Intro. to Electro-physics Sommerfeld's model (3rd)

Jaesang Lee Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University (email: jsanglee@snu.ac.kr)



Fermi-Dirac statistics (1/3)

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\exp \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T} + 1},$$

where μ : chemical potential

What is it?

Quantum statistics that Fermions must obey c.f.) Others include Maxwell-Boltzmann, Bose-Einstein statistics

- Meaning
- The probability (f_i) that a single-Fermion level (ε_i) is occupied
- The mean number $(\bar{n}_i = f_i)$ of Fermions occupying the level ε_i

(Always $\bar{n}_i \leq 1$ due to Pauli exclusion principle)







Fermi-Dirac statistics (2/3)

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\exp \frac{\varepsilon_i - \mu}{k_B T} + 1}$$

- In the low temperature limit $(T \rightarrow 0)$

 $f_i = \begin{cases} 1 & (\varepsilon_i < \mu) \rightarrow \text{All levels below } \mu \text{ are occupied.} \\ 0 & (\varepsilon_i > \mu) \rightarrow \text{All levels above } \mu \text{ are NOT occupied.} \end{cases}$

- Previously in the ground state (T = 0) of the electron gas

$$f_{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\varepsilon_{i} < \varepsilon_{F}) \\ 0 & (\varepsilon_{i} > \varepsilon_{F}) \end{cases}, \text{ where } \varepsilon_{F} = \frac{\hbar^{2}k_{F}^{2}}{2m} \text{ The F}$$
$$\therefore \lim_{T \to 0} \mu(T) = \varepsilon_{F}$$





Fermi-Dirac statistics (3/3)

• The Fermi energy and Fermi temperature

$$\varepsilon_F \triangleq \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m} = \frac{50.1}{\left(r_s/a_0\right)^2}$$
 (eV) and $\varepsilon_F \triangleq k_B T_F$

- For silver,
$$\varepsilon_F = 5.49 \text{ (eV)} \longrightarrow T_F = 63,800 \text{ (eV)}$$

Temperature-dependence of Fermi-Dirac distribution ullet

$$f = \frac{1}{\exp \frac{\varepsilon - \mu}{k_B T} + 1}$$

- At $T \ll T_F$: Nearly a step function

. At
$$\varepsilon = \mu \rightarrow f = \frac{1}{2}$$
 regardless of T

- At $T \gg T_F$: the F-D distribution approaches the M-B distribution (HW!)





Chemical potential

- Temperature-dependence of chemical potential μ
 - Derivation of μ (considering the degeneracy)

 $\bar{n}_i = f_i \cdot g_i$, where g_i : # of levels with an energy ε_i

Let's assume $g_i = g$ for simplicity. Then,

$$N = \sum_{i} \bar{n}_{i} \simeq \int_{0}^{\infty} gf(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{gd\varepsilon}{\exp\left[\frac{\varepsilon - \mu}{k_{B}T}\right] + 1}$$
$$\mu(T) = k_{B}T \ln\left(\exp\left(\frac{N}{gk_{B}T}\right) - 1\right)$$
$$\text{t low temperatures } \left(T \ll T_{F}\right) : \mu(T) \simeq \varepsilon_{F}$$





Total energy of an electron gas (1/3)

- **Electron gas:** A collection of weakly interacting electrons that are free to move within a bounded volume V, but are unable to move beyond the boundary
 - Energy of the single electron in the gas -

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$$
, for whose wavevector being \mathbf{k}

- Total number of electrons in the gas and Total energy of the gas

And their conversion in an integral form

$$N = 2\sum_{k} f(\varepsilon(k)) \longrightarrow \frac{N}{V} \triangleq n = \int \frac{dk}{4\pi^{3}} f(\varepsilon)$$

$$U = 2\sum_{k} \varepsilon(k) f(\varepsilon(k)) \longrightarrow \frac{U}{V} \triangleq u = \int \frac{dk}{4\pi^2}$$





Total energy of an electron gas (2/3)

