Static Electric Fields

Introduction to Electromagnetism with Practice Theory & Applications

Sunkyu Yu

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University







Remind: Electrostatics – Maxwell's Equations







Remind: Postulates: Differential Form

$$\varepsilon_{r} = 1 \quad \text{in the Vacuum}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_{0}}$$







Remind: Postulates: Integral Form

$$\mathcal{E}_{r} = 1$$
 in the Vacuum









Gauss's Law







$$arepsilon_{
m r}=1~$$
 in the Vacuum









$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$$
 in the Vacuum





7

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Special Topic: What is "symmetry"?







We'd like to express the equations of motion with *a single real-valued function*

Lagrangian Function $L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t)$ Kinetic Energy – Potential Energy

'Action'

$$S = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t) dt$$







The Principle of Least Action II

The Principle of Least (or stationary) Action : The equation of motion of the system is obtained from



Small change of 'Action' to First Order = 0



 $\delta \mathbf{q}(t_i) = 0$

$$\delta S = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L(\mathbf{q} + \delta \mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}} + \delta \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t) dt - \int_{t_i}^{t_f} L(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}}, t) dt$$

$$\approx \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} \delta q_k + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \delta \dot{q}_k \right) dt$$
First Order







$$\begin{split} \delta S &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sum_k \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} \, \delta q_k + \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \, \delta \dot{q}_k \right) dt \\ &= \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sum_k \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} \, \delta q_k dt + \sum_k \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \, \delta q_k \right]_{t_i}^{t_f} - \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sum_k \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \delta q_k dt \\ &= \sum_k \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \, \delta q_k \right]_{t_i}^{t_f} + \int_{t_i}^{t_f} \sum_k \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} - \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) \right] \delta q_k dt = 0 \\ &= 0 \\ \delta \mathbf{q}(t_i) = 0 \end{split}$$







Lagrangian Mechanics

Euler–Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} - \left(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k}\right) = 0$$

Lagrangian Function

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{x}^2 - mgx$$

$$q = x$$

$$-mg - \left(\frac{d}{dt}m\dot{x}\right) = 0$$
$$m\frac{d^{2}x}{dt^{2}} = -mg$$

Newtonian Mechanics







Noether's Theorem

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} - \left(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k}\right) = 0$$



Symmetry = Conserved Quantity

A certain "*symmetry*" leads to the *conservation* of the *corresponding physical quantity*







Symmetry for Gauss's Law







Examples of Gaussian Surfaces from Symmetries



Figure 3.8: Shaded areas are highly symmetrical distributions of charge: (a) $\rho_0(r, \theta, \phi) = \rho_0(r)$; (b) $\rho_0(\rho, \phi, z) = \rho_0(\rho)$; (c) $\rho_0(x, y, z) = \rho_0(z)$. Dashed lines outline possible choices for Gaussian surfaces.







EXAMPLE 3-5 Use Gauss's law to determine the electric field intensity of an infinitely long, straight, line charge of a uniform density ρ_{ℓ} in air.







Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Gau

EXAMPLE 3-6 Determine the electric field intensity of an infinite planar charge with a uniform surface charge density ρ_s .

Due to the symmetry

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_{z} E_{z} \qquad E_{z}(z > 0) = -E_{z}(z < 0)$$

$$\oint_{S} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = A E_{z}(z > 0) - A E_{z}(z < 0) \mathbf{E}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \rho_{s} dv = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{0}} \rho_{s} A$$

$$E_z(z>0) = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_0}\rho_s$$

$$\mathbf{E} = +\mathbf{e}_{z} \frac{\rho_{s}}{2\varepsilon_{0}} \quad (z > 0), \quad \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{e}_{z} \frac{\rho_{s}}{2\varepsilon_{0}} \quad (z < 0)$$

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory







EXAMPLE 3-7 Determine the **E** field caused by a spherical cloud of electrons with a volume charge density $\rho = -\rho_o$ for $0 \le R \le b$ (both ρ_o and b are positive) and $\rho = 0$ for R > b.

Due to the symmetry







19

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboracory

EXAMPLE 3-7 Determine the E field caused by a spherical cloud of electrons with a volume charge density $\rho = -\rho_o$ for $0 \le R \le b$ (both ρ_o and b are positive) and $\rho = 0$ for R > b.

Due to the symmetry







EXAMPLE 3-7 Determine the **E** field caused by a spherical cloud of electrons with a volume charge density $\rho = -\rho_o$ for $0 \le R \le b$ (both ρ_o and b are positive) and $\rho = 0$ for R > b.

