# Topics in Ship Structural Design (Hull Buckling and Ultimate Strength)

# Lecture 7 Elastic Buckling of Stiffened Panels

Reference : Ship Structural Design Ch.13 NAOE Jang, Beom Seon



**OPen INteractive Structural Lab** 

## Reference

# **Comparison between Buckling and Ultimate Strength of plating**

- Plate capacity interactions between biaxial compression
  - FEA, Buckling, Ultimate strength, a / b = 3, t = 13mm





### Reference

# **Comparison between Buckling and Ultimate Strength of plating**

- Plate capacity interactions between biaxial compression
  - FEA, Buckling, Ultimate strength, a / b = 3, t = 21 mm





## **Elastic Buckling of Stiffened Panels**

## Two types of buckling

- Stiffened panels can buckle in essentially two different ways: overall buckling and local buckling.
- Overall buckling : stiffeners buckles along with the plating
- Local buckling :
  - 1) stiffeners buckle prematurely because of inadequate rigidity
  - 2) plate panels buckle between the stiffeners  $\rightarrow$  shedding extra load into stiffeners



- For most ship panels, the buckling is inelastic. "failure" instead of "buckling"
- Nevertheless, elastic buckling analysis gives a good indication of the likely modes of failure and a foundation for the more complex question of the inelastic buckling and ultimate strengths of stiffened panels.







## **Elastic Buckling of Stiffened Panels**

### General

 STEP 1 : Minimum flexural rigidity of stiffeners to avoid overall buckling

 $\rightarrow$  The minimum value of  $\gamma_x$  to ensure stiffener buckling does **not** precede plate buckling

 $\gamma_x$  : flexural rigidity of stiffener + plating /the flexural rigidity of the plating

$$\gamma_x = \frac{EI_x}{Db} = \frac{12(1-v^2)I_x}{bt^3}$$

STEP 2 : Calculation of Column Buckling stress

 $\rightarrow$  Buckling of a column composed of stiffener and plating of effective breadth





## Idealization of continuous stiffened panel



OPen INteractive Structural Lab

## **Elastic Buckling of Stiffened Panels**

## General

- Analytical methods of solving buckling problems include two principal types: discrete beam : more versatile and accurate, but more computation orthotropic plate : only when the stiffeners are very closely spaced.
- In this lecture, it is assumed that
  - $\checkmark\,$  the edges of the panel are simply supported
  - $\checkmark$  individual elements of the stiffeners are not subject to instability
- First principle in regard to stiffener
  - ✓ stiffeners should be sufficiently rigid and stable (∵ stiffener buckling = overall buckling and the plating is left with almost no lateral rigidity
  - Substantial lateral load ship panels must carry requires sufficiently large stiffness and rigidity.
- It is best to first perform an elastic buckling analysis because:
  - ✓ relatively simple, consisting mostly of explicit formulas
  - $\checkmark\,$  for slender panels, it may be one of the governing failure modes
  - $\checkmark\,$  it indicates whether an inelastic analysis is required



# 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels – Overall buckling v.s. Plate buckling Minimum flexural rigidity to avoid overall buckling

- Some parameters
  - $\checkmark$  the ratio of the flexural rigidity of the combined section to the flexural

rigidity of the plating

$$\gamma_x = \frac{EI_x}{Db} = \frac{12(1-v^2)I_x}{bt^3}$$

 $\checkmark\,$  the panel aspect ratio

$$\Pi = \frac{L}{B} = \frac{a}{B}$$
the area ratio

$$\delta_x = \frac{A_x}{bt}$$



# 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels – Overall buckling v.s. Plate buckling Minimum flexural rigidity to avoid overall buckling

The minimum value of γx (the less one of the two values) to ensure stiffener buckling does not precede plate buckling γx > γmin
 (1) panel with one central longitudinal stiffener (whichever is less)

 $\gamma_{x} = 48.8 + 112\delta_{x}(1 + 0.5\delta_{x})$ 

 $\gamma_x = 22.8\Pi + (2.5 + 16\delta_x)\Pi^2 - 10.8\sqrt{\Pi}$ 

(2) panel with two equally spaced longitudinal stiffeners (whichever is less)

 $\gamma_x = 43.5\sqrt{\Pi^3} + 36\Pi^2\delta_x$ 

 $\gamma_x = 228 + 610\delta_x + 325\delta_x^2$ 



# 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels – Overall buckling v.s. Plate buckling Minimum flexural rigidity to avoid overall buckling

 A more general solution, valid for any number of stiffeners, has been presented by Klitchiff

$$\gamma_x = \delta_x (1 + N_B^2 \Pi^2)^2 + \frac{4}{\pi} \Pi (1 + N_B^2 \Pi^2) \sqrt{2 + N_B^2 \Pi^2}$$

where *N*<sub>B</sub>=number of panels=1+number of longitudinal stiffeners

Homework 6-1 Plot Klitchiff's curve versus L/B for different N<sub>B</sub> and  $\delta_x$  an d compare with the previous two curves.



