### Fusion Reactor Technology 2 (459.761, 3 Credits)

# **Prof. Dr. Yong-Su Na** (32-206, Tel. 880-7204)

## **H-mode: Limitations**

• Stability of H-mode plasmas related safety factor profile: q(r)



 $q_0 < 1$ : Sawtooth instability, periodic flattening of the pressure in the core

#### **q** = 3/2 and **q** = 2:

Neoclassical Tearing Modes (NTMs):

- limit the achievable  $\beta \equiv 2\mu_0 p/B^2$
- degrade confinement (+ disruptions)
- often triggered by sawteeth

ITER work point is chosen conservatively:  $\beta_N \leq 1.8$ 

 $q_{95} (\propto 1/l_p) = 3$ : Safe operation at max.  $l_p$ 



#### Edge Localised Mode

![](_page_2_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **ELM-induced** disruption

![](_page_2_Picture_5.jpeg)

Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion Vol.26 No.1A pp.49-63, 19840032-1028/84\$3.00+.00Printed in Great Britain©1984 Institute of Physics and Pergamon Press Ltd

CONFINEMENT STUDIES IN L AND H-TYPE ASDEX DISCHARGES

M. Keilhacker and G. Becker, K. Bernhardi, A. Eberhagen, M. ElShaer<sup>1</sup>,
G. Fußmann, O. Gehre, J. Gernhardt, G. v. Gierke, E. Glock, G. Haas,
F.Karger, S. Kissel<sup>2</sup>, O. Klüber, M. Kornherr, K. Lackner, G. Lisitano,
G.G. Lister, J. Massig, H.-M. Mayer, K. McCormick, D. Meisel, E. Meservey<sup>3</sup>,
E.R. Müller, H. Murmann, H. Niedermeyer, W. Poschenrieder, H. Rapp,
B. Richter, H. Röhr, F. Ryter<sup>1</sup>, F. Schneider, G. Siller, P. Smeulders,
F. Söldner, E. Speth, A. Stäbler, K. Steinmetz, K.-H. Steuer,
Z. Szymanski<sup>4</sup>, G. Venus, O. Vollmer, F. Wagner

Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik EURATOM Association, D-8046 Garching

#### ABSTRACT

The characteristics of neutral-beam-heated ASDEX discharges exhibiting either low (L)- or high (H)-confinement are described. H-mode discharges, which are by now observed over a wide range of operating conditions, show a spontaneous improvement in particle and energy confinement after a short L-phase at the beginning of neutral injection. H-discharges yield high  $B_p$ values comparable to the aspect ratio A ( $B_p \leq 2.65 \sim 0.65$  A). The most important parameter for transition to the H-mode seems to be a high edge electron temperature:  $T_e$  values of ~600 eV only a few centimeters inside the separatrix with radial gradients of ~300 eV/cm are measured. This requirement of high edge temperatures explains the lack of success in obtaining the H-regime in limiter discharges. Numerical simulation of the broad  $n_e$  and  $T_e$  profiles typical of H-mode plasmas indicates a reduction in electron thermal diffusivity by a factor of typically 2 over the entire plasma. H-mode energy confinement times are found to scale linearly with current, but to have little dependence on plasma density and absorbed beam power ( $P_{\rm NI} \leq 3.4$  MW). The confinement is degraded by a fast growing mode localized at the plasma edge that may be identified as a kink or tearing mode driven unstable by the high current densities at the edge.

#### 8. Edge Localized Modes

As already discussed in Sec. 2 and in the previous section, the H-phase is repeatedly interrupted by a new MHD phenomenon which severely limits the plasma temperatures and ß values attainable during this high-confinement mode. (The existence of this mode was already reported in ref. /1/.). Since the location of this MHD-phenomenon - as we will see - is at the plasma periphery, we call it the edge localized mode (ELM).

![](_page_4_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 11 ECE-measured electron temperature  $T_e$  at the plasma centre (r = 1 cm) and half-way to the edge (r = -24 cm) showing that the bursts observed during the H-phase are local-ized in the outer part of the plasma.

Journal of Nuclear Materials 121 (1984) 115-125 North-Holland, Amsterdam 115

#### ATTAINMENT OF HIGH CONFINEMENT IN NEUTRAL BEAM HEATED DIVERTOR DISCHARGES IN THE PDX TOKAMAK

S.M. KAYE, M.G. BELL, K. BOL, D. BOYD <sup>1</sup>, K. BRAU, D. BUCHENAUER, R. BUDNY, A. CAVALLO <sup>1</sup>, P. COUTURE <sup>2</sup>, T. CROWLEY, D.S. DARROW, H. EUBANK, R.J. FONCK, R. GOLDSTON, B. GREK, K.P. JAEHNIG, D. JOHNSON, R. KAITA, H. KUGEL, B. LEBLANC <sup>3</sup>, J. MANICKAM, D. MANOS, D. MANSFIELD, E. MAZZUCATO, R. McCANN, D. McCUNE, K. McGUIRE, D. MUELLER, A. MURDOCK <sup>1</sup>, M. OKABAYASHI, K. OKANO <sup>4</sup>, D.K. OWENS, D.E. POST, M. REUSCH, G.L. SCHMIDT, S. SESNIC, R. SLUSHER <sup>5</sup>, S. SUCKEWER, C. SURKO <sup>5</sup>, H. TAKAHASHI, F. TENNEY, H. TOWNER, J. VALLEY <sup>5</sup>