- Total energy of an Fermi gas (contd.)
 - Expression for *n* and *u* in terms of ε (i.e., conversion of $k \to \varepsilon$) -

(The integrand depends on $m{k}$ only through $arepsilon\left(m{k}
ight)$)

$$n = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right) = \int_0^\infty \frac{4\pi k^2 dk}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right) \longrightarrow \int_0^\infty g\left(\varepsilon\right) f\left(\varepsilon\right) d\mathcal{E}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} k^2 = \frac{2m\mathcal{E}}{\hbar^2}, \ 2kdk = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} d\mathcal{E} \longrightarrow kdk = \frac{m}{\hbar^2} d\mathcal{E} \end{bmatrix}$$
where
$$g\left(\varepsilon\right) \triangleq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\varepsilon}$$
density of energy levels per unit volution
$$u = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{4\pi^3} \varepsilon\left(\mathbf{k}\right) f\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right) \longrightarrow u = \int_0^\infty \varepsilon g\left(\varepsilon\right) f\left(\varepsilon\right) d\varepsilon$$

$$n = \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(\mathbf{k}\right)\right) \longrightarrow n = \int_0^\infty g\left(\varepsilon\right) f\left(\varepsilon\right) d\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} &|n = \int \frac{dk}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(k\right)\right) \\ &|u = \int \frac{dk}{4\pi^3} \varepsilon\left(k\right) f\left(\frac{dk}{4\pi^3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

ume



Total energy of an electron gas (3/3)

Method of evaluating the form

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} H(\varepsilon) f(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon$$

- $f(\epsilon)$ differs from its zero-temp value only in a small region about μ ($\Delta \epsilon \approx k_B T$)
- Integration of $H(\varepsilon)f(\varepsilon)$ near $(\varepsilon = \mu)$ matters \rightarrow Use **Taylor's expansion! (HW)**
- Total energy of the electron gas $(T \ll T_F)$

$$u = \int_0^\infty \varepsilon g(\varepsilon) f(\varepsilon) \, d\varepsilon \simeq \frac{3}{5} n\varepsilon_F \left[1 + \frac{5\pi^2}{12} \left(\frac{T}{T_F} \right)^2 \right]$$

• Specific heat capacity at constant volume $(T \ll T_F)$

$$c_V = \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_V = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial T}\right)_V = \frac{\pi^2}{2} n k_B \frac{T}{T_F} = \frac{3}{2} n k_B$$





$$\cdot \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_B T}{\varepsilon_F}\right)$$

Specific heat capacity (1/2)

• Specific heat capacity at constant volume $(T \ll T_F)$

$$c_V = \frac{3}{2} n k_B \cdot \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_B T}{\varepsilon_F}\right)$$

• Example: c_V for **Silver** at T = 298 (K)

$$\varepsilon_F = \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m}, \text{ where } n = \frac{N}{V} = \frac{k_F^3}{3\pi^2} = \frac{\rho N_A}{A}$$
$$\varepsilon_F = 5.49 \text{ (eV)}, \ T_F = \frac{\varepsilon_F}{k_B} \simeq 63,900 \text{ (K)}$$
$$\therefore c_V = \frac{3}{2} n k_B \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} \frac{k_B T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) \simeq 1.74 \ \left(J/kg \cdot K\right).$$

c.f.) measured c_V for silver at constant pressure $\simeq 235 (J/kg \cdot K)$

Parameters for silver

Mass density	ρ	10,500 (kg/m³)
Relative atomic mass	A	0.108 (kg/mol)
Planck's constant	ħ	1.055 x 10 ⁻³⁴ (J⋅s
Electron mass	т	9.11 x 10 ⁻³¹ (kg)
Boltzmann's constant	kв	1.38 x 10 ⁻²³ (J/K)
Avogadro's number	NA	6.023 x 10 ²³ (/mo





Specific heat capacity (2/2)

- In reality
 - The specific heat = Ionic contribution + Electronic contribution -

 $C_{V.m} = \gamma T + AT^3$ Associated with atomic vibrations • Dominant at high TAssociated with conduction electrons