## 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels Calculation of overall buckling stress

• An alternative approach is to calculate the overall buckling stress  $(\sigma_a)_{cr}$  and compare it to the plate buckling stress  $\sigma_0$ .

$$(\sigma_a)_{cr} \ge \sigma_0 \qquad \sigma_0 = 3.62E \left(\frac{t}{b}\right)^2$$

 In short panels, the equivalent slenderness ratio of each column is the actual slenderness of the section or in terms of nondimensional parameter.

$$\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{eq} = \frac{a}{\rho} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{I_x/(A_x + bt)}} \qquad \left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{eq} = \frac{a}{t}\sqrt{\frac{12(1 - v^2)(1 + \delta_x)}{\gamma_x}}$$

 In long panels, the stiffeners receive some lateral restraint from the sides of the panel→ bukling in more than one half wave.

the equivalent slenderness ratio < the value given by the former formula

$$\left(\frac{L}{\rho}\right)_{eq} = C_{\Pi} \frac{a}{\rho} = \frac{C_{\Pi}a}{\sqrt{I_x / (A_x + bt)}}$$

where  $C\pi$  is given by whichever is less

$$C_{\Pi} = \frac{1}{\Pi} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_x}{2(1 + \sqrt{1 + \gamma_x})}} \qquad C_{\Pi} = 1 \qquad \qquad \gamma_x = \frac{EI_x}{Db} = \frac{12(1 - v^2)I_x}{bt^3}$$



**OPen** INteractive Structural

## **Calculation of overall buckling stress**

- Then  $(\sigma_a)_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E}{(L/\rho)_{eq}^2}$
- Using the adjective "slender" to describe a panel in which the overall buckling stress calculated from elastic theory is less than the yield stress.

$$\frac{\pi^2 E}{\left(L/\rho\right)_{eq}^2} < \sigma_{y}$$

- Normally, plate buckling precedes overall buckling → When overall buckling occurs the plate flange of the stiffener will not be fully effective over the width *b*.(due to out-of-plane deformation, caused by buckling)
- The buckled center portion is discounted completely and the original *b* is replaced by *b<sub>e</sub>*

$$\sigma_e = \frac{b}{b_e} \sigma_a$$



## **Calculation of overall buckling stress**

• The effective width is taken to be the width at which the equivalent plate would buckle at an applied stress of  $\sigma_e$  $\sigma_e = k \frac{\pi^2 D}{b^2 t}$ 

for the original plate

$$(\sigma_a)_{cr} = k \frac{\pi^2 D}{b^2 t}$$

if it is assumed that k is the same in both cases then



• The effective width would reach its smallest possible value when  $\sigma_a$  reached yield  $\sigma_{yield}$ .

$$\left(\frac{b_e}{b}\right)_{\min} = \frac{1.9}{\beta} \qquad \qquad \beta = \frac{b}{t} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_y}{E}}$$



**Calculation of overall buckling stress** 

- The critical value is given by  $(\sigma_e)_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E}{(L/\rho_e)_{eq}^2}$
- The axial stress in the stiffener is larger than the external applied stress.  $(\sigma_a)_{cr}$  axial stress corresponding to  $(\sigma_e)_{cr}$

 $(\sigma_e)_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E}{\left(L/\rho_e\right)_{er}^2}$ 

 $\frac{b_e}{b} = 1.9 \frac{t}{b} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\sigma_e}}$ 

$$\sigma_a(bt + A_x) = \sigma_e(b_e t + A_x) \qquad (\sigma_a)_{cr} = \left(\frac{b_{et} + A_x}{bt + A_x}\right) \frac{\pi^2 E}{(L/\rho_e)_{eq}^2}$$

