Static Magnetic Fields

Introduction to Electromagnetism with Practice Theory & Applications

Sunkyu Yu

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University







Magnetization & Permeability







Remind: Theory of Dielectric Matter: Polarization









Remind: Theory of Dielectric Matter: Polarization



Neutral Dielectric

$$\int_{V} \rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) dv + \oint_{S} \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) ds = 0$$

Remembering Gauss's Theorem! : Introducing

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$-\int_{V} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) dv + \oint_{S} \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) ds$$
$$= \oint_{S} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \oint_{S} \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) ds = 0$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}$$





Polarization

The *rearrangement* of internal charge that occurs when matter is exposed to an external field – characterized by **P**(**r**)

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{n}$$

P(r) is not uniquely determined by the volume & surface charge distributions
 → Helmholtz Theorem







Remind: Theory of Dielectric Matter: Electric Potential

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_V \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \rho(\mathbf{x}') d^3 x'$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}) \qquad \sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{n}$$

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_V \frac{-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \oint_S \frac{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \cdot d\mathbf{s}'$$







Remind: Electric Dipole VS Magnetic Dipole









Magnetic Dipole ~ Current Loop: Orbital Angular Moment



Two-Body Problem

The stereotypical "solar-system" model for hydrogen (WIKI)



Sun Mass: $1.989 \times 10^{30} \text{ kg}$ Earth Mass: $5.972 \times 10^{24} \text{ kg}$ 3.3×10^{5}







Magnetic Dipole ~ Current Loop: Spin Angular Moment

A classical spin in a macroscopic environment is actually an orbital angular momentum!



What happens when a particle becomes a point? *Spin* ∈ *Internal Degree of Freedom for a Particle*









Polarization vs Magnetization







$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{e}_r}{R^2} \xrightarrow{d\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{M} dv'} d\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_r}{R^2} dv'$$
$$d\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{R}}{R^3} dv' = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} dv'$$
$$\nabla \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} = -\nabla' \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} = -\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3}$$
$$d\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{R}}{R^3} dv' = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \mathbf{M} \times \left(\nabla' \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}\right) dv'$$







Magnetization & Current Density

$$d\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{R}}{R^3} dv' = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \mathbf{M} \times \left(\nabla' \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) dv'$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{V'} \mathbf{M} \times \left(\nabla' \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \right) dv'$$

$$\nabla \times (f\mathbf{A}) = f(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{A} \times (\nabla f) \implies \mathbf{A} \times (\nabla f) = f(\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) - \nabla \times (f\mathbf{A})$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\int_{V'} \frac{\nabla' \times \mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' - \int_{V'} \nabla' \times \frac{\mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' \right]$$
$$= \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \left[\int_{V'} \frac{\nabla' \times \mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' + \oint_{S'} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_n'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} ds' \right]$$

P. 6-20





$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_V \frac{-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \oint_S \frac{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \cdot d\mathbf{s}'$$

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int_V \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \rho(\mathbf{x}') d^3 x$$

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}$$



$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_{V'} \frac{\nabla' \times \mathbf{M}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} dv' + \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \oint_{S'} \frac{\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_n'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} ds'$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \int_V \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{x}') d^3 x'$$

$$\mathbf{J}_m(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{ms}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_{n}$$



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Interpretation of Magnetization



External E

$$\rho_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r})$$

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}_{s}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{n}}$$



Sec. 6-5.1 & 6.1 When J = 0: The use of scalar p otential is available also for B: Same for mulation with that of Electrostatics!



$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{ms}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{s}}) = \mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{e}_{n}$$



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboracory

Permeability

No field	Field	No field	Field	No field	No field	No field
0000	• • •	8800		0000	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$	0000
0000		8200	0000	0000	$\textcircled{\baselineskip}{\bullet} \textcircled{\baselineskip}{\bullet} \includegraphics{\baselineskip}{\bullet} \b$	0000
0000	• • •	0000		0000	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$	0000
0000		6660	9999	~~~	€ 🕂 € 🔫	0000
Diamagnetic		Paramagnetic		Ferromagnetic	Ferrimagnetic	Antiferromagnetic

Kolhatkar, Arati G., et al. "Tuning the magnetic properties of nanoparticles." *International journal of molecular sciences* 14.8 (2013): 15977-16009.