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

<sup>1</sup> University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

<sup>2</sup> Institut de Recherche d'Hydro-Quebec, Varennes, Que, Canada

<sup>3</sup> INRS-Energie, Que, Canada

<sup>4</sup> University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA

The PDX divertor configuration has recently been converted from an open to a closed geometry to inhibit the return of neutral gas from the divertor region to the main chamber. Since then, operation in a regime with high energy confinement in neutral beam heated discharges (ASDEX H-mode) has been routine over a wide range of operating conditions. These H-mode discharges are characterized by a sudden drop in divertor density and  $H_{\alpha}$  emission and a spontaneous rise in main chamber plasma density during neutral beam injection. The confinement time is found to scale nearly linearly with plasma current, but can be degraded due either to the presence of edge instabilities or heavy gas puffing. Detailed Thomson scattering temperature profiles show high values of  $T_e$  near the plasma edge (~450 eV) with sharp radial gradients (~400 eV/cm) near the separatrix. Density profiles are broad and also exhibit steep gradients close to the separatrix.

Journal of Nuclear Materials 121 (1984) 115-125 North-Holland, Amsterdam 115

#### ATTAINMENT OF HIGH CONFINEMENT IN NEUTRAL BEAM HEATED DIVERTOR DISCHARGES IN THE PDX TOKAMAK

S.M. KAYE, M.G. BELL, K. BOL, D. BOYD <sup>1</sup>, K. BRAU, D. BUCHENAUER, R. BUDNY, A. CAVALLO <sup>1</sup>, P. COUTURE <sup>2</sup>, T. CROWLEY, D.S. DARROW, H. EUBANK, R.J. FONCK, R. GOLDSTON, B. GREK, K.P. JAEHNIG, D. JOHNSON, R. KAITA, H. KUGEL, B. LEBLANC <sup>3</sup>, J. MANICKAM, D. MANOS, D. MANSFIELD, E. MAZZUCATO, R. McCANN, D. McCUNE, K. McGUIRE, D. MUELLER, A. MURDOCK <sup>1</sup>, M. OKABAYASHI, K. OKANO <sup>4</sup>, D.K. OWENS, D.E. POST, M. REUSCH, G.L. SCHMIDT, S. SESNIC, R. SLUSHER <sup>5</sup>, S. SUCKEWER, C. SURKO <sup>5</sup>, H. TAKAHASHI, F. TENNEY, H. TOWNER, J. VALLEY <sup>5</sup>

Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA

<sup>1</sup> University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA

<sup>2</sup> Institut de Recherche d'Hydro-Quebec, Varennes, Que, Canada

<sup>3</sup> INRS-Energie, Que, Canada

<sup>4</sup> University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

<sup>5</sup> Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, NJ 07974, USA

The PDX divertor configuration has recently been converted from an open to a closed geometry to inhibit the return of neutral gas from the divertor region to the main chamber. Since then, operation in a regime with high energy confinement in neutral beam heated discharges (ASDEX H-mode) has been routine over a wide range of operating conditions. These H-mode discharges are characterized by a sudden drop in divertor density and  $H_{\alpha}$  emission and a spontaneous rise in main chamber plasma density during neutral beam injection. The confinement time is found to scale nearly linearly with plasma current, but can be degraded due either to the presence of edge instabilities or heavy gas puffing. Detailed Thomson scattering temperature profiles show high values of  $T_e$  near the plasma edge (~450 eV) with sharp radial gradients (~400 eV/cm) near the separatrix. Density profiles are broad and also exhibit steep gradients close to the separatrix.

The H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> spikes, which correlated with a reduction in confinement, are identified by the soft X-ray array as edge relaxation phenomena (ERP's) because of their sawtooth-like structure in the outer plasma. The top trace in fig. 4a is the divertor H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> emission on an expanded time scale, and three large H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> spikes are clearly observed. The second trace in fig. 4a is a Mirnov coil signal and represents the magnetic flucutation amplitude in the plasma. While the first H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub> spike is not associated with oscillatory MHD activity, the later two are accompanied by bursting m = 1 activity. This MHD is identified as low amplitude fishbone activity [17] in

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

- H(or Balmer)-alpha ( $H_{\alpha}$ ) is a specific red visible spectral line created by hydrogen with a wavelength of 656.28 nm, which occurs when a hydrogen electron falls from its third to second lowest energy level.
- It is difficult for humans to see H-alpha at night, but due to the abundance of hydrogen in space, H-alpha is often the brightest wavelength of visible light in stellar astronomy.

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Because of the different masses of H and D, the Balmer emission lines from D are at slightly shorter wavelengths than those from H;  $\lambda_D/\lambda_H \sim 1-m_e/2m_p$ 