- A suitable procedure
  - 1. Assume some initial value of *b*<sub>e</sub>
  - 2. Calculate  $\delta_{xe}$  and  $I_{xe}$ , and then evaluate  $(L/\rho_e)_{eq}$
  - 3. Calculate  $(\sigma_{e})_{cr}$
  - 4. Using this value, recalculate  $b_e$  from
  - 5. Repeat from step 2 until be has converged
  - 6. Calculate  $(\sigma_a)_{cr}$
- a single nonlinear equation for *b<sub>e</sub>*

$$\frac{\pi d}{1.9at} b_e \sqrt{\frac{A_w}{12}} (A_w + 4A_f) + \left(\frac{A_w}{3} + A_f\right) b_e t = A_w + A_f + b_e t$$



 $\left| (\sigma_a)_{cr} = \left( \frac{b_{et} + A_x}{bt + A} \right) \frac{\pi^2 E}{\left( L / \rho \right)^2} \right|$ 

## 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels Calculation of overall buckling stress

- $(\sigma_{e})_{cr}$  depends on  $\rho_{e}$  depends on depends on  $b_{e}$ .
- When  $bt > 2A_x$  (usual case), decrease of  $b_e \rightarrow$  increase  $\rho_e$  $\rightarrow$  increase in  $(\sigma_a)_{cr}$
- The lowest of  $(\sigma_a)_{cr}$  corresponds to  $b_{e=}b$ , when plating in fully effective.

 $(\sigma_e)_{cr} = \frac{\pi^2 E}{(L/\rho_e)_{cr}^2}$ 



**Calculation of overall buckling stress** 

Homework 6-2 illustrates the previous graph using the following formula



$$A = b_0 t_0 + b t_2 + h_1 t_1$$

$$I = \frac{b_0 t_0^3}{3} + \frac{b h^3}{3} - \frac{(b - t_1) h_1^3}{3} - A(e_1 - t_0)^2$$

$$e_1 = t_0 + \frac{b h^2 - (b - t_1) h_1^2 - b_0 t_0^2}{2A}$$



## **Calculation of overall buckling stress**

Possible modes of elastic buckling of stiffened panels



## 13.1 Longitudinally Stiffened Panels Local buckling of stiffener (tripping)

- A stiffener may buckle by twisting about its line of attachment to the plating, "tripping". The direction of the tripping alternates as shown.
- Tripping and plat buckling do interact but they can occur in either order.
- Tripping failure is regarded as collapse, the tripping leads no stiffening and overall buckling follows immediately. Elastic tripping is a quite sudden phenomenon → a most undesirable mode of buckling
- Open sections used in ship panels have relatively little torsional rigidity.
- Closed cross section : difficulties in fabrication, inspection and control of corrosion.
   d = distance from shear centre





# Permanent Means of Access (PMA)

- IMO introduced PMA regulations for securing access to cargo holds and ballast tanks in oil tankers and bulk carriers.
- Overall and close-up inspections and thickness measurements of the critical hull structural parts.



# **Ultimate Strength Assessment of PMA**

- Objective : Ultimate strength assessment of PMA using nonlinear FE analysis Establish evaluation procedure for CSR Tanker design
- \* Research :
  - No specified rule for PMA structure in CSR
  - Local support member scantling rule in CSR  $\rightarrow$  over scantling
  - Establish a ultimate strength assessment procedure.
  - Propose a evaluation criteria based on CSR cargo hold analysis.



# **Ultimate Strength Assessment of PMA**



**Result** : Proposed scantling satisfies required strength.

#### **OPen** INteractive Structural La

# **Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA I**

Objective : Establish analytical method to predict lateral torsional buckling of PMA
 Research :

 $\mathcal{V}_T$ 

 $V_{FB}$ 

w

 $\frac{h}{2}$ 

х

- Rayleigh Riz method
- Assumption of deflection and strain of PMA section
- Total strain energy and work done during buckling
- Solve an eigen-value problem





#### Assumption of sectional displacement

 $u_{w} = (z_{o} - z)w_{,x} + a_{o}v_{T,x}$   $v_{w} = v(x, z)$   $w_{w} = w(x)$   $u_{f} = (z_{o} - h_{w})w_{,x} + (a_{o} - y)v_{T,x}$   $v_{f} = v_{T}(x)$   $w_{f} = w - y\varphi_{T}$   $u_{fb} = (z_{0} - \frac{h_{w}}{2})w_{,x} + (a_{1} - y)v_{FB,x}$   $v_{fb} = v_{FB}(x)$   $w_{fb} = w - y\varphi_{FB}(x)$  **OPen Interactive Structural Let** 