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} \implies \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J}_m$$
$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{J} + \mathbf{J}_m = \mathbf{J} + \nabla \times \mathbf{M}$$





Permeability

No field	Field	No field	Field	No field	No field	No field
0000	• • • •	8800	0000		• • • •	0000
0000	\odot \odot \odot \odot	8000	0000	0000	$\odot \leftrightarrow \odot \leftrightarrow$	0000
0000	• • •	\$ \$ \$ \$		0000	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$	0000
0000		6669	9999	~~~	⊕ ⊕ ⊕ ⊕	0000
Diamagnetic		Paramagnetic		Ferromagnetic	Ferrimagnetic	Antiferromagnetic

Kolhatkar, Arati G., et al. "Tuning the magnetic properties of nanoparticles." *International journal of molecular sciences* 14.8 (2013): 15977-16009.

$$\nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_0} - \mathbf{M}\right) = \mathbf{J}$$

In a simple medium...
$$\mathbf{M} = \chi_{m} \mathbf{H}, \ \mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_{0}} - \chi_{m} \mathbf{H}$$

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu_{0}} - \mathbf{M} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \mathbf{B} = \mu_{0} (1 + \chi_{m}) \mathbf{H} = \mu_{0} \mu_{r} \mathbf{H}$$







Maxwell's Equations for Magnetostatics

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}$$
$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$$
$$\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mu_r \mathbf{H}$$

 $\mu_r = 1 + \chi_m$









No field	Field	No field	Field	No field	No field	No field
0000	• • • •	8800	0000	0000	$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$	0000
0000		8000		0000	$\odot \leftrightarrow \odot \leftrightarrow$	0000
0000	• • •	0000		0000	$\odot \odot \odot \odot$	0000
0000	• • • •	6000	9999	~~~	€ 🕂 € 🕂	0000
Diamagnetic		Param	agnetic	Ferromagnetic	Ferrimagnetic	Antiferromagnetic

 $\mu_r \leq 1$

 $\mu_r \ge 1$ $\mu_r >> 1$ $\mu_r \ge 1$ $\mu_r \ge 1$



FIGURE 6-17 Hysteresis loops in the B-H plane for ferromagnetic material.









Why Paramagnetic ≠ Ferromagnetic? – Order vs Disorder



S. Yu⁺, C.-W. Qiu⁺, Y. Chong, S. Torquato^{*} & N. Park^{*}. Engineered Disorder in Photonics. Nature Reviews Materials 6, 226 (2021)







Paramagnetic VS Ferromagnetic Materials





Liquid, Liquid-like Materials



Vapor, Uncorrelated Disorder

Fully Random (Uncorrelated)

Short-range Order

↓

Paramagnetic

20

Crystal, Quasi-Crystal, ...

Short-range Order Long-range Order









Magnetic Circuits







Remind: Electromotive Force (emf)

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{in} \cdot d\mathbf{l} + \int_{2}^{1} \mathbf{E}_{out} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$
$$-\int_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{in} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = +\int_{2}^{1} \mathbf{E}_{out} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

Electromotive Force (emf) → Voltage Source

$$\gamma = -\int_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{in} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = +\int_{2}^{1} \mathbf{E}_{out} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

Allowing potential drop from (+) to (–) electrode

Electric Battery, Electric Generator, Solar Cells, ...









$$\mathbf{J} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\gamma = -\int_{1}^{2} \mathbf{E}_{in} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = +\int_{2}^{1} \mathbf{E}_{out} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = +\int_{2}^{1} \frac{\mathbf{J}}{\sigma} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = RI$$

Kirchhoff's Voltage Law

	It is just
$\sum_{j} \gamma_{j} = \sum_{k} R_{k} I_{k}$	$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} =$

Equation (5-41) is an expression of Kirchhoff's voltage law. It states that, around a closed path in an electric circuit, the algebraic sum of the emf's (voltage rises) is equal to the algebraic sum of the voltage drops across the resistances. It applies to any closed path in a network. The direction of tracing the path can be arbitrarily assigned, and the currents in the different resistances need not be the same. Kirchhoff's voltage law is the basis for loop analysis in circuit theory.