#### wall $11 H_2 \rightarrow H + H^* \rightarrow H + H$ height z=0.02m (α=135.9°) $H \xrightarrow{e} H^{+} + e$ $H_{2} + H_{2} \xrightarrow{} H_{2} + H_{2}$ $H_{2} + H^{+} \xrightarrow{} H_{2} + H^{+}$ $H_{2} + H^{+} \xrightarrow{} H_{2} + H^{+}$ $H_{1} + H^{+} \xrightarrow{} H + H^{+}$ $H_{2} \xrightarrow{} H + H_{2}$ experiment total $4 H_2 + H^{\dagger} \rightarrow H_2^{\dagger} + H_1 H_2^{\dagger} \rightarrow H + H^{\dagger}$ $7 H_2 \rightarrow H_2^* \rightarrow H_2(v=CONT.) \rightarrow H + H$ 6 - $H_2 \longrightarrow H_2(b) \longrightarrow H + H$ 14 $H_2$ + e $\rightarrow$ $H_2^+$ + 2e, <sup>™</sup> H₂(v+1 or v-1) $H_2^+ \rightarrow H + H^+$ $H_2^* \longrightarrow H_2(b) \longrightarrow H + H$ 000 $1 H_2 \rightarrow H_2(b) \rightarrow H + H$ Intensity (arb. units) ♣ $\rightarrow$ H<sub>2</sub>(v=cont.) $\rightarrow$ H + H $H_{\circ} \rightarrow H_{\circ}(v=CONT.) \rightarrow H + H$ 0 `**^** H⁺ + H + e H₂ + H⁺ → H₂≁ H, H₂⁺ + e → H + H 8 $16 H_3 \rightarrow H + H^*$ $H_{2}^{+}(v') + e$ $5 \text{ H}_{3} + \text{H}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \text{H}_{3}^{\dagger} + \text{H}$ H + H\* + e H<sup>⁺</sup>—e→ H $H_2 \rightarrow H_2^* \rightarrow H_2(b) \rightarrow H + H$ 0 þ $\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} H \\ \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} H^{+} + e \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} H_{2}^{+} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} H_{3}^{+} + H \\ H_{3}^{+} \stackrel{\bullet}{\longrightarrow} H + H + H \end{array}$ 8 H<sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ H<sub>2</sub>\*, H<sub>2</sub>\* + e $\rightarrow$ H + H<sup>+</sup> d 0 0 $H^{+} + H + e$ $9 \text{ H}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2^*, \text{H}_2^* + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H}_2^+, \text{H}_2^+ + \text{e} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{H}_2^+$ <u>→</u> H<sub>2</sub> + H $H_{2}^{+}(v') + e$ 0000 ,10 $H_2 \rightarrow H_2^*$ , $H_2^* + e \rightarrow H_2^+ \rightarrow H + H^+$ $15 H + H^{+} \rightarrow H^{+} + H$ $H_2(v=14) \longrightarrow H_2(v=cont.) \longrightarrow H + H$ 12 $H_a \rightarrow H + H^*$ . $H + H^* + e \rightarrow H + H^{\dagger}$ 2 - $H_2 \longrightarrow H_2^+(v') + H_2$ $H_{h}$ ≠ e → $H_{2}^{+}$ + 2e, $H_{2}^{+}$ + e → H + H $H_2^{+}(v') \longrightarrow H_2^{+}(v'')$ $17 \text{ H}^{+}_{2} + e \rightarrow \text{H}^{+}$ $H_2^{+}(V'') \longrightarrow H + H^{+}$ <sup>∼®</sup>→ H + H\*----> H + H<sup>⁺</sup> <u>≻</u>Н+Н\*—→н+н 656.4 656.6 656.0 656.2

Wavelength (nm)

Molecular effects on H Balmer line intensity

K. Sawada et al. J. Appl. Phys. **73** 8122 (1993) K. Sawada, T. Fujimoto, J. Appl. Phys. **78** 2913 (1995) H.-K. Chung, SNU seminar (2013)

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Poloidal cross section of EAST showing key divertor/SOL diagnostics and divertor gas puff locations
  - CIII: Line emission of C++ ions
  - Dα: Balmer-alpha emission of deuterium
  - GP: Gas Puff Inlet
  - GPI: Gas Puff Imaging
  - IM: Inner Midplane
  - LO(I): Lower Outboard (Inboard) divertor
  - LP: Langmuir Probe
  - RLP: Reciprocating Langmuir Probe
  - U(L)D: Upper (Lower) divertor Dome
  - UO(I): Upper Outboard (Inboard) divertor

#### Edge Localised Modes (ELMs)

- First observed upon discovery of the H-mode in auxiliary heated divertor plasmas in ASDEX (1984)
- Subsequently universally observed in all divertor tokamaks and also in limiter tokamaks in certain operational regimes
- localized in the plasma edge region (defined roughly as comprising the last 5% of the closed flux surfaces) of a tokamak
- MHD instability in the plasma edge occurs when the edge  $\nabla p$  exceeds a critical threshold
  - $\rightarrow$  loss of edge confinement
  - $\rightarrow$  temporary reduction of the  $\nabla p$
  - $\rightarrow$  eventual recovery of the  $\nabla p$
  - $\rightarrow$  recurrence of the ELM
- This cycle, which continues indefinitely in a sustained H-mode discharge is a ubiquitous feature of such long pulse H-mode plasmas: ELMing (or ELMy) H-mode.

#### Edge Localised Modes (ELMs)

- Characteristic sharp periodic increases in  $D_{\alpha}$  (or  $H_{\alpha}$ ) em the divertor or limiter region caused by a temporary br  $\frac{\overline{5}}{\overline{2}}$  the H-mode edge confinement barrier (reduction of  $\nabla p$ )
- → Plasma particles and energy are expelled, and the entrecycling increases  $D_{\alpha}$  emission.
- ELMs also accompanied by various edge region fluctuation (both magnetic and kinetic) and localized bursts of MHD activity, including magnetic precursors (e.g. directly observable change in the edge region plasma temperature and density profiles and energy content)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

17 /26

### **Structure and Phase of ELMs**

#### **Phase of the ELMs**

Precursor (200-500us) coherent density precursor (5-25 kgz) Collapse (100-350us) collapse of density pedestal Recovery (200-500us) recovery of density pedestal overshoot due to divertor recycling Relaxation (6-10ms)

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

Figure 2. Waveforms of (*a*) phase change of the reflectometer signal, (*b*)  $D_{\alpha}$  intensity at the inner (grey) and outer (black) divertors, (*c*) magnetic fluctuation at the outer midplane, (*d*) and (*e*) line-integrated density at the HFS and LFS, respectively. (*f*)–(*j*) show the magnified waveforms corresponding to the region between the two dotted lines on the left-hand side figures for a 1.5 ms time window. The sampling time of the reflectometer,  $D_{\alpha}$  intensity and magnetic probe is 1  $\mu$ s, and that of the interferometer is 5  $\mu$ s. All diagnostics are

\* N. Oyama, Nuclear Fusion, 2004 synchronized within 5 µs.