# **Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA II**

#### Flexural Out-of-Plane Displacement of web plate

 $v_w = \varphi_B(x)f_1(z) + v_T(x)f_2(z) + \varphi_T(x)f_3(z) + v_{FB}(x)f_4(z) + \varphi_{FB}(x)f_5(z)$ 

#### **Compatibility constraints**



Lengthwise Displacement



 $\begin{cases} f_1 = h_w \left[ \frac{z}{h_w} - 6 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^2 + 13 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^3 - 12 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^4 + 4 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^5 \right] \\ f_2 = 7 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^2 - 34 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^3 + 52 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^4 - 24 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^5 \\ f_3 = h \left[ - \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^2 + 5 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^3 - 8 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^4 + 4 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^5 \right] \\ f_4 = 16 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^2 - 32 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^3 + 16 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^4 \\ f_5 = h \left[ -8 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^2 + 32 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^3 - 40 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^4 + 16 \left( \frac{z}{h_w} \right)^5 \right] \end{cases}$ 

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_{B} \\ v_{T} \\ \varphi_{T} \\ \varphi_{T} \\ v_{FB} \\ \varphi_{FB} \\ w \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \overline{\varphi}_{B} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{T} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{T} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{T} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{FB} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{FB} \\ \overline{\varphi}_{FB} \\ \overline{w} \end{cases} \sin \frac{m\pi x}{l} = \{\delta\} \sin \lambda x$$
OPen Interactive Structural Let

# **Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA III**

#### **Derivation of Strain Energy**

$$U = \frac{1}{2} E \int_{0}^{l} I w_{,xx}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} E \int_{0}^{l} I_{zf} v_{T,xx}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} E \int_{0}^{l} 2I_{zyf} w_{,xx} v_{T,xx} dx + \frac{1}{2} G \int_{0}^{l} J_{f} \varphi_{T,x}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} E \int_{0}^{l} 2I_{zyf} v_{FB,xx}^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} E \int_{0}^{l} 2I_{zyf} w_{,xx} v_{FB,xx} dx + \frac{1}{2} G \int_{0}^{l} J_{fb} \varphi_{FB,x}^{2} dx + u_{wo}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \{\delta\}^{T} [K_{L}] \{\delta\}$$

Derivation of Work Done during Buckling

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{f}} \sigma \int_{0}^{l} \left( v_{T,x}^{2} + (w - y \varphi_{T,x})^{2} \right) dx dA + \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{w}} \sigma \int_{0}^{l} (w_{,x}^{2} + v_{w,x}^{2}) dx dA$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_{fb}} \sigma \int_{0}^{l} \left( v_{FB,x}^{2} + (w - y \varphi_{FB,x})^{2} \right) dx dA$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \delta \right\}^{T} \left[ \overline{K}_{G} \right] \left\{ \delta \right\}$$

#### 6 X 6 Eigenvalue Problem

 $\left| \begin{bmatrix} K_L \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} K_G \end{bmatrix} \right| = 0$ 

"At the limit of stability, the second variation of total potential energy is zero"

$$\begin{cases} I = \int_{A_{v}} (z_{o} - z)^{2} dA + \int_{A_{f}} (z_{o} - h_{w})^{2} dA + \int_{A_{fb}} (z_{o} - \frac{h_{w}}{2})^{2} dA \\ = t_{w} (h_{w} z_{o}^{2} - h_{w}^{2} z_{0} + \frac{h_{w}^{3}}{3}) + t_{f} b_{f} (z_{0} - h_{w})^{2} + t_{fb} b_{fb} (z_{0} - \frac{h_{w}}{2})^{2} \\ I_{zf} = \int_{A_{f}} (y - a_{o})^{2} dA = \left(\frac{b_{f}^{3}}{12} + a_{0}^{2} b_{f}\right) t_{f} \\ I_{zb} = \int_{A_{w}} a_{1}^{2} dA + \int_{A_{fb}} (y - a_{1})^{2} dA = a_{1}^{2} h_{w} t_{w} + \left(\frac{b_{fb}^{3}}{3} - a_{1} b_{fb}^{2} + a_{1}^{2} b_{fb}\right) t_{fb} \\ I_{zbf} = \int_{A_{f}} (z_{o} - h_{w}) (a_{o} - y) dA = a_{0} (z_{0} - h_{w}) b_{f} t_{f} \\ I_{zbf} = \int_{A_{v}} (z_{o} - z) a_{1} dA + \int_{A_{fb}} (z_{0} - \frac{h_{w}}{2}) (a_{1} - y) dA \\ = a_{1} (z_{0} h_{w} - \frac{h_{w}^{2}}{2}) t_{w} + (z_{0} - \frac{h_{w}}{2}) (a_{1} - \frac{b_{fb}}{2}) t_{fb} b_{fb} \\ J_{f} = G \frac{b_{f} t_{f}^{3}}{3} \\ J_{fb} = G \frac{b_{b} t_{fb}^{3}}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$g_{11} = \int_{A_{v}} \sigma f_{1}^{2} dA, \quad g_{12} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{1} f_{2} dA, \quad g_{13} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{1} f_{3} dA,$$