• Dominant at low T

$$C_{V,m} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} N_A k_B \frac{T}{T_F}$$

$$\longrightarrow \gamma = \lim_{T \to 0} \frac{\partial C_{V,m}}{\partial T} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} \frac{N_A k_B}{T_F} \simeq 0.643 \text{ (mJ}$$

 γ obtained as an intercept of the curve of $C_{V,m}/T$ vs. T^2 (or T)!





classic vs. quantum mechanics

The specific heat of the electron gas



What **Drude** predicted

Quantum mechanical

$$f_{FD}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{m}{\pi\hbar}\right)^3 \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}mv^2 - E_0}{k_BT}\right) + 1}$$
$$c_V = \frac{3}{2}nk_B \cdot \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3}\frac{k_BT}{\mathscr{C}_F}\right) \sim 0.01 \text{ at } T = 298 \text{ (K)}$$

What **Sommerfeld** revised



Intro. to Electro-physics Sommerfeld's model (4th)

Jaesang Lee Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering Seoul National University (email: jsanglee@snu.ac.kr)



Velocity distribution for electrons in metals (1/2)

- Conversion of F-D dist. from $\varepsilon\left(m{k}
 ight)$ to $m{v}$
 - The number of single-electron levels in a small volume element dk:

$$n_{dk} = 2 \times \frac{1}{(2\pi/L)^3} \times \frac{dk}{k} = \frac{V}{4\pi^3} \frac{dk}{k}$$
The volume of in the volume of interval in the second sec

- Total number of electrons in the volume element $d\mathbf{k}$: -

$$N_{dk} = n_{dk} \cdot f\left(\varepsilon\left(k\right)\right) = \frac{V}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(k\right)\right) dk$$

interest

c-values per unit volume

egeneracy

The probability of each energy level (associated with $m{k}$) is being occupied: $fig(\,arepsilon\,\,m{k}ig)ig)$



Velocity distribution for electrons in metals (2/2)

- Derivation (contd.) ullet
 - The velocity of a free electron with a wave vector
 - Due to 1 : 1 correspondence between k and v,

- [The number of electrons per unit volume of real space] in a velocity space element dv:

$$f(\boldsymbol{v}) d\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{N_{d\boldsymbol{k}}}{V} = \frac{1}{V} \left(\frac{V}{4\pi^3} f\left(\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{k}\right)\right) d\boldsymbol{k} \right) = \frac{\left(m/\hbar\right)^3}{4\pi^3} \frac{d\boldsymbol{v}}{\exp\left[\left(\frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \mu\right)/k_BT\right] + 1}$$
$$d\boldsymbol{k} = \left(\frac{m}{\hbar}\right)^3 d\boldsymbol{v}$$

$$k: v = \frac{\hbar k}{m}$$



of electrons in a volume element $d\boldsymbol{v}$ about **v**

 $d\mathbf{k}$

of electrons in a volume element $d\mathbf{k}$ about k



Drude's model vs. Sommerfeld model



Sommerfeld model $f_{MB}(\boldsymbol{v}) = n \left(\frac{m}{2k_B T}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{-\frac{mv^2}{2k_B T}} \qquad f_{FD}(\boldsymbol{v}) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{m}{\pi \hbar}\right)^{5} \frac{1}{\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}mv^2 - \mu}{k_P T}\right) + 1$ Fermi-Dirac dist. Free-electron approx. Independent approx. Relaxation-time approx. $c_V = \frac{3}{2} n k_B \cdot \left(\frac{\pi^2 k_B T}{3 \mathscr{C}_F} \right)$

I What **Sommerfeld model** derived

 $v^2 = \frac{3k_BT}{m} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{3}\frac{\epsilon_F}{k_BT}\right)$



Predictions by Sommerfeld model (1/3)

- quantities that require the electronic velocity distribution
 - (1) Mean-free path, (2) Thermal conductivity (and Widemann-Franz law), (3) Thermopower
- (1) Mean-free path
 - —
 - Estimated τ : $10^{-15} \sim 10^{-14}$ (s) at T = 300 (K)