$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = NI = \Upsilon_m$$

 $\mathbf{B} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 \boldsymbol{\mu}_r \mathbf{H}$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$









EXAMPLE 6-10 Assume that N turns of wire are wound around a toroidal core of a ferromagnetic material with permeability μ . The core has a mean radius r_o , a circular cross section of radius a ($a \ll r_o$), and a narrow air gap of length ℓ_g , as shown in Fig. 6-13. A steady current I_o flows in the wire. Determine (a) the magnetic flux density, \mathbf{B}_f , in the ferromagnetic core; (b) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_f , in the core; and (c) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_g , in the air gap.

Solution

a) Applying Ampère's circuital law, Eq. (6-84), around the circular contour C in Fig. 6-13, which has a mean radius r_o , we have

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\boldsymbol{\ell} = NI_o. \tag{6-85}$$



FIGURE 6-13 Coil on ferromagnetic toroid with air gap (Example 6-10).







EXAMPLE 6-10 Assume that N turns of wire are wound around a toroidal core of a ferromagnetic material with permeability μ . The core has a mean radius r_o , a circular cross section of radius a ($a \ll r_o$), and a narrow air gap of length ℓ_g , as shown in Fig. 6-13. A steady current I_o flows in the wire. Determine (a) the magnetic flux density, \mathbf{B}_f , in the ferromagnetic core; (b) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_f , in the core; and (c) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_g , in the air gap.

If flux leakage is neglected, the same total flux will flow in both the ferromagnetic core and in the air gap. If the fringing effect of the flux in the air gap is also neglected, the magnetic flux density \mathbf{B} in both the core and the air gap will also be the same. However, because of the different permeabilities, the magnetic field intensities in both parts will be different. We have

$$\mathbf{B}_f = \mathbf{B}_g = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} B_f, \tag{6-86}$$

where the subscripts f and g denote ferromagnetic and gap, respectively. In the ferromagnetic core,

$$\mathbf{H}_f = \mathbf{a}_\phi \, \frac{B_f}{\mu}; \tag{6-87}$$

and, in the air gap,

$$\mathbf{H}_{g} = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} \, \frac{B_{f}}{\mu_{0}} \cdot \tag{6-88}$$

Substituting Eqs. (6-87) and (6-88) in Eq. (6-85), we obtain

$$\frac{B_f}{\mu} \left(2\pi r_o - \ell_g \right) + \frac{B_f}{\mu_0} \,\ell_g = N I_o$$





EXAMPLE 6-10 Assume that N turns of wire are wound around a toroidal core of a ferromagnetic material with permeability μ . The core has a mean radius r_o , a circular cross section of radius a ($a \ll r_o$), and a narrow air gap of length ℓ_g , as shown in Fig. 6-13. A steady current I_o flows in the wire. Determine (a) the magnetic flux density, \mathbf{B}_f , in the ferromagnetic core; (b) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_f , in the core; and (c) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_g , in the air gap.

$$\mathbf{B}_f = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} \frac{\mu_0 \mu N I_o}{\mu_0 (2\pi r_o - \ell_g) + \mu \ell_g}$$

b) From Eqs. (6-87) and (6-89) we get

$$\mathbf{H}_{f} = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} \frac{\mu_{0} N I_{o}}{\mu_{0} (2\pi r_{o} - \ell_{g}) + \mu \ell_{g}}.$$
 (6-90)

c) Similarly, from Eqs. (6-88) and (6-89) we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{g} = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} \frac{\mu N I_{o}}{\mu_{0}(2\pi r_{o} - \ell_{g}) + \mu \ell_{g}}.$$
(6-91)





Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

EXAMPLE 6-10 Assume that N turns of wire are wound around a toroidal core of a ferromagnetic material with permeability μ . The core has a mean radius r_o , a circular cross section of radius a ($a \ll r_o$), and a narrow air gap of length ℓ_g , as shown in Fig. 6-13. A steady current I_o flows in the wire. Determine (a) the magnetic flux density, \mathbf{B}_f , in the ferromagnetic core; (b) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_f , in the core; and (c) the magnetic field intensity, \mathbf{H}_g , in the air gap.