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

18 /26

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Precursors of ELMs

#### **Characteristics**

frequency: < 25 kHz **duration:** ~ 1 ms (until ~ 0.2 ms before crash) propagation: ion diamagnetic drift *localization:* in the pedestal (1 – 1.5 cm) toroidal mode number: 1 ~ 13

#### **ELM Triggering**

not strongly related with ELM triggering absent in high collisionality discharges

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

Figure 4. Edge ECE signals together with the distance of the measurement radii to the separatrix as calculated by EFIT. The second picture shows a zoom of the shorter precursor prior to the first ELM.

![](_page_17_Figure_9.jpeg)

Figure 1. (a) Plasma configuration for ELM precursor measurement together with the line of sight of two FIR interferometers,  $D_{\alpha}$  intensity, reflectometer and measured location of ECE radiometer. Waveforms of (b)  $D_{\alpha}$  intensity at outer divertor, (c) line-integrated density, (d) phase change of reflectometer signal and (e) ECE intensity of radiometer.

#### Characteristics of ELM Precursor Measured by Two-dimensional Beam Emission Spectroscopy in

#### Example of ELM precursor

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

J-W. Ahn, et al, NF 52 114001 (2012)

#### ELM Oscillations

 Current driven (peeling mode) and pressure driven (ballooning mode) combined instability

#### **Peeling-Ballooning model for ELM cycle**

![](_page_20_Figure_2.jpeg)

normalised edge pressure gradient

J. W. Connor et al, Physics of Plasmas 5 2687 (1998)

#### • Peeling-Ballooning model for ELM cycle

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

- The ELM cycle starts with a low pressure gradient as a result of the previous ELM crash that has removed the edge pressure "pedestal".
- Due to the edge transport barrier, the edge pressure pedestal develops

quickly (1).

- The growth of the pedestal stops at the so called "ballooning stability" limit (2).
- Due to the pressure pedestal, the bootstrap current – which is proportional to the pressure and temperature gradients – starts to grow. Eventually, the bootstrap current destabilizes an effect known

as "ideal peeling" which leads to an ELM crash (3) and the loss of the edge

pressure pedestal (4).

The cycle then restarts from the

#### **Peeling-Ballooning model for ELM cycle**

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

- 1.  $\nabla p$  rises on transport time scale
- 2.  $\nabla p$  clamped by high n ballooning,  $\frac{2}{3}$ edge current density rises on resistive time scale
- Medium n instability ("peeling") p and j lost until stable again

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

\* P.T.Lang, Nuclear Fusion, 2013

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

 Fast cameras in MAST allow identifying the filaments detaching from plasma at high speed (~several km/s)

#### **Edge Localized Mode**

26 /26

### Structure and Phase of ELMs

#### Structure of the ELMs

#### **Filamentary structure**

spatially (3D) localized toroidal mode number  $\sim 10$ perpendicular wavenumber

#### \* A. Kirk, Physical Review Letters, 2004

FIG. 2. Thomson scattering profiles of the outboard edge highly elongated along field linesity in normalized flux coordinates at different times with respect to the start of a similar ELM. (a) Before  $(t_{\text{ELM}} -$ 770 ms), (b) in the middle of the ELM rise ( $t_{\text{ELM}}$  + 140 ms), and (c) near the end of the ELM rise time ( $t_{\text{ELM}}$  + 180 ms). A schematic of the proposed magnetic geometry in the poloidal **P**eriods is shown in (d), (e), and (f), respectively.

![](_page_25_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_8.jpeg)

(10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>)

#### \* Y. Sechrest, Nuclear Fusion, 2012

Figure 2. Multiframe image stills of an ELM event with precursor intensity fluctuations from shot 141918. The time between frames is  $\sim$ 7.5  $\mu$ s. Distinct mode structure can be seen in precursor oscillations leading to the ejection of the filament in the last two frames. The approximate location of the separatrix is indicated by the dashed line.

Characteristics of ELM Precursor Measured by Two-dimensional Beam Emission Spectroscopy in

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

Standard ELM dynamics in the KSTAR visualized by an ECEI system\*

\* G.S. Yun et al., PRL (2011)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### (1) Initial Growth **&T**<sub>\*</sub>/*¶*<sub>\*</sub>**T**<sup>′</sup> 20 2 0.15 3 **100 μs** 200 µS <u>0</u> μs 15 0.1 10 5 z [cm] 0.05 0 -5 0 -10 -0.05 -15 LOFS -20 -0.1 215 220 225 215 220 225 215 220 225 R [cm] R [cm] R [cm]

### (2) Saturation

![](_page_26_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

### (3) Transient Period

Very short (< 50  $\mu$ s) period preceding the crash. The filaments almost disappear and then re-emerge with a reduced *m* 

### (4) $\tilde{E}LM$ Crash = Multiple bursts of the filaments

#### ne first burst during an ELM crash event

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### nother burst during the same ELM crash event

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

R

- Fast burst < 50 μs
- Localized burst zone (both poloidally and toroidally)
- Convective and localized transport
- Poloidal rotation of the burst point slows down compared to the rest of the filament region.