Due to the symmetry



Geometry Effect on Electric Fields







Meta-materials: "Meta" ~ "Beyond"

- 1. Focusing on the property "A" among the entire material properties (A & B)
- We can find "several" different candidates of materials, which provide the identical A property, while allowing the distinctions in the B property
- 3. This degrees of freedom can impose uniqueness on the "B" property, even allowing for "unnatural" behaviors: *Beyond natural materials*









Interpreting Coulomb's law in a narrow (pointwise) sense



By controlling geometric parameters, we can manipulate q_{eff} & the other properties





Electric Potential







Helmholtz Theorem (or Helmholtz Decomposition)

An arbitrary vector field can always be decomposed into the sum of two vector fields: one with zero divergence and one with zero curl

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$$

Solenoidal (divergence-free)

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} = 0$$

Irrotational (curl-free)

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}} = 0$$

Remembering Null Identities

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) = 0 \qquad \nabla \times (\nabla f) = \mathbf{O}$$

We can write **E** as follow:

$$\mathbf{E} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + \nabla f$$

The proper boundary condition (B.C.) allows the unique **E**







Helmholtz Theorem for Electrostatics

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} = 0$ $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$ $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{C} = 0$ $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$ $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O}$ $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}})$ $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} + \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}})$ $= \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbf{O}$ $= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}} = \frac{\rho}{c}$ $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{D}} = \mathbf{O}$



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Helmholtz Theorem for Electrostatics: Electric Potential

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}} \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}} = \mathbf{0}$$

Let's assign $\mathbf{E}_{\rm C}$ for the conventional notation

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{C}} = -\nabla V$$

Electric Potential (or Scalar Potential) V

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V$$







Electric Field to Electric Potential – Path Invariance

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times \nabla V = \mathbf{O}$$

$$\int_{S} (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$

$$\oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{C_{1}+C_{2}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{C_{1}-(-C_{2})} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{C_{1}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} - \int_{-C_{2}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0$$
Path-invariant integral!
$$\int_{C_{1}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = \int_{-C_{2}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Electric Field to Electric Potential – Path Invariance

$$\int_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = -\int_C \nabla V \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$

$$\nabla V \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \nabla V \cdot \mathbf{e}_l dl = dV$$
$$-\int_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} = \int_C dV = V_2 - V_1$$

$$V_2 - V_1 = -\int_{P_1}^{P_2} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l}$$



Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory





Static Electric Fields

Introduction to Electromagnetism with Practice Theory & Applications

Sunkyu Yu

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University







Electric Potential (cont.)







Electric Potential – Point Charge





$$V(r) - V(\infty)$$

$$= -\int_{P_{\infty}}^{P_{r}} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} q \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{r^{2}} \cdot d\mathbf{I}$$

$$= -\frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{0}^{\infty - r} \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{r^{2}} \cdot (-\mathbf{e}_{r} d\mathbf{I})$$

$$= \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{\infty}^{r} \frac{1}{r^{2}} (-dr') \int_{dl = -dr'}^{l = \infty - r'} \frac{1}{dl = -dr'}$$

$$= \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{r}$$
If we set $V(\infty) = 0$

$$V(r) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{r}$$
Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Superposition for Electric Potentials

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V \qquad \sum_{k} \mathbf{E}_{k} = -\nabla \sum_{k} V_{k}$$
The superposition principle is also valid for the potential V
$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} q \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^{3}} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} q \frac{\mathbf{e}_{r}}{r^{2}} \qquad \qquad V = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{r}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} q_{k} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}|^{3}} \qquad \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} = -\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}|^{3}} \qquad V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} q_{k} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}|}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{k}|^{3}} \rho(\mathbf{x}') d^{3}x'$$

$$V = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{V} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \rho(\mathbf{x}') d^{3}x'$$





Electric Potential: Exercises







Electric Dipole: Estimating an electric field far from the dipole?



We cannot learn a lot from accurate but too complex equations!



Electric Dipole: Estimating an electric field far from the dipole?

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right|^{-3} &= \left| \left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) \right|^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \left[R^2 \left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) \left|^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right|^{-\frac{3}{2}} = \left[R^2 \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} + \frac{d^2}{4R^2} \right) \right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &= R^{-3} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} + \frac{d^2}{4R^2} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sim R^{-3} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \right) \\ &\mathbf{E} \sim \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left[\left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) R^{-3} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \right) - \left(\mathbf{R} + \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) R^{-3} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R^3} \left[\left(\mathbf{R} - \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \right) - \left(\mathbf{R} + \frac{\mathbf{d}}{2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R^3} \left[3 \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{R^2} \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d} \right] \end{aligned}$$

7

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory



Electric Dipole: Estimating an electric field far from the dipole?







Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Electric Dipole: Estimating an electric field far from the dipole?



