234 (Boronization)

• Boronisation



Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Gas blanket model
 - High density cold neutral gas refreshed continuously in boundary region
 - \rightarrow particle and energy flux reduced with low energies
 - \rightarrow wall erosion reduced

Expected thickness \sim 1 m and high pressure drives instabilities

→ impractical!

Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Vacuum model
 - Low particle densities in SOL by limiter and/or divertor
 - \rightarrow reducing particle, energy, impurity fluxes

Plasma Boundary Region Control

 Limiter: a material structure protruding from the main wall used to intercept particles at the plasma edge particularly to stop runaway electrons from damaging the vacuum vessel, to protect the vessel from NBI shine-through, and to shadow in-vessel components from the plasma edge (limiting/defining the plasma size)

 \rightarrow Reflecting neutrals \rightarrow Pumping out

Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Limiter



Last Closed Flux Surfaces (LCFS):

The magnetic surface that touches the innermost part of the limiter

Scrape-off Layer (SOL):

The plasma region located in the limiter shadow i.e. between the LCFS and the vessel wall

Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Limiter problems
 - High heat load and sputtering rate on limiter
 - \rightarrow Impurities \rightarrow Low-Z coating of limiters (C or Be on W)



Plasma Boundary Region Control

 Divertor: Bending outer magnetic fields away from plasma by means of auxiliary magnetic coils → Removing outer layer of plasma to external chamber → Cooling → Neutralising → Pumping away



The magnetic boundary between confined plasma and edge/divertor plasma is called **separatrix = LCFS**

Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Divertor functions
 - Reduction of 1st wall bombardment (unloading):
 - First contact with material surface at a distance from plasma boundary Reduction of impurity flow into plasma (shielding for impurity control): Reducing the influx of ionized impurities into the interior of the plasma by diverting them into an outer SOL
 - Exhaust plasma particles and power and removal of He ash
- Divertor problems
 - Complex coil systems High cost Difficult maintenance

The divertor in ASDEX Upgrade



Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Divertor



Proposed by L. Spitzer for stellarators

- Plasma Boundary Region Control
 - Divertor

- Sun surface: 50 MW/m²

- Divertor: 10~20 MW/m²
- *W^{iter}_{elm}*~20 МЈ

If $W_{ELM} > 1$ MJ: W melting



Plasma Boundary Region Control

- Divertor



I-DTT (Italian Divertor Test Tokamak facility)



Carbon wall refurbished with W divertor+ Be main chamber in 2011





ITER-like-wall



- ITER plans to install a Beryllium fir st wall and Tungsten divertor for th e Tritium phase
 - This material mix has not been test ed so far
- JET is the only machine that can use Beryllium and that can fully characterize plasma scenarios w ith ITER plasma facing material S.





- T retention at carbon wall is unacceptably high for ITER.
- Fuel retention reduced by more than one order of magnitude in ILW.
- Simulations extrapolated to ITER predict that 3000-20000 of 400 s discharges would be feasible for Tritium experiments in ITER.



Shaping in HHF(High Heat Flux) areas



Multi-machine experiments



@2016, ITER Organization 19th ITPA CC Meeting, ITER HQ, 6 December 2015

TG (Toroidal Gap) loading

- TGs are accessible, even with toroidal bevelling
- Helicity of ion orbits introduces asymmetry between inner and outer target toroidal gaps



Ions strike lower toroidal edge Electrons the upper edge Doth TG edges loaded

china eu india japan korea russia usa

Electrons and ions strike upper toroidal edge

IDM UID:

U8KPAT

Heat Flux Control

• Snowflake

.

46

Heat Flux Control

• Super-X divertor

.

47

Heat Flux Control

Super-X divertor

- Negative triangularity

References

- Karl Krieger, "Plasma Wall Interaction and First Wall", IPP Summer School, IPP Garching, September, 2009
- Suk-Ho Hong, "Current plasma-wall interaction activities in KSTAR", SNU Seminar, Seoul, 5 February, 2010