 Non-linear MHD simulations with JOREK reproduce the formation of multiple filaments expulsed from plasma

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

Evolution of n = 6 ballooning mode

Huysmans, Czarny, NF **47** 659 (2007) 30

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### By SangKyeun Kim (SNU)

#### Type of ELMs

- Several types with different amplitudes, frequencies and power dependencies
- At least three major types of ELMs have been defined.
- In a given experiment, the level of the plasma heating power, *P*, or, more directly, the net power reaching the plasma edge

 $P_{edge} = P - P_{rad}$  is a key factor in determining the ELM type.

#### Type of ELMs

- 'dithering' ELMs: For heating input or edge power levels at the corresponding L-H transition threshold. These are believed to be transitions back and forth between L-mode and H-mode.
- Type III (or 'small'): small amplitude, high frequency, occurring when the flow of power to the plasma edge is only a little above the L-H transition threshold. Their frequency decreases with

#### power.

- ELM free: instabilities absent. As the power increases further, the type III ELMs tend to disappear and an ELM free H-mode may be encountered. Sometimes leading to the accumulation of heavy impurities in the central region of plasma → advantage of ELMs
- Type I (sometimes called 'giant'): high amplitude, low frequency when the power flow substantially exceeds the threshold. Their frequency increases with increasing power.

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### • Type of ELMs

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Divertor region  $D_{\alpha}$  intensity in a typical DIII-D plasma with slowly increasing NBI power
  - Low amplitude type III ELMs appear after the L-H transition, when low NBI power is applied, and disappear as power is slowly increased.
- Larger type I ELMs with increasing free que in crue appear atsibigh 20000099) 35

Dithering or I-phase

### Feedback Loops I

- Closing the loop of shearing and Reynolds work
- Spectral 'Predator-Prey' equations

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

15

NFR National Fusion Research Institute

![](_page_35_Figure_6.jpeg)

Prey 
$$\rightarrow$$
 Drift waves,  $\langle N \rangle$   
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle N \rangle - \frac{\partial}{\partial k_r} D_k \frac{\partial}{\partial k_r} \langle N \rangle = \gamma_k \langle N \rangle - \frac{\Delta \omega_k}{N_0} \langle N \rangle^2$   
Predator  $\rightarrow$  Zonal flow,  $|\phi_q|^2$   
 $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\phi_q|^2 = \Gamma_q \left[ \frac{\partial \langle N \rangle}{\partial k_r} \right] |\phi_q|^2 - \gamma_d |\phi_q|^2 - \gamma_{NL} [|\phi_q|^2] |\phi_q$ 

₹UCSD

12
### **Dithering or I-phase Feedback Loops II**

Recovering the 'dual cascade': ٠

- Prey  $\rightarrow \langle N \rangle \sim \langle \Omega \rangle \Rightarrow$  induced diffusion to high k<sub>r</sub> -

Analogous → forward potential enstrophy cascade; PV transport

- Predator 
$$\rightarrow |\phi_q|^2 \sim \langle V_{E,\theta}^2 \rangle$$

⇒ growth of *n*=0, *m*=0 Z.F. by turbulent Reynolds work ⇒ Analogous → inverse energy cascade

#### System Status

Mean Field Predator-Prey Model ٠ (P.D. et. al. '94, DI<sup>2</sup>H '05)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}N = \gamma N - \alpha V^2 N - \Delta \omega N^2$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V^2 = \alpha N V^2 - \gamma_d V^2 - \gamma_{NL} (V^2) V^2$$

State	No flow	Flow $(\alpha_2 = 0)$	Flow $(\alpha_2 \neq 0)$ $\frac{\gamma_d + \alpha_2 \gamma \alpha^{-1}}{\alpha + \Delta \omega \alpha_2 \alpha^{-1}}$	
N (drift wave turbulence level)	$\frac{\gamma}{\Delta \omega}$	$\frac{\gamma_d}{\alpha}$		
V <sup>2</sup> (mean square flow)	$0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} - \frac{\Delta \omega \gamma_d}{\alpha^2}$		$\frac{\gamma - \Delta \omega \gamma_3 \alpha^{-1}}{\alpha + \Delta \omega \alpha_2 \alpha^{-1}}$	
Drive/excitation mechanism	Linear growth	Linear growth	Linear growth Nonlinear damping of flow	
Regulation/inhibition mechanism	Self-interaction of turbulence	Random shearing, self-interaction	Random shearing, self-interaction	
Branching ratio $\frac{V^2}{N}$	0	$\frac{\gamma - \Delta \omega \gamma_d \alpha^{-1}}{\gamma_d}$	$\frac{\gamma - \Delta \omega \gamma_3 \alpha^{-1}}{\gamma_3 + \alpha_2 \gamma \alpha^{-1}}$	
Threshold (without noise)	$\gamma > 0$	$\gamma > \Delta \omega \gamma_d \alpha^{-1}$	$\gamma > \Delta \omega \gamma_0 \alpha^{-1}$	