The replacement of Maxwell-Boltzmann with Fermi-Dirac distribution affects the predictions of physical

The average distance an electron travels between collisions, $l = v\tau$ $\begin{cases} v : \text{Average electronic speed} \\ \tau : \text{relaxation time (an average survival time)} \end{cases}$





Predictions by Sommerfeld model (2/3)

- (2) Thermal conductivity (and Wiedemann-Franz law)
 - Thermal conductivity (κ) and electrical conductivity (σ)

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{3}v^2 \tau c_V$$
 and $\sigma = \frac{ne^2 \tau}{m}$

- Wiedemann-Franz law

$$\frac{\kappa}{\sigma T} = \frac{mv^2 c_V}{3ne^2 T} = C$$



Element	273 K	373 K		
Li	2.22	2.43		
Na	2.12			
K	2.23			
Cu	2.20	2.29		
Ag	2.31	2.38		
Au	2.32	2.36		
Mg	2.14	2.25		
Fe	2.61	2.88		
Zn	2.28	2.30		
Cd	2.49			
Al	2.14	2.19		
In	2.58	2.60		
Sn	2.48	2.54		
Pb	2.64	2.53		

Kaye and Laby, Table of Physical and Chemical Constants, Longmans Green, Longdon, 1966.

Sommerfeld model

Predictions by Sommerfeld mod

(3) Thermopower

 $E = Q \nabla T$, where E: thermoelectric field, Q: therm

$$Q = -\frac{1}{3e}\frac{d}{dT}\left(\frac{mv^2}{2}\right) = -\frac{1}{3ne}n\frac{d\mathscr{C}}{dT} = -\frac{c_V}{3ne}$$



12/2/				
	Element	Q [V/K]		
	Na	-5 x 10 ⁻⁶		
	K	-12.5 x 10 ⁻⁶		
nopower (V/K)	Cu	1.8 x 10 ⁻⁶		
	Be	1.5 x 10 ⁻⁶		

A

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

-1.8 x 10⁻⁶

Common failures of both models

- Drude vs. Sommerfeld
 - Commonality: Free-electron approx., Independent approx., Relaxation time approx.
 - Difference: Electronic velocity distribution (Maxwell-Boltzmann vs. Fermi-Dirac)

	What depeete barmet be explainable
Hall coefficient	
Magnetoresistance	
Thermoelectric field	\mathbf{EO}
Widemann-Franz law	PP. JO ~ DU
DC electrical conductivity	Acharaft 2. Marmin
AC electrical conductivity	
Specific heat	
Nonmetallic elements	



What aspects cannot be explainable

pp. 58 ~ 60 hcroft & Mermin



To move further...

- 3 Key assumptions in the Drude's model •
 - Free-electron approx.: No electron-ion interaction (*except collisions) (1)
 - Independent approx.: No electron-electron interaction (2)
 - Relaxation-time approx.: τ independent of electron's position and velocity (3)
- Revision of 2, 3 leads to only minor improvement in predictions •
- Most of the problems in Drude's and Sommerfeld's model stem from 1 •
- The details of ① Free-electron approx.:

The effect of the ions on an electron between collisions is ignored (1) (ii) How the ions result in collisions is left unexplained

- (iii) The contribution of the ions to physical phenomena (e.g. specific heat, thermal conductivity) is ignored



To move further...

- How free-electron approx. needs to be revised:
 - (i) & (ii) Electrons move in the presence of a static potential due to a periodic array of stationary ions ("Nearly-free electron model")
 - Main topics: Bloch's state and electronic band structure
 - (iii) Consideration of the effects of ionic vibrations in that array
 - Main topic: phonon (→ temp-dependent electric conductivity, cubic term in the specific heat, a source of collisions, etc)
- Crystalline structure
 - The ions in metals are arranged in a regular periodic array (i.e., lattice)
 - = A basis for the entire analytic framework of solid-state physics
 - A direct characterization of the periodicity = X-ray diffraction (XRD)
- odic array (i.e., lattice) lid-state physics





