Week 4 Pre-Project Planning (2)

457.307 Construction Planning and Management Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Seoul National University

> Prof. Seokho Chi <u>shchi@snu.ac.kr</u> 건설환경공학부 35동 304호



• Analyze Project Risks

- Uncertainties produce severe losses
- Identify, measure and manage project risks on cost, schedule, and technical performance
- Mostly subjective and qualitative
 - Experience of key project personnel
 - Input from stakeholders is critical.
- Financial, business, technology, regulatory, operational, environmental, permitting + construction-related risks

- Two critical risk considerations:
 - Which risks may produce severe losses?
 - Which risks may occur frequently?
- Key things
 - Capture risk issues in a risk matrix or "risk register"
 - Begin as early as possible
 - Develop mitigation strategies
 - Accept, shift through contract, design solutions, apply contingency \$ or plans, or insure
 - Track over time



- Document Project Scope and Design
 - All key elements of the scope should be identified and addressed in as much detail
 - Fundamentals of scope development affecting design planning and decision making
 - Project definition
 - Statement of key technical and physical attributes
 - General building requirements
 - Building features required to support operations
 - Integration requirements
 - Procedures necessary for renovating an existing facility to accommodate the new operations
 - Process design basis
 - Design characteristics necessary to support the new process

- Document Project Scope and Design
 - Fundamentals of scope development (cont'd)
 - Utility design basis
 - Design characteristics of the utilities necessary to support the new process
 - Control system design basis
 - Design characteristics of the controls necessary to support the new process
 - Equipment list and data sheets
 - Electrical, piping and instrumentation schematics
 - General site information

- Document Project Scope and Design
 - Critical considerations for scope control
 - Minimize non-income producing investments
 - e.g., Unnecessary upgrades
 - Complex scope items
 - e.g., Complex control systems
 - Equipment cost multipliers
 - e.g., Non-standard equipment items
 - Expensive materials of construction
 - e.g., Exotic finishes
 - Management of changes



- Define Project Execution Approach
 - All tasks are identified and carried out in a timely manner even in the early stages of project development
 - Define who, how, and when
 - Available resources affects approach
 - Project schedule
 - What will be included?
 - Design implementation plan
 - What questions must be answered when deciding design approach?

• Define Project Execution Approach

- Contracting and procurement plan
 - Potential options?
- Permitting and compliance plan
 - Who assumes the risk?
- Materials management / procurement plan
 - Who purchases major equipment?
 - Who assumes the risk?
- Safety process
 - May cut across all stages of the execution
- Cost/Schedule controls
 - Covered in next section
- Staffing plan
 - Who is responsible at each stage of execution?
 - Who assumes the risk at each stage?

Example – Define Execution Approach

• Project Schedule

	Tasks	Duration (Month)	Start date	Finish date
1	Pre-project planning	3	01/01/2007	03/31/2007
2	Authorization to proceed	1	04/01/2007	04/30/2007
3	Engineering	16	05/01/2007	08/31/2008
4	Permit application and approval	19	06/01/2007	12/31/2009
5	Procurement and construction strategy	1	05/01/2007	05/31/2007
6	Long-lead items procurement	14	06/01/2007	07/31/2008
7	Bidding	3	03/31/2007	05/31/2007
8	Award construction contracts	3	06/01/2007	08/31/2007
9	Construction	19	08/01/2007	02/28/2009
10	Commissioning and start up	1	03/01/2009	04/30/2009
11	Product testing and stabilization	2	05/01/2009	06/30/2009
	(Product ready for commercial delivery)			

• Contracting

*EPC: Engineering, Procurement, and Construction Contractor

	Facilities	Contracting strategy	Alternative strategy
1	Process unit	Design/Build	CM with EPC (CM at Risk)
2	Power plant	Design/Build	CM with EPC (CM at Risk)
3	Admin. building	Design/Bid/Build	Design/Build

Example – Define Execution Approach

• Design

	Facilities	Designer		
1	Process unit A/E with PMC			
2	Power plant	A/E with PMC		
3	3 Admin. building A/E			
Project Management Consultant (PMC)				
Additional design studies				
- (- Geotechnical investigation			
- S	Special foundation requirements for admin. building			
- T	Transportation/Public distribution network studies			
	*			