37

National Fusion Research Institute 16

# Dithering or I-phase Feedback Loops III

- $\nabla P$  coupling  $\downarrow V_{L} drive$   $\langle V_{E} \rangle'$   $\partial_{t} \varepsilon = \varepsilon N - a_{1} \varepsilon^{2} - a_{2} V^{2} \varepsilon - a_{3} V_{ZF}^{2} \varepsilon$   $\partial_{t} V_{ZF} = b_{1} \frac{\varepsilon V_{ZF}}{1 + b_{2} V^{2}} - b_{3} V_{ZF}$   $\partial_{t} N = -c_{1} \varepsilon N - c_{2} N + Q$   $\partial_{t} N = -c_{1} \varepsilon N - c_{2} N + Q$   $\varepsilon \equiv DW$  energy  $V_{ZF} \equiv ZF$  shear  $N \equiv \nabla \langle P \rangle \equiv \text{ pressure gradient}$  $V = dN^{2}$  (radial force balance)
- Simplest example of 2 predator + 1 prey problem (E. Kim, P.D., 2003)
  - i.e. prey sustains predators predators limit prey  $\int usual feedback$ now:  $\int 2 \text{ predators } (ZF, \nabla \langle P \rangle) \text{ compete}$  $\nabla \langle P \rangle \text{ as both drive and predator}$
- Relevance: LH transition, ITB
  - Builds on insights from Itoh's, Hinton
  - ZF  $\Rightarrow$  triggers
  - $\nabla \langle P \rangle \Rightarrow \text{'locking in'}$

Multiple predators are possible





P. H. Diamond, Seminar at SNU, 11 July 2012

38

### • Dithering or I-phase Feedback Loops III, cont'd



#### Observations:

- ZF's trigger transition,  $\nabla \langle P \rangle$  and  $\langle V \rangle$  lock it in
- Period of dithering, pulsations .... during ZF,  $\nabla \langle P \rangle$  oscillation as Q  $\uparrow$
- Phase between  $\mathcal{E}$  ,  $V_{ZF}$ ,  $\nabla \langle \mathcal{P} \rangle$  varies as Q increases
- $\nabla \langle P \rangle \Leftrightarrow$  ZF interaction  $\Rightarrow$  effect on wave form



P. H. Diamond, Seminar at SNU, 11 July 2012

39



### Edge Localised Mode (ELM) KSTAR



- Power scan shows *f*<sub>ELM</sub> goes up with increasing NBI power [] type-I ELMs
- Large peaks of mixed ELM also follows

the characteristics of type-I ELMs with the combined power of NBI+ECH *J-W. Ahn, et al, NF* **52** 114001 (2012)





- ELM behaviour constant over pulse
- Very fine scale activity: distinct ELMs almost indistinguishable



• No sawteeth, good confinement, and  $\beta_N \sim 3.5$ ,  $T_i \sim T_e$ ,  $< n_e > /n_{GW} \sim 0.88$ , averaged over 3.6 seconds (~ 50  $\tau_E$ ).



#### • Type II (or 'grassy') ELMs



- confinement not degraded, relatively small impurity accumulation, lower heat load on divertor
- associated with strongly shaped tokamaks at high edge pressure when there is access to 2<sup>nd</sup> stability at the plasma edge.
- High values of the parameter  $s/q^2$  in the plasma edge appear to be the principal factor in determining the onset of type II ELMs.

#### • Type II (or 'grassy') ELMs

- Numerical stability analysis is performed to identify the origin of 'grassy ELMs' on the basis of current understanding of kinetic effects on ballooning mode stability.
- Short wavelength ballooning mode can play an important role in a grassy ELM stability even when kinetic effects are taken into account.
- lower  $\kappa$  is preferable to realize a grassy ELM plasma due to destabilizing ballooning mode by preventing access to the 2<sup>nd</sup> stability region of the ballooning mode.
- $\omega^*$  and sound wave correction is made to P-B modes

N. Aiba, N. Oyama, NF **52** 114002 (2012)

#### • Type II (or 'grassy') ELMs



N. Aiba, N. Oyama, NF **52** 114002 (2012)

#### Type of ELMs



Normalized pressure gradient (α)

- Several types of ELMs are envisioned in the framework of ideal MHD theory
- Edge (pedestal) current density  $(j_{edge})$  → Peeling instability
- Pressure gradient ( $p'_{edge}$ ) → □Ballooning instability
- Bootstrap current ( $j_{BS}$ ) links  $j_{edge}$  and  $p'_{edge}$
- Toroidal mode number (n) increases from peeling to the

#### ballooning side

# **ASIPP**

#### • Type of ELMs

ELI	M type	$\Delta W_{\rm dia}/W_{\rm dia}$	$\Delta W_{\rm div}/W_{\rm dia}$	$q_{\rm peak}~({\rm MW~m^{-2}})$	$H_{98}(y,2)$	$f_{\rm ELM}~({\rm Hz})$
Type I	ıd	8%	5%	~10	~1	<50
Compoun		4.5%	5% (in a few ms)	3–5	~1	~50
Small	Type III	Undetected	1–2%	2	0.5–0.8	0.2–0.8k
	Type-II like	Too small t	o be measured	<1	0.8–0.85	0.8–1.5k

L. Wang et al., Nucl. Fusion 53 073028 (2013)



• **Type of ELMs:** H-mode operational diagram



Measured data at 2 cm inside the separatrix (corresponding to the top of the H-mode pedestal)

- Boundaries indicating different types of confinement regime marked

- The limiting bound of edge pressure (nT) corresponds closely to the predicted  $\nabla p$  for onset of ideal MHD ballooning limit for type I ELMs.
- Discharges can sit at the ballooning limit for some time before an ELM occurs → suggesting the need for an additional trigger, such as a low-n edge localized 'peeling' mode.

ASDEX Upgrade

### Revised ELM Divertor heat flux Scaling Projects to smaller E LMs in ITER

- Peak ELM heat load proportion al to machine size and pedestal pressure
- Projection for ITER significantly lower than previous estimates (10x reduction)
- ELM simulation with JOREK rep roduces empirical scaling

Litaudon, FEC2016, OV1-4 Pamela, FEC2016, TH/8-2

$$\varepsilon_{\parallel}$$
 (MJ/m<sup>2</sup>)  $\propto$  R<sup>1.0</sup> n<sup>0.75</sup><sub>e, ped</sub> T<sup>0.98</sup><sub>e, ped</sub> ( $\Delta$ W/W)<sup>0.5</sup>





#### Type of ELMs



- During the H-L transition phase
- Frequency of relaxation oscillations grows gradually, the amplitude decays, and towards the end of the ELM a transition from H- to L-mode confinement occurs.