$$g_{14} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{1} f_{4} dA, \quad g_{15} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{1} f_{5} dA, \\g_{23} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{2} f_{3} dA, \quad g_{24} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{2} f_{4} dA, \quad g_{25} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{2} f_{5} dA,$$

$$g_{33} = \int_{A_{v}} \sigma y^{2} dA + \int_{A_{v}} \sigma f_{3}^{2} dA, \quad g_{34} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{3} f_{4} dA, \quad g_{35} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{3} f_{5} dA$$

$$g_{44} = \int_{A_{p}} \sigma dA + \int_{A_{v}} \sigma f_{4}^{2} dA, \quad g_{45} = \int_{A_{v}} 2\sigma f_{4} f_{5} dA$$

$$g_{55} = \int_{A_{p}} \sigma dA + \int_{A_{v}} \sigma dA + \int_{A_{p}} \sigma dA$$

#### **OPen INteractive Structural La**

# **Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA IV**

### Extended Plate Rotational Restraint

$$\Pi_{po} = \frac{1}{2} k_{spring} \overline{\varphi}_B^2 = \begin{cases} \frac{l}{b_p} D_p \overline{\varphi}_B^2 & \text{for Case X} \\ \\ \frac{l}{4b_p} D_p \overline{\varphi}_B^2 & \text{for Case Y} \end{cases}$$



#### Comparison with FE analysis Results





#### **OPen INteractive Structural La**

# Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA V

#### Tripping v.s. web plate local buckling for varying mid-flat-bar location



# **Elastic Lateral Torsional Buckling of PMA VI**

#### Web Local Buckling v.s. Bottom Plate Buckling



## Types of stiffener buckling and method of analysis

- Flexural-torsional buckling : torsional buckling of a stiffener may also be caused by a bending mo ments  $\rightarrow$  compression in the flange. Flexural buckling of columns : Bending about the axis of least resistance Flexural buckling Torsional buckling of columns : Twisting withou bending. Torsional buckling Flexural-torsional buckling of columns : subjected to compression  $\rightarrow$  simultaneous twisting and bending. Flexural-torsional buckling Lateral-torsional buckling of beams : subjected to bending,  $\rightarrow$  simultaneous twisting and bending.
- Local buckling : Buckling of a thin-walled part of the cross-section (plate-buckling, shell-buckling)





Lateral-torsional buckling

OPen INteractive Structural

# Types of stiffener buckling and method of analysis

- Flexural-torsional buckling : torsional buckling of a stiffener may also be c aused by a bending moments
  - $\rightarrow$  compression in the flange.
  - $\rightarrow$  nonlinear coupling between the flexural and torsional response
- Two different methods for dealing nonlinear elastic buckling
  - "folded plate" analysis based on finite difference methods
    - simpler
    - is restricted to certain boundary conditions and simple forms of structural ge ometry
    - basically limited to elastic buckling of bifurcation type
  - nonlinear frame (finite element) analysis
    - necessarily computer-based, but quite economical
    - is much more general and can deal with other forms of nonlinearity



- A stiffener acts essentially as a column, but tripping or torsional buckling differs from that of a column in three ways
  - the rotation occurs about an enforced axis-the line of attachment to the plating.
  - the plate offers some restraint against this rotation.
  - it is not necessarily rigid body rotation.