• Permitting

	Permit	Start	End	Responsibility		
1	Construction permit	09/01/2007	06/15/2007	Owner*		
2	Process permit	04/15/2007	05/15/2007	Owner*		
3	Construction safety permit	08/15/2007	09/15/2007	Contractor		
4	Operation safety permit	05/01/2008	12/31/2008	Owner*		
* /	* Assisted by PMC					

Example – Define Execution Approach

- Materials
 - Long-lead items
 - Extrusion processing equipment, Gas compressor, Gas turbine, High pressure pump
 - Who will purchase
 - All items will be purchased by the owner.
 - How do the long-lead items impact the schedule?
 - They are on the critical path schedule. (Any delay on this leads to delay of the entire project.)
 - Associated facilities' construction schedule has to be matched to ensure minimum time expenditure in housing of the equipment after receipt.



- Establish Project Control Guidelines
 - Compare actual performance to planned performance and take action to correct poor performance when necessary
 - Control time and money
 - Status reporting and change management
 - These will be discussed in detail through the semester

Example – Establish Control Guidelines

• Preliminary Budget

	Toska	Budget (Total \$93 million)		
	Tasks	%	\$ (million)	
1	Pre-project planning	5	8	
2	Engineering	10	16	
3	Procurement	20	32	
4	Construction, Commissioning, & Start up	65	104	
	TOTAL	100	160	

• Status reporting items

- Compile Project Definition Package
 - Project Definition Package (PDP)
 - Package prepared for *execution* (a detailed roadmap)
 - All previous data including project objectives, alternatives, risk analysis, cost estimate, future obligations
 - Project Authorization Package (PAP)
 - Executive summary for project authorization approval
 - Package prepared for *decision makers*

(4) Make Decision



(4) Make Decision

Make Decision

- Project must align with the business needs and the objectives
- Requires commitment to plan
- What information will the CEO & CFO use?
 - Business needs
 - Risk
 - Execution approach
 - Decision time is everyone committed?
 - Lack of consistent views

- Project Definition Rating Index (PDRI)
 - Simple, easy-to-use tool for measuring the degree of scope development
 - 3 sections, 15 Categories, 70 Elements
 - Section I: Basis of project decision
 - Section II: Basis of design
 - Section III: Execution approach
 - How does the PDRI work?
 - Rate each of the 70 elements to obtain a project definition score of up to 1,000 points
 - Lower score = Better scope definition

- Project Definition Rating Index (PDRI)
 - How was it developed?
 - By G. Edward Gibson
 - CII Research Team 39 at the University of Texas, Austin
 - Used scope development package from 14 companies
 - Brainstorming, affinity diagramming
 - Workshop of project managers and estimators



• Project Definition Rating Index (PDRI)

- All 70 elements not equally important (different weights)
- 54 project managers and estimators from 31 companies
- Top 10 Elements:

PDRI Score

< 200

-5%

-1%

+2%

Performance

Cost

Schedule

Change Orders



- Benefits
 - Checklist
 - Standardized scope definition terminology
 - Risk assessment
 - Monitor progress
 - Communication and promotes alignment
 - Reconcile differences
 - Training tool
 - Benchmarking tool

- Instructions for Scoring
 - Start for each element (<u>example</u>)
 - Fill empty (<u>example</u>) and complete the total categories (<u>example</u>)

• Instructions for Scoring

- The maximum score possible is 1000 (poor) and the minimum is 70 (good)
- A total PDRI score of 200 or less improves a project's chances of successful performance
- Hence, each category should strive for a category score that is 20% or less of the maximum category score
- Take actions for low-level definition elements and continuously monitor to achieve a project goal

	Project Assessment Session Action Items, June 22, 200x						
	(Sorted in order of PDRI element)						
Item #	PDRI Element(s)	Level of Definition	PDRI Element Score	Item Description	Date Completed	Responsible	
1	A2	2	3	Resolve recycle maintenance philosophy issues	July 1, 200x	John Ramos	
2	B4	1	1	Issue affordability/feasibility report to the team	July 1, 200x	Jake Blinn	
3	B5	1	2	Confirm distribution for finished product	July 1, 200x	Sue Howard	
4	F2	2	4	Complete soil testing for duct work	July 15, 200x	Jose Garcia	
5	F4	1	1	Monitor all open permits	Ongoing	Jake Blinn	
6	G9	3	9	Waste gas, water treatment, HVAC, and misc. balance of plant mechanical equipment list	July 31, 200x	Tina Towne	
				And so on			