If the radius of the cross section of the core is much smaller than the mean radius of the toroid, the magnetic flux density \mathbf{B} in the core is approximately constant, and the magnetic flux in the circuit is

$$\Phi \cong BS, \tag{6-92}$$

where S is the cross-sectional area of the core. Combination of Eqs. (6-92) and (6-89) yields

$$\Phi = \frac{NI_o}{(2\pi r_o - \ell_g)/\mu S + \ell_g/\mu_0 S}.$$
(6-93)

Equation (6-93) can be rewritten as

$$\Phi = \frac{\mathscr{V}_m}{\mathscr{R}_f + \mathscr{R}_g}, \tag{6-94}$$





Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \implies \oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = NI = \Upsilon_m$$

 I_1 I_1 I_1 I_1 I_1 I_2 I_2

Magnetic Circuits	Electric Circuits
mmf, \mathscr{V}_m (=NI)	emf, ≁
magnetic flux, Φ	electric current, I
reluctance, R	resistance, R
permeability, μ	conductivity, σ

 $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mu_r \mathbf{H}$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$





Static Magnetic Fields

Introduction to Electromagnetism with Practice Theory & Applications

Sunkyu Yu

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University







Magnetostatics – Boundary Conditions







Remind: Maxwell's Equations: Electrostatics



Boundary Conditions: Connecting "Fields" across the boundary



Tangential & Normal Fields!







Remind: Strategy for Boundary Conditions

- I. Boundary includes "different" materials → Integral forms are proper
- II. Stokes → "Closed Loop" across materials
 Gauss → "Closed Surface" across materials

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0 \qquad \oint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = Q$$

III. Loop measures tangential fields & Surface measures normal fields



Remind: Analyzing Boundary Conditions





Remind: Governing Equations for Steady Current Density









Remind: Boundary Conditions for Current Density



$$J_{1n} = J_{2n}$$







Strategy for Boundary Conditions

- I. Boundary includes "different" materials → Integral forms are proper
- II. Stokes → "Closed Loop" across materials
 Gauss → "Closed Surface" across materials

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_S \mathbf{J} \cdot d\mathbf{s}, \quad \oint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$$

III. Loop measures tangential fields & Surface measures normal fields









Remember the Relations between Maxwell & B.C.

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{Tangential Fields} \qquad \mathbf{Normal Fields} \qquad \mathbf{e}_{n2} \cdot (\mathbf{D}_1 - \mathbf{D}_2) = \rho_s$$

$$\mathbf{E}_{1t} = E_{2t} \qquad \mathbf{Normal Fields} \qquad \mathbf{P}_{n2} = \mathbf{P}_{n2}$$







EXAMPLE 6-12 Two magnetic media with permeabilities μ_1 and μ_2 have a common boundary, as shown in Fig. 6-20. The magnetic field intensity in medium 1 at the



FIGURE 6-20 Boundary conditions for magnetostatic field at an interface (Example 6-12).

point P_1 has a magnitude H_1 and makes an angle α_1 with the normal. Determine the magnitude and the direction of the magnetic field intensity at point P_2 in medium 2.

Solution The desired unknown quantities are H_2 and α_2 . Continuity of the normal component of **B** field requires, from Eq. (6-108),

$$\mu_2 H_2 \cos \alpha_2 = \mu_1 H_1 \cos \alpha_1. \tag{6-112}$$

Since neither of the media is a perfect conductor, the tangential component of H field is continuous. We have

14

$$H_2 \sin \alpha_2 = H_1 \sin \alpha_1. \tag{6-113}$$







Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory



FIGURE 6-20 Boundary conditions for magnetostatic field at an interface (Example 6-12).

Division of Eq. (6-113) by Eq. (6-112) gives

$$\frac{\tan \alpha_2}{\tan \alpha_1} = \frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1} \tag{6-114}$$

or

$$\alpha_2 = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1}\tan\alpha_1\right),\tag{6-115}$$

which describes the refraction property of the magnetic field. The magnitude of H_2 is

$$H_2 = \sqrt{H_{2t}^2 + H_{2n}^2} = \sqrt{(H_2 \sin \alpha_2)^2 + (H_2 \cos \alpha_2)^2}.$$

From Eqs. (6-112) and (6-113) we obtain

$$H_2 = H_1 \left[\sin^2 \alpha_1 + \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \cos \alpha_1 \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$
 (6-116)





Inductance







Remind: Self-Capacitance









Remind: Capacitor





FIGURE 3-27 A two-conductor capacitor.