### Fusion Reactor Technology 2 (459.761, 3 Credits)

# **Prof. Dr. Yong-Su Na** (32-206, Tel. 880-7204)







### **EDA-mode**

H.W. What is EDA-mode? In which devices is it being observed?

### **QH-mode**

H.W. What is QH-mode? In which devices is it being observed?

33 Hz

5

0.5

0.4





**1 ms** 

3

TIME (s)

2

# **Edge Localised Mode (ELM)**







WWW MANNA MANNA

WMHD (MJ)

Pellet monitor

D<sub>α</sub> ELM monitor(a.u.)

#### Control of ELMs: Pellet pace making simulation



Kimin Kim et al, Nuclear Fusion **50** 055002 (2010)

#### Control of ELMs: RMP (Resonant Magnetic Perturbation)

Published online: 21 May 2006: doi:10.1038/nphys312

Edge stability and transport resonant magnetic pertur

TODD E. EVANS<sup>1</sup>\*, RICHARD A. MOYER<sup>2</sup>, KEITH H. BURRELL<sup>1</sup>, ILON JOSEPH<sup>2</sup>, ANTHONY W. LEONARD<sup>1</sup>, THOMAS H. OSBORN MICHAEL J. SCHAFFER<sup>1</sup>, PHILIP B. SNYDER<sup>1</sup>, PAUL R. THOMA AND WILLIAM P. WEST<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>General Atomics, San Diego, California 92186-5608, USA
<sup>2</sup>University of California, San Diego, California 92093-0417, USA
<sup>3</sup>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California 94551-0808, USA
<sup>4</sup>Association EURATOM-CEA, CEA Cadarache, F-13108, St. Paul Lez Durance, France
<sup>5</sup>Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87185, USA
\*e-mail: evans@fusion.gat.com

A critical issue for fusion-plasma research is the erosion of the first wall of the experimental device due to impulsive heating from repetitive edge magneto-hydrodynamic instabilities known as 'edge-localized modes' (ELMs). Here, we show that the addition of small resonant magnetic field perturbations completely eliminates ELMs while maintaining a steady-state high-confinement (H-mode) plasma. These perturbations induce a chaotic behaviour in the magnetic field lines, which reduces the edge pressure gradient below the ELM instability threshold. The pressure gradient reduction results from a reduction in the particle content of the plasma, rather than an increase in the electron thermal transport. This is inconsistent with the predictions of stochastic electron heat transport theory. These results provide a first experimental test of stochastic transport theory in a highly rotating, hot, collisionless plasma and demonstrate a promising solution to the critical issue of controlling edge instabilities in fusion-plasma devices.

nature physics | ADVANCE ONLINE PUBLICATION | www.nature.com/naturephysics



#### **Edge Localised Mode (ELM)** a T<sub>e</sub> (keV) — 3 kA 4.0 25 - 2 kA Shot 122336 – 0 kA 0.90 Ō.85 0.95 1.00 Normalized flux $(\psi_N)$ 20 3.8 $q_{95}$ b ${\it D}_{lpha}$ intensity (a.u.) $q_{95} = 11/3$ 15 3.6 7<sub>i</sub> (keV) $q_{95}$ ELM 10 3.4 amplitude I-coil = 3 kA0 E\_\_\_\_\_ 0.85 0.90 0.95 1.00 Normalized flux ( $\psi_N$ ) 5 3.2 C 3.0 0 $\eta_{\rm e}~(10^{19}\,{\rm m}^{-3})$ 3 2 4 Time (s) 0 Ĕ\_\_\_ 0.85 0.90 0.95 1.00 Normalized flux $(\psi_N)$

9

Control of ELMs: RMP (Resonant Magnetic Perturbation)





Courtesy from Jong-kyu Park (PPPL) 11

### **KSTAR Has A Versatile In-Vessel Control Coil (IVCC) S** ystem



- Four coils for position control and FEC (or RWM)

Each coil is split into four quadrants (or segments)

and inserted into the vessel through the vacuum



### KSTAR Has A Versatile In-Vessel Control Coil (IVCC) S ystem



NTM mini Workshop - Y.M. Jeon

# KSTAR Has A Versatile In-Vessel Control Coil (IVCC) S ystem





NTM mini Workshop - Y.M. Jeon

### **KSTAR Can Provide Wide Spectra of Magnetic Perturb** ations

**KSTAR** 

n=1

- 3-by-4 3D field coils available having 2 turns for each
  - all internal and segmented with saddle loop configurations
  - n=1 and 2 applicable
- Wide spectra of magnetic perturbations are possible
  - Poloidal helicity change for n=1
  - Even/odd parity change for n=2



Top FEC

### **ELM Mitigation/Suppression by 3D-MP**

- COMPASS-D (n=1): triggered (2001)
- DIII-D (n=3): suppressed (2004)
- JET (n=1 or 2): mitigated (2007)
- NSTX (n=3): triggered (2010)
- MAST (n=3): mitigated (2011)
- ASDEX-U (n=2): mitigated/suppressed (2011)
- KSTAR (n=1): ELMs suppressed (2011)