Week 4 Decision and Risk Analysis

457.307 Construction Planning and Management Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering Seoul National University

> Prof. Seokho Chi <u>shchi@snu.ac.kr</u> 건설환경공학부 35동 304호

- What is decision and risk analysis?
 - *Formal method* for understanding & *modeling* a decision environment
 - Method for making "more aware" decisions
 - Approach for minimizing *expected losses* or maximizing *expected gains*

• Decisions can be difficult

- *Many options* from which to choose
- Decisions linked to *other* decisions
- Many factors to consider
- Some factors have *uncertainties*
- Multiple & competing objectives or criteria
- DM's value system
- Often in public view

- Examples of difficult decisions
 - Project selection/priorities
 - Quantify project's economic risks
 - Project location selection
 - Use corridor A or B for the new freeway?
 - Technology selection
 - Operations, maintenance, construction
 - Segmental or conventional bridge construction technology?

- Examples of difficult decisions (Cont'd)
 - Selection of project strategies
 - e.g., J-V, design-build, incentives, performance spec, ...
 - Owner's in-house engineering staff or a design consultant
 - Break up a very large project into multiple contracts?
 - Project timing
 - Start date? Duration? Sequence?
 - Shut down a "problem job" and replace the contractor?
 - Use extreme amounts of overtime or two shifts to catch up on project schedule?
 - Quantify benefit/cost of insurance

- Decisions inappropriate to analyze
 - *Easy* decisions
 - the answer is obvious
 - *Dominated* decisions
 - one option is <u>always</u> more advantages than <u>any</u> other
 - Trivial decisions
 - not much to lose or gain
- Good decision?
 - It's *logically consistent* with...
 - *Values* brought to the process
 - Assumptions made
 - Information available & used

- 5 Fundamental Elements of Decision
 - Outcomes, decision criteria, & objective functions
 - Variables that drive decisions
 - Any associated *data*?
 - Sequence of decision(s) & events
 - Alternatives for each decision
 - Decision-maker's value system

Objective Functions

- Previous exercises weighted different decision criteria and scored different alternatives to find the best option.
- What would be a more strategic, structured way for criteria and weights setting and scoring?
 - Become the basis for decision making
 - Explain what we are tying to achieve better
 - Need to be more objective-driven
- **Objective functions** (formula-based decision support)
 - Outcomes + Decision Criteria
 - Outcomes: What are the consequences of decisions and related events? (advantages and disadvantages)
 - Decision criteria: What are the measures of goodness or preference?

Objective Functions

- Ideal objective functions
 - Definable, or determinable with a formula
 - Measured on a scale, preferably absolute
 - Reflective of all major objectives
 - Often multi-dimensional and computed as a composite of several variables
 - Understandable to non-experts
 - *Transparency* is good
• Examples for objective function for modularization/preassembly GO/NO GO

Modularization/Preassembly:

(Off-site manufacturing) Remote construction of portion of project for beneficial reasons



- Modularization/Preassembly Go/No Go
- Possible Objective Function:
 - Potential Total Value to Owner =
 - (1) Potential Project Net Savings +
 - (2) Potential Gross Revenue from Early Completion & Sales

- Son-La dam construction in Vietnam: Go/No Go
 - Vietnam is experiencing a more rapid rise in demand for electricity than economic growth.
 - Vietnam's energy demand heavily relies on coal fired generating plant
 - Vietnam government is deciding whether or not to do the great hydro expansion.
 - Son-La Dam will be the biggest project in this hydro expansion

• Son-La dam construction in Vietnam: Go/No Go





Decisions need to be made?