Inductance: Linking Magnetic Flux & Current

$$Q = CV_{12}$$



Magnetic Circuits	Electric Circuits
mmf, \mathscr{V}_m (=NI)	emf, ≁
magnetic flux, Φ	electric current, I
reluctance, R	resistance, R
permeability, μ	conductivity, σ



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory







$$\Phi = \int_{S'} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}') d^2 \mathbf{s}'$$
$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}$$
$$I = \int_{S''} (\nabla \times \mathbf{H}) d^2 \mathbf{s}''$$
$$= \int_{S''} \left(\nabla \times \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mu} \right) d^2 \mathbf{s}''$$

 $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}') \rightarrow \alpha \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}'): \quad I \rightarrow \alpha I, \quad \Phi \rightarrow \alpha \Phi$







20

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Mutual Inductance



$$\Phi_{21} = \int_{S_2} \mathbf{B}_1(\mathbf{x}') d^2 \mathbf{s}_2'$$

Induced Source
$$\mathbf{B}_1 = \frac{\mu_0 I_1}{4\pi} \oint_{C_1} \frac{d\mathbf{x}_l \times (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_l)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_l|^3}$$
$$\Phi_{21} = L_{21} I_1$$

When C_2 has N turns

$$\Lambda_{21} = N\Phi_{21}$$

$$\Lambda_{21} = L_{21}^{\text{Total}} I_1 = N L_{21} I_1$$

$$L_{21}^{\text{Total}} = \frac{\Lambda_{21}}{I_1}$$





Generalization of Circuit Elements: Nonlinear Responses







EXAMPLE 6-14 Assume that N turns of wire are tightly wound on a toroidal frame of a rectangular cross section with dimensions as shown in Fig. 6-23. Then, assuming the permeability of the medium to be μ_0 , find the self-inductance of the toroidal coil.



Solution It is clear that the cylindrical coordinate system is appropriate for this problem because the toroid is symmetrical about its axis. Assuming a current I in the conducting wire, we find, by applying Eq. (6–10) to a circular path with radius r (a < r < b):

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} B_{\phi},$$
$$d\ell = \mathbf{a}_{\phi} r d\phi,$$
$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\ell = \int_{0}^{2\pi} B_{\phi} r d\phi = 2\pi r B_{\phi}.$$

This result is obtained because both B_{ϕ} and r are constant around the circular path C. Since the path encircles a total current NI, we have

$$2\pi r B_{\phi} = \mu_0 N I$$

and

$$B_{\phi} = \frac{\mu_0 NI}{2\pi r}.$$





EXAMPLE 6–14 Assume that N turns of wire are tightly wound on a toroidal frame of a rectangular cross section with dimensions as shown in Fig. 6–23. Then, assuming the permeability of the medium to be μ_0 , find the self-inductance of the toroidal coil.



Next we find

$$\Phi = \int_{S} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \int_{S} \left(\mathbf{a}_{\phi} \frac{\mu_{0} NI}{2\pi r} \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{a}_{\phi} h \, dr \right)$$
$$= \frac{\mu_{0} NI h}{2\pi} \int_{a}^{b} \frac{dr}{r} = \frac{\mu_{0} NI h}{2\pi} \ln \frac{b}{a}.$$

The flux linkage Λ is $N\Phi$ or

Finally, we obtain

$$\Lambda = \frac{\mu_0 N^2 I h}{2\pi} \ln \frac{b}{a}.$$

$$L = \frac{\Lambda}{I} = \frac{\mu_0 N^2 h}{2\pi} \ln \frac{b}{a} \qquad (\text{H}). \tag{6-132}$$

We note that the self-inductance is not a function of I (for a constant medium permeability). The qualification that the coil be closely wound on the toroid is to minimize the linkage flux around the individual turns of the wire.