### MP ELM controls in other tokamaks



- DIII-D (TE Evans et al, PRL 2004)
  - n=3; ELMs suppressed.
  - Stochastic boundary claimed.
- JET (Y Liang et al, PRL 2007)
  - n=1; ELMs mitigated (i.e. reduced crash a mplitude)
- ASDEX-U (W Suttrop et al, PRL 2011)



### Applicable Spectra of n=1 and n=2 MP









n=1, mid-RMP alone









# n=1 MP applied



- Injection time =  $3 \sim 6$  s
- Current in each coil = 1.5 kA
- Relative phase = 90° btw adjacent coil sets



<



(co-current)

Poloidal direction



### ELMs Suppressed For the First Time by n=1, +90 RMP



- 90 phasing RMP strongly mitigated or suppressed ELMs
  - In JET, ELM mitigated by n=1 (Y.Liang, PRL, 2007)
- Two distinctive phases observed (1)ELM excitation phase (2)ELM suppression phase
- Density (~10%) pumping out initially. Then, increased when ELM suppressed
- Stored energy drop by ~8% initially. Then slightly increased or sustained when ELM suppressed
- **Rotation** decreased (~10%) initially. Then sustained when ELM suppressed
- Te/Ti changes were relatively small

20
### Three phases





### Changes of the ELM structure



### **Demonstrated for the 1<sup>st</sup> time Edge magnetic t opology change by LHCD** ASIPP



#### **Helical Radiation Belts (helical current sheets)**



**Poloidal Projection of SOL Field Lines** 

**Change of Edge Magnetic Topology** 



Y. Liang, et al., PRL 110 235002 (2013)

### Strong mitigation of ELMs with LHCD



• ICRF-dominated + 10Hz LHW modulation (LHW-off: 50ms ~  $\frac{1}{2}\tau_{\rm E}$ )

• 
$$H_{98}$$
=0.8;  $W_{dia}|_{L\Box H}$ : 50 []100kJ

- •LHW off:  $f_{ELM} \sim 150$ Hz
- LHW on: ELMs disappear or sporadically appear w/ f<sub>ELM</sub>~600Hz
- ◆Peak particle flux: ↓ by 2-4
- $W_{dia}$  varied slightly: within ±5%
- A quick reduction of Γ<sub>i,div</sub> during inter Film for an , berge emovation 2 (2013)

### Flexible boundary control with LHCD



- The long pulse H-mode was achieved with dominant LHCD, with additional ICRH.
- LHCD induces n=1 helical currents at edge, leading to 3D distortion of magnetic topology, similar to RMP.
- LHCD appears to be effective at controlling ELMs over a broad range q<sub>95</sub>, in contrast to fixed RMP coils.

ASIPP

### **ELM control by SMBI**

### **SMBI:** Supersonic Molecular Beam Injection, Initially developed by SWIP (CN), successfully applied on HL-2A, KSTAR & EAST



ASIPP

### SHF can be actively controlled with SMBI ASIPP



- Striated Heat Flux (SHF) region in the far-SOL can be actively controlled with SMBI.
- Characteristic of LHCD heating scheme
- SMBI significantly enhancing SHF, while reducing peak heat fluxes near strike point.
- Achieving similar results with conventional gas puff or Ar seeding.

### SHF can be actively controlled by regulating edge particle fluxes



- For SHF:  $q_{\text{SHF}} \sim \Gamma_i T_{\text{ped}}$ ,  $T_{\text{ped}} \sim 350 \text{ eV}$  $\Box q_{\text{SHF}}$  increases with  $\Gamma_i$ .
- At OST:  $q_{OST} \sim \Gamma_i T_{div}$ ,  $T_{div} \sim \Gamma_i^{-1}$ ,  $\Box q_{OST}$  remains similar.
- A unique physics feature of ergodized plasma edge by LHCD.
- Allowing control of the ratio of *q<sub>SHF</sub>/q<sub>OST</sub>*, thus divertor power deposition area via control of divertor plasma conditions.
  J. Li et al., Nature Phys. 9 817 (2013)

### **ELM Control by SMBI**



Jayhyun Kim et al., Nucl. Fusion **52** 114011 (2012) <sup>29</sup>

### **Lithium wall conditionings**

## Reduce recycling Suppress impurities Benefit ICRF &LHCD coupling Mitigate ELMs

- Increasing Li Coverage (85% @2012 vs 30% @2010)
- Active Li injection to help operate long pulse H-mode
- Need one more oven for full surface coating.











### Demonstrated for the 1<sup>st</sup> time ELM Pacing by Innovative Li-granule Injection ASIPP



Triggering ELMs (~25 Hz) with 0.7 mm Li granules @ ~45 m/s.
 ELM trigger efficiency after L-H transition: ~100%.
 Much lower divertor particle/heat loads than intrinsic type-I ELMs.

31

D. Mansfield et al., Nucl. Fusion 53 113023 (2013)

### Achieved long pulse H-mode over 30s w/ small ELMs to minimize transient heat load



### **ELM Control by ECH/CD**





ECH/CD to change the peeling-ballooning boundary

Jayhyun Kim et al., Nucl. Fusion **52** 114011 (2012) <sup>33</sup>

### **ELM Control by Vertical Jogs**



- Experiments on the TCV tokamak have shown that rapid vertical movement of diverted ELMy H-mode plasmas can affect the time sequence of ELMs.
- The effect is attributed to the induction of an edge current during the movement of the plasma column in the spatially inhomogeneous vacuum field of a single-null configuration.

A.W. Degeling et al., PPCF **45** 1637 (2003)

CRPP

# ELM Control by Vertical Jogs



Jayhyun Kim et al., Nucl. Fusion **52** 114011 (2012) <sup>35</sup>