**OPen INteractive Structural Lab** 

# Stiffener buckling due to axial compression

• The governing differential equation for the rotation is:

$$EI_{SZ}d^{2}\frac{d^{4}\phi}{dx^{4}} - (GJ - \sigma_{a}I_{SP})\frac{d^{2}\phi}{dx^{2}} + K\phi = 0$$

where

- $I_{sz}$  = moment of inertia of the stiffener
- d = stiffener web height
- *I*<sub>sp</sub> = polar moment of inertia of the stiffener about the center of rotation
- $K_{\phi}$  = distributed rotational restraint which plating exerts on the stiffener.
- $\Phi(x) = a$  buckled shaped in which the rotation  $\Phi$  varies sinusoidally in *m* half waves over the length *a*.
- $\sigma_{a,T}$  = the elastic tripping stress, the minimum value of applied inplane stress  $\sigma_a$  that would cause tripping



## Stiffener buckling due to axial compression

•  $\sigma a, T$  satisfies the following, where *m* is a positive integer.

$$EI_{SZ}d^{2}\frac{m^{4}\pi^{4}}{a^{4}} + (GJ - \sigma_{a}I_{sp})\frac{m^{2}\pi^{2}}{a^{2}} + K_{\phi}(\sigma_{a},m) = 0$$

- $K_{\phi}$  is a function of  $\sigma_a$  and m.
- $K_{\phi}$  is dependent on  $\sigma_a$  because plate buckling can diminish or eliminate  $K_{\phi}$ .
- $K_{\phi}$  is dependent on *m*.
- The rotational restraint coefficient is offered by the plating

 $\rightarrow$  to be defined by the plate's flexural rigidity which causes a total distributed restraining moment  $\ M_R=2M$  along the line of the stiffener attachment.

• If a>>b, unit strip of plating across the span *b*,  $\phi = \frac{1}{2}Mb/D$ .

$$K_{\phi} = \frac{M_R}{\phi} = \frac{4D}{b}$$

**OPen INteractive Structural** 

 This assumes that the buckled displacement of the stiffener is entirely due to rigid body rotation.



- In practice some of the sideways displacement of the stiffener flange occurs due to bending of the web. Even when the stiffener web is slender.
- *C<sub>r</sub>* is the factor by which the plate rotational restraint is reduced due to web bending.

$$\theta = C_r \phi$$
  $C_r = \frac{1}{1 + (2/3)(t/t_w)^3 (d/b)}$ 

where (for

(for  $\sigma_a=0$ )



 C<sub>α</sub> is a correction factor because of the effect of plate aspect ratio.

$$C_{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2} \qquad K_{\phi} = \frac{4D}{b} C_r C_{\alpha}$$
$$C_r = \frac{1}{1 + 0.4(t/t_w)^3 (d/b)}$$

•  $C_{\alpha}$ : correction factor for aspect ratio and plate buckling effects because plate stiffness decreases as the applied stress  $\sigma_a$  approaches plate buckling stress  $\sigma_0$ .

$$C_{\alpha} = 1 - \left(\frac{2\sigma_a}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2}$$

- $C_{\alpha}=1-(m/\alpha)^2$  when  $\sigma_a = \sigma_0$ . if  $m=\alpha$  (aspect ratio),  $C_{\alpha}=0$ . and  $K_{\phi}=0$ .
- Tripping occurs in a single half-wave, m=1  $\rightarrow$  the same as number of half-wave for square or short panel.  $\alpha \approx 1 \rightarrow$  complete loss of rotational restraint.
- For stiffened panels of usual proportions, tripping occurs in a single halfwave, and hence it is mainly square or short panels in which the loss of stiffness can occur.

$$K_{\phi} = \frac{4D}{b} \left[ \frac{1}{1+0.4 \left(\frac{t}{t_{w}}\right)^{3} \frac{d}{b}} \right] \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{2\sigma_{a}}{\sigma_{0}} - 1\right) \frac{m^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \right] \qquad m \ge 2$$

$$C_{r} = \frac{1}{1}$$

$$C_{r} = \frac{1}{1}$$





• by substituting  $K_{\phi}$  and solving for  $\sigma_{a}$ .

$$K_{\phi} = \frac{4D}{b} \left[ \frac{1}{1+0.4 \left(\frac{t}{t_{w}}\right)^{3} \frac{d}{b}} \right] \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{2\sigma_{a}}{\sigma_{0}} - 1\right) \frac{m^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \right] \implies EI_{SZ} d^{2} \frac{m^{4} \pi^{4}}{a^{4}} + (GJ - \sigma_{a} I_{sp}) \frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{a^{2}} + K_{\phi}(\sigma_{a}, m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma_{a,T} = \frac{Minimum}{m = 1, 2, \dots} \left\{ \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_{r} b^{3} t}{\pi^{4}}} \left[ GJ + \frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{a^{2}} EI_{sz} d^{2} + \frac{4DC_{r}}{\pi^{2} b} \left( \frac{a^{2}}{m^{2}} + b^{2} \right) \right] \right\} \qquad C_{r} = \frac{1}{1 + 0.4 (t/t_{w})^{3} (d/b)}$$

• Here, regard *m* continuous variable. Find *m* which gives the lowest  $\sigma_{a,T}$ 

$$\frac{d\sigma_{a,T}}{dm} = 0 \qquad m \cong \frac{a}{\pi} \sqrt[4]{\frac{4DC_r}{EI_{SZ}d^2b}}$$

*m* can be predicted and trial-and-error approach can be avoided.