EXAMPLE 6-14 Assume that N turns of wire are tightly wound on a toroidal frame of a rectangular cross section with dimensions as shown in Fig. 6-23. Then, assuming the permeability of the medium to be μ_0 , find the self-inductance of the toroidal coil.



$$L = \frac{\Lambda}{I} = \frac{\mu_0 N^2 h}{2\pi} \ln \frac{b}{a}$$

Material Parameter + Structural Parameters







Prove the reciprocity:

$$L_{21} = L_{12}$$

We may vaguely and intuitively expect that the answer is in the affirmative "because of reciprocity." But how do we prove it? We may proceed as follows. Combining Eqs. (6-123), (6-125) and (6-127), we obtain

$$L_{12} = \frac{N_2}{I_1} \int_{S_2} \mathbf{B}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{s}_2. \tag{6-147}$$

But in view of Eq. (6-15), \mathbf{B}_1 can be written as the curl of a vector magnetic potential \mathbf{A}_1 , $\mathbf{B}_1 = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1$. We have

$$L_{12} = \frac{N_2}{I_1} \int_{S_2} (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_1) \cdot d\mathbf{s}_2$$

= $\frac{N_2}{I_1} \oint_{C_2} \mathbf{A}_1 \cdot d\ell_2.$ (6-148)

Now, from Eq. (6-27),

$$\mathbf{A}_{1} = \frac{\mu_{0} N_{1} I_{1}}{4\pi} \oint_{C_{1}} \frac{d\ell_{1}}{R} \cdot$$
(6-149)

In Eqs. (6-148) and (6-149) the contour integrals are evaluated only once over the periphery of the loops C_2 and C_1 , respectively—the effects of multiple turns having been taken care of separately by the factors N_2 and N_1 . Substitution of Eq. (6-149) in Eq. (6-148) yields

$$L_{12} = \frac{\mu_0 N_1 N_2}{4\pi} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{d\ell_1 \cdot d\ell_2}{R}, \qquad (6-150a)$$

where R is the distance between the differential lengths $d\ell_1$ and $d\ell_2$. It is customary to write Eq. (6-150a) as

$$L_{12} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \oint_{C_1} \oint_{C_2} \frac{d\ell_1 \cdot d\ell_2}{R}$$
(H), (6-150b)







Magnetic Energy







$$U_E = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3 \mathbf{x}$$

Homogeneous (position-independent) Materials

 $\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \mathbf{E}$

$$U_E = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \int \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x}$$

In the vacuum

$$U_{E} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{0} \int \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{x} \ge 0$$







$$U_E = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3 \mathbf{x}$$

Anisotropic Materials
$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon_0 \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xz} \\ \varepsilon_{yx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & \varepsilon_{yz} \\ \varepsilon_{zx} & \varepsilon_{zy} & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}$$

$$: \qquad \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\varepsilon}_r \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon_0$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{\varepsilon}_r \mathbf{E} = \varepsilon_0 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \varepsilon_y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_z \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_x & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$U_{E} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{0} \int \left(\varepsilon_{x} E_{x}^{2} + \varepsilon_{y} E_{y}^{2} + \varepsilon_{z} E_{z}^{2} \right) d^{3} \mathbf{x}$$







Magnetostatic Energy: Materials

$$U_E = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} d^3 \mathbf{x}$$

$$U_{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H} d^{3} \mathbf{x}$$

In the vacuum

$$U_E = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_0 \int \left| \mathbf{E} \right|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} \ge 0$$



In the vacuum

$$U_{H} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0} \int \left| \mathbf{H} \right|^{2} d^{3} \mathbf{x} \ge 0$$



$$U_{H} = \frac{1}{2} \int \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H} d^{3} \mathbf{x}$$

Anisotropic Materials $\mathbf{B} = \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{r} \mathbf{H} = \mu_{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{xx} & \mu_{xy} & \mu_{xz} \\ \mu_{yx} & \mu_{yy} & \mu_{yz} \\ \mu_{zx} & \mu_{zy} & \mu_{zz} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}$
For Example: $\mathbf{B} = \mu_{0} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{r} \mathbf{H} = \mu_{0} \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{x} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{z} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}$
$$U_{H} = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{0} \int \left(\mu_{x} H_{x}^{2} + \mu_{y} H_{y}^{2} + \mu_{z} H_{z}^{2} \right) d^{3} \mathbf{x}$$