The Son-La Dam project: Go/No Go

Decision Maker? A Vietnam government agency

• Son-La dam construction in Vietnam: Go/No Go

Normal Water Level	265 m
Dam Height	177 m
Volume of Reservoir	25.4 billion m ³
Surface of Reservoir	440 km ²
Installment Capacity	3,600 MW
Energy Production	14,124 GWh/year
Project Estimated Cost	\$2.3 billion
Project Life Cycle	100 years

• Positive Impacts

• Negative Impacts



- Weighting Four Major Criteria
 - Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method
 - Compare the major categories in pair-wise fashion, ranking each pair on a scale of 1 to 5 according to the criteria:
 - 1: The two factors contribute equally
 - 2: One factor is slightly favored over the other
 - 3: One factor is moderately favored over the other
 - 4: One factor is strongly favored over the other
 - 5: One factor dominates

- Weighting Four Major Criteria
 - Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method

A	Return on Investment	Optimize Safety	Minimize Conflicts	Optimize Environment	
Return on Investment	1 (contribute equally)	2 (A slightly favored over B)	2	3 (moderately favored)	
Optimize Safety	0.5	1	1.2	2	
Minimize Conflicts	0.5	0.83	1	2	
Optimize Environment	0.33	0.5	0.5	1	
Sum	2.33	4.3	4.7	8	

• Normalized Weighting Four Major Criteria

- Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) method

	Return on Investment	Optimize Safety	Minimize Conflicts	Optimize Environment	Row Sum	Average
Return on Investment	0.43 (1/2.33)	0.47	0.43	0.38	1.69	0.42
Optimize Safety	0.21 (0.5/2.33)	0.23	0.26	0.25	0.95	0.24
Minimize Conflicts	0.21 (0.5/2.33)	0.19	0.21	0.25	0.86	0.22
Optimize Environment	0.14 (0.33/2.33)	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.49	0.12
Sum	1	1	1	1	4	1

Objective Function

= 0.42*Return on Investment + 0.24*Optimize Safety

+ 0.22*Minimize Conflicts + 0.12*Optimize Environmental Issues

The U.S. Navy plans to design and construct two new recruit barracks to replace the old ones built between the years of 1958 and 1966, located at Naval Station Great Lakes, Illinois. This movement is a part of the RTC RECAP project, transforming Boot Camp from a deficient, facility-centric base into a state-of-theart, training-centric environment. The entire project includes the development of the complete infrastructure (roads, sidewalks, utilities, storm drainage, elevated water tank, railroad underpass, landscaping, etc.) for a 48-acre parcel of land, adjacent to the existing RTC campus. Additional incidental related work must also be considered to provide a complete and useable facility. Each barrack will measure 16,700 square meters and will provide open bay housing for 1,100 recruits, classrooms, and advanced food service and dining facility. The total estimate cost is approximately \$80 million including two barrack (each \$30 million) facilities and green land development.

- PDRI for risk assessment, What would be possible risks?
 - Example of risk register
- Conceptual estimate and impact of cost-related risk issues
 - Conceptual estimate
 - The total estimate cost is approximated \$80 million, including \$60 million for two barrack facilities and \$20 million for green land development such as site earthmoving work, road construction, building water and power supply facilities, etc. Former experience shows one barrack facility with the same size costs \$30 million. Although the cost of land development may vary depending on the actual work quantity, it is our best estimated based on the current information.

- Conceptual estimate and impact of cost-related risk issues
 - Risk analysis
 - Regarding PDRI building sections, ten high risk issues that have the potential impacts on cost have been identified. These issues are addressed in risk management register. Among the ten high risk issues, the following three rank top three:
 - Designing problems: Valve, mechanical, and galley equipment access
 - Severe winter work condition
 - Construction safety

Risk analysis (Cont'd)

First, considering this is a public work, construction safety is the primary concern of all stakeholders. The consequence of safety problem is critical. No one serving for the government wants to make problems resulting from safety such as incidents and delay of work. The potential cost due to this issue have a large range depending on the specific problems occurred. Insurance should be purchased for worker compensation.

Secondly, based on the characteristics of this project and past experience, it is found that valve, mechanical, and galley equipment access play an important role in our facility. Designing problems of changing them, therefore, have heavy impacts on the schedule as well as the cost of the whole project.

Risk analysis (Cont'd)

Typically the cost of valve, mechanical, and galley equipment access is approximately 20-30 percent of the total cost. With thorough consideration, the cost impact caused by this could be limited to 5 percent of the total cost (i.e., \$4 million).

Finally, although sever winter work condition is very normal in Chicago, unexpected severe weather may be encountered, resulting to work delay in large scale. This has to be carefully studied and monitored during construction. The impact of this issues is also restricted to about 5 percent the total cost (i.e., \$4 million), considering possible 1 month or less project delay among the total 18-month project duration.