## Stiffener buckling due to axial compression

After estimating m, (a) Solution when m≥2,

$$\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_r b^3 t}{\pi^4}} \left( GJ + 4\sqrt{\frac{DC_r EI_{sz} d^2}{b}} + \frac{4DC_r b}{\pi^2} \right)$$



$$\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{Minimum}{m = 1, 2, \dots} \left\{ \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_r b^3 t}{\pi^4}} \left[ GJ + \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{a^2} EI_{sz} d^2 + \frac{4DC_r}{\pi^2 b} \left( \frac{a^2}{m^2} + b^2 \right) \right] \right\}$$

# (b) Solution when m=1,

ex) estimated m≈1.3 → m=1 estimated m≈1.7 → m=1 or m=2  $\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_r b^3 t}{-4}} \left( GJ + \frac{\pi^2 EI_{sz} d^2}{a^2} + \frac{4DC_r}{\pi^2 b} (a^2 + b^2) \right) \quad \left[ \sigma_{a,T} = \frac{Minimum}{m = 1,2,...} \left\{ \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_r b^3 t}{-4}} \left[ GJ + \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{a^2} EI_{sz} d^2 + \frac{4DC_r}{\pi^2 b} \left( \frac{a^2}{m^2} + b^2 \right) \right] \right\}$ 

## (c) Lower bound solution for quick check

- ignore plate restraint effect  $C_{\alpha} = 0$ , i.e.  $\sigma_a = \sigma_0 \rightarrow K_{\phi} = 0$
- ignore GJ term since it is small.

$$\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{1}{I_{sp}} \left[ \pi^2 E \left( \frac{d}{a} \right)^2 I_{SZ} \right]$$

$$C_{\alpha} = 1 - \left(\frac{2\sigma_a}{\sigma_0} - 1\right) \frac{m^2}{\alpha^2}$$



Stiffener buckling due to axial compression

*I*<sub>sz</sub> and *I*<sub>sp</sub> can be expressed in terms of stiffener areas

$$I_{sp} = d^2 \left( A_f + \frac{A_w}{3} \right) \qquad \qquad I_{sz} = b_f^2 \frac{A_f \left( \frac{A_x}{3} - \frac{A_f}{4} \right)}{A_x} \cong \frac{A_f A_w b_f^2}{3A_x}$$

• Also, by defining a fractional flange area  $f = A_f A_x$ , it becomes

$$\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{\pi^2 E f (1-f)}{1+2f} \left(\frac{b_f}{a}\right)^2$$

- *a* : adverse effect of panel length
- *b<sub>f</sub>*: strengthening effect, but cannot be increased indefinitely due to possibili ty of flange buckling.
- $b_f t_f < 14$  for mild steel to avoid the flange buckling.



## **13.2 Transversely Stiffened Panels**

## **Transversely Stiffened Panels**

 The strength of the stiffened panel is determined by the buckling strength of the plate between stiffeners.



The required minimum value of γy given is

$$\gamma_{y} = \frac{(4N_{L}^{2} - 1)\left[\left(N_{L}^{2} - 1\right)^{2} - 2\left(N_{L}^{2} + 1\right)\kappa + \kappa^{2}\right]}{2\left(5N_{L}^{2} + 1 - \kappa\right)\Pi^{4}} \qquad \gamma_{y} = \frac{EI_{y}}{Da} \qquad \kappa = \alpha^{2}\Pi^{2} \qquad \Pi = \frac{L}{B} = \frac{L}{b}$$

#### where

- $N_L$ = number of plate panels= L/a,  $N_{L-1}$  = number of stiffeners
- $EI_y$  = flexural rigidity of one transverse stiffener,
  - including a plate flange of full width a.