Example 006: Revisiting a Dipole

Electric Dipole: Estimating an electric field far from the dipole?







$$\left|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}/2\right|^{-1} = \left[\left(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}/2\right) \cdot \left(\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}/2\right)\right]^{-1/2}$$
$$= \left[\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^2 - \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d} + \left|\mathbf{d}\right|^2/4\right]^{-1/2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{R}\right|} \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^2} + \frac{\left|\mathbf{d}\right|^2}{4\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^2}\right]^{-1/2} \sim \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{R}\right|} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{2\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^2}\right)$$
$$V = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{d}/2\right|} - \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{d}/2\right|}\right) = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}}{\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^3}$$





11

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

Same result through a simpler process!







Example 3.1 (a) Find **E** on the symmetry axis of a ring with radius *R* and uniform charge per unit length λ . (b) Use the results of part (a) to find **E** on the symmetry axis of a disk with radius *R* and uniform charge per unit area σ . (c) Use the results of part (b) to find **E** for an infinite sheet with uniform charge density σ . Discuss the matching condition at z = 0.







Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory







Example 007: Revisiting a Disk

EXAMPLE 9 What is the electrostatic potential, and electric field, above a uniformly charged circular plate of radius *a*, on the axis of symmetry?







18

Intelligent Wave Systems Laboratory

$$V = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \left(\sqrt{a^2 + z^2} - |z| \right)$$

Z

>0:
$$V = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \left(\sqrt{a^2 + z^2} - z \right)$$
$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V = -\mathbf{e}_z \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\sqrt{a^2 + z^2} - z \right) = \mathbf{e}_z \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{a^2 + z^2}} \right)$$

Same result through a simpler process!

$$z < 0: \quad V = \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_0} \left(\sqrt{a^2 + z^2} + z \right)$$

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V = -\mathbf{e}_{z} \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_{0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\sqrt{a^{2} + z^{2}} + z \right) = \mathbf{e}_{z} \frac{\sigma}{2\varepsilon_{0}} \left(-1 - \frac{z}{\sqrt{a^{2} + z^{2}}} \right)$$







Electrostatics – Poisson's Equation & More







Remind: Postulates: Differential Form

1

$$\mathcal{E}_{r} = \mathbf{I} \quad \text{in the vacuum}$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho$$

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathcal{E}_{0} \mathbf{E}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\mathcal{E}_{0}}$$

in the Vern







Poisson's Equation in a Vacuum



Discussed later...But, B.C.?





Poisson's Equation in a Homogeneous Material



Poisson's Equation

Governing Eq. for Electrostatics in a Homogeneous Material (with B.C.) Discussed later...But, B.C.?







Governing Equation in an Inhomogeneous Material

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{O} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho$$
Already related...
$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla V \qquad \mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_r (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon_r (\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E}) = \varepsilon_r (\mathbf{x}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} + (\nabla \varepsilon_r (\mathbf{x})) \cdot \mathbf{E}$$

$$-\varepsilon_r(\mathbf{x})\nabla^2 V - \left(\nabla\varepsilon_r(\mathbf{x})\right) \cdot \left(\nabla V\right) = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}$$

Governing Eq. for Electrostatics in an Inhomogeneous Material (with B.C.)







Mathematical View **Electrostatics – Boundary Conditions**







Maxwell's Equations: Electrostatics



Boundary Conditions: Connecting "Fields" across the boundary



Tangential & Normal Fields!







Strategy for Boundary Conditions

- I. Boundary includes "different" materials → Integral forms are proper
- II. Stokes → Closed "Loop" across materials
 Gauss → "Closed Surface" across materials

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = 0 \qquad \oint_S \mathbf{D} \cdot d\mathbf{S} = Q$$

III. Loop measures tangential fields & Surface measures normal fields







$$E_{1t} \neq E_{2t} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \oint_{C} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{I} \Big|_{\Delta h=0} = E_{1t} \Delta w - E_{2t} \Delta w \neq 0$$

$$\int_{S} \left(\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{s} \Big|_{\Delta h = 0} \neq 0$$

Singular Existence of $\, \nabla \! imes \! E \,$







Now, look at more general Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \qquad \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = \rho \qquad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$$

Singular Existence of $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \implies \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = \rho_M^{\neq 0} \& \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{J}_M^{\neq 0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}$

- ∴ *Magnetic Charges* or *Magnetic Currents* should exist for the discontinuity in the tangential component of an electric field!
- I. Until know, a magnetic monopole has not been discovered!
- *II. Magnetic multipoles (dipoles, quadrupoles, ...) require spatial "distances",* prohibiting the singular existence at the boundary

∴ The tangential component of an electric field should be continuous (*until now*!)