## Homework 6-1 due date 21th November

 Homework 6-1 Analyze the effect of a, b, d, b<sub>f</sub>, t<sub>f</sub>, t<sub>w</sub>, h, t<sub>plate</sub> using ANOVA table and an orthogonal array. Recommend to use Minitab. a=2400±10%, b=800±10%, d=450mm, b<sub>f</sub>,=250mm

$$\mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{f}} = \mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{w}} = \mathbf{t}_{\mathsf{plate}} = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 10\%,$$
  
$$\sigma_{a,T} = \frac{Minimum}{m = 1, 2, \dots} \left\{ \frac{1}{I_{sp} + \frac{2C_r b^3 t}{\pi^4}} \left[ GJ + \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{a^2} EI_{sz} d^2 + \frac{4DC_r}{\pi^2 b} \left( \frac{a^2}{m^2} + b^2 \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$I_{sp} = d^2 \left( A_f + \frac{A_w}{3} \right)$$

$$I_{sz} = b_f^2 \frac{A_f\left(\frac{A_x}{3} - \frac{A_f}{4}\right)}{A_x} \cong \frac{A_f A_w b_f^2}{3A_x}$$

$$J = \frac{t_f^3 b_f}{3} + \frac{t_w^3 d}{3}$$



## Homework 6-1

Orthogonal array for 8 parameters with 3 levels

Experiment	Column								
Number	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	
3	1	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	
4	1	2	1	1	2	2	3	3	
5	1	2	2	2	3	3	1	1	
6	1	2	3	3	1	1	2	2	
7	1	3	1	2	1	3	2	3	
8	1	3	2	3	2	1	3	1	
9	1	3	3	1	3	2	1	2	
10	2	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	
11	2	1	2	1	1	3	3	2	
12	2	1	3	2	2	1	1	3	
13	2	2	1	2	3	1	3	2	
14	2	2	2	3	1	2	1	3	
15	2	2	3	1	2	3	2	1	
16	2	3	1	3	2	3	1	2	
17	2	3	2	1	3	1	2	3	
18	2	3	3	2	1	2	3	1	



## A sample of the use of ANOVA table and Orthogonal Array

Selection of Stiffened Plate Parameters Orthogonal Array L27, 3<sup>7</sup>

Span	Space	t <sub>p</sub>	h <sub>w</sub>	t <sub>w</sub>	b <sub>f</sub>	t <sub>f</sub>
3870.0	733.5	12.8	339.3	7.7	135.0	10.8
3870.0	733.5	12.8	339.3	8.5	150.0	12.0
3870.0	733.5	12.8	339.3	9.4	165.0	13.2
3870.0	815.0	14.3	377.0	7.7	135.0	10.8
3870.0	815.0	14.3	377.0	8.5	150.0	12.0
3870.0	815.0	14.3	377.0	9.4	165.0	13.2
3870.0	896.5	15.7	414.7	7.7	135.0	10.8
3870.0	896.5	15.7	414.7	8.5	150.0	12.0
3870.0	896.5	15.7	414.7	9.4	165.0	13.2
4300.0	733.5	14.3	414.7	7.7	150.0	13.2
4300.0	733.5	14.3	414.7	8.5	165.0	10.8
4300.0	733.5	14.3	414.7	9.4	135.0	12.0
4300.0	815.0	15.7	339.3	7.7	150.0	13.2
4300.0	815.0	15.7	339.3	8.5	165.0	10.8
4300.0	815.0	15.7	339.3	9.4	135.0	12.0
4300.0	896.5	12.8	377.0	7.7	150.0	13.2
4300.0	896.5	12.8	377.0	8.5	165.0	10.8
4300.0	896.5	12.8	377.0	9.4	135.0	12.0
4730.0	733.5	15.7	377.0	7.7	165.0	12.0
4730.0	733.5	15.7	377.0	8.5	135.0	13.2
4730.0	733.5	15.7	377.0	9.4	150.0	10.8
4730.0	815.0	12.8	414.7	7.7	165.0	12.0
4730.0	815.0	12.8	414.7	8.5	135.0	13.2
4730.0	815.0	12.8	414.7	9.4	150.0	10.8
4730.0	896.5	14.3	339.3	7.7	165.0	12.0
4730.0	896.5	14.3	339.3	8.5	135.0	13.2
4730.0	896.5	14.3	339.3	9.4	150.0	10.8

DNV RP-C201 U.F v.s. Scantlings





0, A, 350 , 50

°.

3870

K200 K130,35, 8,45, 800, 22,0

0.90

0.82